
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

BRIAN FISHER

Some fixed point theorems

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **60** (1976), n.5, p. 583–586.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1976_8_60_5_583_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi matematica. — *Some fixed point theorems.* Nota di BRIAN FISHER, presentata (*) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si ottengono condizioni per l'esistenza ed unicità di un punto fisso per applicazioni di uno spazio metrico completo in sé.

In a paper by Kannan [2], he proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *If T is a mapping of the complete metric space X into itself, satisfying the inequality*

$$(1) \quad \rho(Tx, Ty) \leq c [\rho(x, Tx) + \rho(y, Ty)]$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{2}$, then T has a unique fixed point z .

The following theorem follows from Theorem 1:

THEOREM 2. *If T is a mapping of the complete metric space X into itself, satisfying the inequality*

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq a\rho(x, Tx) + b\rho(y, Ty)$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq a, b$ and $a + b < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point z .

Prof. It follows from the inequality

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq a\rho(x, Tx) + b\rho(y, Ty)$$

that

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) = \rho(Ty, Tx) \leq a\rho(y, Ty) + b\rho(x, Tx)$$

and on adding these two inequalities it follows that

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq c [\rho(x, Tx) + \rho(y, Ty)],$$

where $c = \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$ and $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{2}$. The result now follows from Theorem 1.

In the particular case $b = 0$, it follows that every point x in X is mapped onto the fixed point z , since

$$\rho(z, Tx) = \rho(Tz, Tx) \leq a\rho(z, Tz) = a\rho(z, z) = 0,$$

for all x in X .

However, if T is a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$(2) \quad \rho(Tx, Ty) \leq b \max \{ \rho(x, Tx), \rho(y, Ty) \}$$

(*) Nella seduta dell'8 maggio 1976.

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq b < 1$, then T does not necessarily map every point x in X onto a single point z . In fact, any mapping T which satisfies inequality (1) satisfies inequality (2) with $b = 2c$.

We will now prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *If T is a mapping of the complete metric space X into itself, satisfying the inequality*

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq b \max \{ \rho(x, Tx), \rho(y, Ty) \}$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq b < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point z .

Proof. For an arbitrary point x in X we have

$$\rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq b \max \{ \rho(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), \rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \}.$$

Now either

$$\rho(T^{n-1} x, T^n x) \leq \rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)$$

for some n , or

$$\rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \rho(T^{n-1} x, T^n x)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

If

$$\rho(T^{n-1} x, T^n x) \leq \rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)$$

for some n , then it follows that for this n

$$\rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq b \rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)$$

and so

$$\rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = 0$$

since $b < 1$. Hence $T^n x = z$ is a fixed point.

Alternatively if

$$\rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \rho(T^{n-1} x, T^n x)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that

$$\rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < b^n \rho(x, Tx)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(T^n x, T^{n+r} x) &\leq \rho(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + \dots + \rho(T^{n+r-1} x, T^{n+r} x) \\ &\leq (b^n + \dots + b^{n+r-1}) \rho(x, Tx) \leq \frac{b^n}{1-b} \rho(x, Tx). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b < 1$, it follows that $\{T^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with a limit z .

We now have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(z, Tz) &\leq \rho(z, T^n x) + \rho(T^n x, Tz) \\ &\leq \rho(z, T^n x) + b \max \{ \rho(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), \rho(z, Tz) \}\end{aligned}$$

and on letting n tend to infinity we see that

$$\rho(z, Tz) \leq b\rho(z, Tz).$$

Since $b < 1$, it follows that

$$Tz = z$$

and so z is a fixed point.

Thus, it follows in either case that a fixed point z exists.

Now suppose that T has a second fixed point z' . Then

$$\rho(z, z') = \rho(Tz, Tz') \leq b \max \{ \rho(z, Tz), \rho(z', Tz') \} = 0.$$

It follows that $z = z'$ and so the fixed point is unique. This completes the proof of the theorem.

In a recent paper, see [1], the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM 4. *If T is a continuous mapping of the compact metric space X into itself, satisfying the inequality*

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) < \frac{1}{2} [\rho(x, Tx) + \rho(y, Ty)]$$

for all distinct x, y in X , then T has a unique fixed point z .

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of this theorem:

THEOREM 5. *If T is a continuous mapping of the compact metric space X into itself, satisfying the inequality*

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) < b\rho(x, Tx) + (1 - b)\rho(y, Ty)$$

for all distinct x, y in X where $0 < b < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point z .

For this theorem to have any meaning when $b = 1$, we must stipulate that

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \rho(x, Tx)$$

for all distinct x, y in X , where the inequality is strict when $Tx \neq x$. It again follows that every point x in X is mapped onto the fixed point z .

We can however prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 6. *If T is a continuous mapping of the compact metric space X into itself, satisfying the inequality*

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) < \max \{ \rho(x, Tx), \rho(y, Ty) \}$$

for all distinct x, y in X , then T has a unique fixed point z .

Proof. Define a function f on X by

$$f(x) = \rho(x, Tx)$$

for all x in X . Since ρ and T are continuous functions it follows that f is a continuous function on X . Since X is compact there exists a point z in X such that

$$f(z) = \inf \{f(x) : x \in X\}.$$

Assuming that $Tz \neq z$, we have

$$f(Tz) = \rho(Tz, T^2z) < \max \{\rho(z, Tz), \rho(Tz, T^2z)\} = \rho(z, Tz) = f(z),$$

giving a contradiction. It follows that z is in fact a fixed point of T .

If z' is a second fixed point of T then

$$\rho(z, z') = \rho(Tz, Tz') < \max \{\rho(z, Tz), \rho(z', Tz')\},$$

if $z \neq z'$, giving a contradiction. Thus $z = z'$ and so the fixed point is unique. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. FISHER - *A fixed point mapping*, « Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. » (to appear).
- [2] R. KANNAN (1968) - *Some results on fixed points*, « Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. », 60 71-6.