
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

CHUNG-CHUN YANG

On the junctional equation $p(f(z)) = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z)$

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 61 (1976), n.1-2, p. 49-53.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1976_8_61_1-2_49_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi funzionale. — *On the functional equation* $p(f(z)) = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z)$. Nota (*) di CHUNG-CHUN YANG, presentata dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Sia $p(z)$ un polinomio non lineare, $\alpha(z)$ un polinomio non costante, oppure una trascendente intera di ordine finito, e $a(z)$, $b(z)$ due polinomi non costanti di grado inferiore a quello di α (se $\alpha(z)$ è un polinomio). In questa Nota si danno allora condizioni necessarie perchè l'equazione funzionale $p(f(z)) = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z)$ abbia per soluzione una trascendente intera $f(z)$.

INTRODUCTION

Let $p(z)$ be a nonlinear polynomial, $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ be two nonconstant polynomials, and $\alpha(z)$ be a transcendental entire function of finite order or a polynomial of degree higher than $\max \{\deg a(z), \deg b(z)\}$. In this note we shall derive a necessary condition when the following equation

$$(1) \quad p(f(z)) = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z)$$

has a transcendental entire solution $f(z)$. We assume the reader is familiar with the fundamental concepts of Nevanlinna's theory of meromorphic functions and the symbols such as $\bar{N}(r, 0, f)$, $T(r, 0, f)$ and $\delta(a, f)$, etc. (See [2]).

THEOREM. *Let p, a, b , be defined as in the above. In order for equation (1) to have a transcendental entire solution $f(z)$, it is necessary that $p(z) \equiv p_0(z - a_1)^{n_1}(z - a_2)^{n_2}q^2(z) - c$ and $a(z) \equiv \pm b(z) + c$, where p_0, a_1, a_2 are constants, n_1, n_2 are either 0 or 1, $q(z)$ is a polynomial, and c is a constant.*

Proof. Suppose that $f(z)$ is transcendental entire and satisfies equation (1). Then from (1) we have

$$(2) \quad T(r, p(f)) \sim T(r, \sin \alpha(z)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume, for any constant d ,

$$(3) \quad a(z) \equiv \pm b(z) + d.$$

We now show that for any constant c

$$(4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \bar{N}(r, c, a \sin \alpha + b) / N(r, c, a \sin \alpha + b) = 1$$

(*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 20 luglio 1976.

possibly outside a set of r values of finite length. Here

$$\bar{N}(r, a, f) = \int_0^r [\bar{n}(t, a, f) - \bar{n}(0, a, f)]/t dt + \bar{n}(0, a, f) \log r,$$

and $\bar{n}(r, a, f)$ denotes the number of distinct roots of $f(z) = a$ in $|z| \leq t$. Then a zero of

$$(5) \quad a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z) = c$$

with multiplicity $k \geq 2$ is also a zero of

$$(6) \quad a'(z) \sin \alpha(z) + a(z) \alpha'(z) \cos \alpha(z) + b'(z) = 0$$

with multiplicity $k - 1$. Solving equations (5) and (6) for $\sin \alpha(z)$ and $\cos \alpha(z)$ we obtain

$$(7) \quad \sin \alpha(z) = [c - b(z)]/a(z),$$

and

$$(8) \quad \cos \alpha(z) = [-a(z)b'(z) + a'(z)b(z) - a'(z)c]/a^2(z)\alpha'(z).$$

From the above two equations, it is easy to see that the number of zeros of equation (5) with multiplicities ≥ 2 in a disc $|z| \leq t$ is no greater than twice the number of the roots of the equation

$$(9) \quad H(z) \equiv \left[\frac{c - b(z)}{a(z)} \right]^2 + \left[\frac{-a(z)b'(z) + a'(z)b(z) - a'(z)c}{a^2(z)\alpha'(z)} \right]^2 = 1$$

or the equation

$$(10) \quad F(z) \equiv \alpha'^2(z) \{ a^2(z) - (c + b(z))^2 \} + \\ + \{ a'(z)b(z) - a(z)b'(z) - a'(z)c \}^2 = 0$$

in the disc $|z| \leq t$, unless $H(z) \equiv 1$ or $F(z) \equiv 0$. Clearly $F(z) \not\equiv 0$ if condition (3) holds. Also in this case we have

$$(11) \quad N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq 2T(r, \alpha') + O(1) \log r.$$

Since

$$(12) \quad T(r, \alpha') = o(T(r, \sin \alpha)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

possibly outside a set of r values of finite length, see e.g. [2, p. 54 and p. 55], it follows that

$$(13) \quad N(r, 1, H(z)) = o\{T(r, \sin \alpha)\}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ possibly outside a set of r values of finite length. Thus (4) is proved.

By properly choosing the constant c_0 and replacing f by $g+c_0$ in equation (1), we have

$$(14) \quad g^2(z) \{q_n g^{n-2}(z) + q_{n-1} g^{n-3} + \cdots + q_2\} + q_0 = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z),$$

where n is the degree of p and $q_n, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_2, q_0$ are constants (among the q 's, at least two of them are nonzero). Let

$$(15) \quad q(g(z)) \equiv g^2(z) \{q_n g^{n-2}(z) + \cdots + q_2\} = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z) - q_0.$$

Then by equation (4) and the fact that $T(r, q(g(z))) \sim nT(r, g)$, we conclude that

$$(16) \quad N(r, 0, g) = oT(r, g) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, if it were not so, then from the obvious relation

$$\bar{N}(r, 0, a \sin \alpha + b - q_0) \geq \bar{N}(r, 0, g)$$

and equation (4) one would get a contradiction. Therefore, equation (16) must hold. Hence, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(17) \quad \delta(0, g^i) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Consequently, for any integer m ,

$$(18) \quad \theta_m(0, g^i) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Here

$$\theta_p = (0, h) = 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_p(r, 0, h)}{T(r, h)},$$

and

$$N_p(r, 0, h) = \sum_{a_k \neq 0} \log^+ \frac{r}{|a_k|} + \min(\rho_0, p) \log r,$$

where the summation is taken over the different zeros $a_k (\neq 0)$ of h counted $\min(\rho_k, p)$ times at a_k , $\rho_k (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p)$ being the order of multiplicity of zeros of h at a_k . Also we note that g satisfies.

$$(19) \quad \delta(\infty, g^i) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, dividing equation (14) by $b(z) - q_0$ (which is not identically zero) and rewriting the equation, we obtain

$$(20) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{q_i g^i}{b - q_0} - \frac{a}{2i(b - q)} e^{i\alpha} + \frac{ae^{-i\alpha}}{2i(b - q_0)} = 1.$$

This is a functional equation with coefficients growing slower than g and $e^{i\alpha}$ s. We may also assume without loss of generality that none of the q^i s, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is zero. Then we can apply a result of Toda's [3] on the functional equation and derive

$$(21) \quad \sum_{i=2}^n \theta_n \left(0, \frac{q_i}{b - q_0} g^i \right) + \theta_n \left(0, \frac{ae^{i\alpha}}{2i(b - q_0)} \right) + \\ + \theta_n \left(0, \frac{-ae^{-i\alpha}}{2i(b - q_0)} \right) \leq n.$$

This leads to

$$(22) \quad n + 1 \leq n,$$

giving a contradiction. Thus we must conclude that

$$(23) \quad a^2(z) - (b(z) + c)^2 \equiv 0$$

that is

$$a(z) \equiv \pm b(z) \pm c.$$

For simplicity we shall only treat the case $a(z) \equiv b(z) + c$. The equation (1) becomes

$$(24) \quad p(g(z)) = a(z)(\sin \alpha(z) + 1) - c$$

or

$$(25) \quad p^*(g(z)) = a(z) \left[\sin \frac{\alpha(z)}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha(z)}{2} \right]^2$$

where $p^* \equiv p + c$. We claim that p^* must have the form stated in the theorem. To see this, let us assume that $p^*(z) = p_0(z - a_1)^{n_1}(z - a_2)^{n_2} \dots (z - a_k)^{n_k}$ where p_0 is a constant, a_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are distinct complex numbers and n_k are positive integers. Thus $p^*(g) = p_0(g - a_1)^{n_1} \dots (g - a_k)^{n_k}$. Now

$$[p^*(g)/a(z)]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sin \frac{\alpha(z)}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha(z)}{2}$$

implies that $p_0^{1/2}(g - a_1)^{n_1/2} \dots (g - a_k)^{n_k/2}$ is an entire function. It is easy to see that the multiple roots of $\sin \frac{\alpha(z)}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha(z)}{2}$ are the zeros of $\alpha'(z)$. So unless some of a_i 's is actually a Borel exceptional value of g , no n_i can be greater than 2. However, it is easy to rule out this possibility by applying Borel's uniqueness theorem [1] to equation (24). (Here the assumption of the finiteness of the order of α is used).

Therefore we conclude that $n_i \leq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The assertion that there are at most two a_i 's that are simple zeros of $p^*(z)$ follows from the well-known fact that a transcendental entire function F can have no more than 2 finite totally ramified values, the term applied to a value a whenever the equation $F - a = 0$ has at most finitely many simple roots. The theorem is thus proved.

Remark. If $b(z) \equiv a(z) + c$ and $a(z) \equiv t^2(z)$ for some polynomial $t(z)$, then $a \sin \alpha + b \equiv a(1 + \sin \alpha) + c \equiv \left[t \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \right]^2 = p(f)$, where $p(z) \equiv z^2 + c$ and $f(z) = t(z) \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)$.

We state the following:

Conjecture. Let $a(z), b(z)$ be two non-constant polynomials and α be a transcendental entire function or a polynomial of degree higher than that of a and b 's. Let p be a nonlinear polynomial, then in order for the functional equation

$$p(f(z)) = a(z) \sin \alpha(z) + b(z)$$

to have a transcendental entire solution f iff $p(z)$ is quadratic, $a(z) \equiv \pm b(z) + c$ for some constant c , and $a(z) \equiv q^2(z)$ for some polynomial $q(z)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. BOREL (1897) - *Sur les zéro des fonctions entières*, « Acta Math. », 20.
- [2] W. K. HAYMAN (1964) - *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Math. Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [3] N. TODA (1971) - *On the functional equation $\sum_{i=0}^p a_i f_i^{n_i} = 1$* , « Tohoku Math. Jour. », 23.