
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

GARY D. JONES, SAMUEL M. RANKIN

**Oscillation of a forced nonlinear second order
differential equation**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 59 (1975), n.6, p. 702–705.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_59_6_702_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Equazioni differenziali ordinarie. — *Oscillation of a forced non-linear second order differential equation.* Nota di GARY D. JONES e SAMUEL M. RANKIN, III, presentata (*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Gli Autori considerano l'equazione

$$(*) \quad y'' + p(t)g(y) = f(t)$$

con $p(t), f(t)$ continue in $[0, \infty)$, $p(t) = 0$, $g(t)$ continue in $(-\infty, \infty)$ e sotto opportune condizioni per $p(t), g(y), f(t)$ provano che tutte le soluzioni della (*) sono oscillanti.

This paper is concerned with the oscillatory character of the equation

$$(I) \quad y'' + p(t)g(y) = f(t).$$

We will assume that p is positive and continuous on $[0, \infty)$, $f(t)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and g is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Our results are closely related to those found in a paper by Atkinson [1]. However, our assumptions on p do not imply the oscillation of the unforced equation, as assumed in [1]. Other related results can be found in papers by Kartsatos [2, 3] and Kartsatos and Manougian [4], Rankin [5] and Teufel [6].

The assumptions that $xg(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and g is bounded away from zero when x is bounded away from zero, will be made throughout. By oscillation of a solution $y(t)$ of equation (I), is meant that $y(t)$ is continuable on (a, ∞) or some a and $y(t)$ has arbitrarily large zeros.

THEOREM 1. *If*

$$i) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t (p(s) + \lambda f(s)) ds = \infty \quad \text{for each } T > 0 \quad \text{and for each } \lambda \neq 0$$

ii) *there exist points $a, b > 0$ such that*

$$h_1(t) \equiv \int_a^t (t-s)f(s) ds \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq a;$$

$$h_2(t) \equiv \int_b^t (t-s)f(s) ds \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq b.$$

(*) Nella seduta del 13 dicembre 1975.

iii) $h_1(t)$ and $h_2(t)$ have arbitrarily large zeros.

$$\text{iv) } \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_T^t (t-s)f(s) ds \right| < M \quad \text{for all } T$$

then every solution of equation (I) oscillates.

Proof. Suppose there exists a solution $y(t)$ of equation (I) that is non-oscillatory. We will assume $y(t) > 0$ on (c, ∞) for some $c > a$, $b > 0$, since $u = -y$ transforms (I) into an equation of the same form satisfying the assumption of the theorem.

We have that $(y(t) - h_1(t))'' + p(t)g(y) = 0$, which implies that $(y(t) - h_1(t))' < 0$ for $t > c$. Thus $(y(t) - h_1(t))' > 0$ for all $t > c$, if this were not the case there would exist a $K > 0$ such that $y(t) - h_1(t) < -Kt$ for large t . This implies that

$$\frac{y(t)}{t} < -K + \frac{h_1(t)}{t}$$

for large t . Considering condition (iii) we have a contradiction to the positivity of $y(t)$.

Applying condition (iii) once more we see there exists a $T_1 > c$ such that $y(T_1) - h_1(T_1) > 0$. From $y'(t) > h_1'(t)$ we have by integrating from T_1 to t that

$$y(t) > y(T_1) + h_1(t) - h_1(T_1) \geq y(T_1) - h_1(T_1) = \beta > 0$$

for all $t > T_1$. Integrating equation (I) from T_2 to t for some $T_2 > T_1$ we get

$$y'(t) \leq y'(T_2) - \int_{T_2}^t (p(s)g(y(s))) ds < y'(T_2) - \beta \left(\int_{T_2}^t (p(s) - \frac{1}{\beta} f(s)) ds \right).$$

Condition (i) then implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = -\infty$.

Let $K_1 > 0$ be such that $M - K_1 < 0$. There exists a $T_3 > T_2$ such that $y'(T_3) < -K_1$. Integrating equation (I) twice from T_3 to t and dividing by t we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y(t)}{t} &\leq \frac{y(T_3)}{t} + \frac{y'(T_3)(t-T_3)}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_{T_3}^t (t-s)f(s) ds \\ &< \frac{y(T_3)}{t} - \frac{K_1(t-T_3)}{t} + M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t} \leq -K_1 + M < 0$. This again contradicts $y(t) > 0$ on (c, ∞) .

Examples illustrating the above theorem are,

- 1) $y'' + t^{-3}y^3 = t \cos t$
- 2) $y'' + t^{-2}y^3 = t \cos t$
- 3) $y'' + (2 + \cos t)y = \sin \alpha t (\alpha > 0)$.

Example 1 does not satisfy the condition of Atkinson [1], while Examples 2 and 3 also satisfy the condition of Atkinson [1].

THEOREM 2. *If*

- i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t f(s) ds = -\infty$ and $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t f(s) ds = \infty$ for all $T > 0$,
- ii) $\frac{1}{t} \left| \int_T^t (t-s)f(s) ds \right| < M$ for all $T > 0$

then every solution of equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose there exists an $a > 0$ such that $y(t) > 0$ on (a, ∞) . From equation (1) we have

$$y'(t) \leq y'(T) + \int_T^t f(s) ds$$

for some $T > a$. Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = -\infty$. Given $K > 0$ there exists a $T_1 > T$ such that $y'(T_1) < -K$. Choose K so that $M - K < 0$, then integrating (1) twice from T_1 to t and dividing by t we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y(t)}{t} &\leq \frac{y(T_1)}{t} + \frac{y'(T_1)(t-T_1)}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_{T_1}^t (t-s)f(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{y(T_1)}{t} + \frac{y'(T_1)(t-T_1)}{t} + M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t} \leq y'(T) + M < -K + M < 0$. This contradicts $y(t) > 0$ on (a, ∞) .

Theorem 2 can be applied to Examples 1 and 2, however it cannot be applied to Example 3. The equation

$$(4) \quad y'' + p(t)g(y) = (\sqrt{t} \sin t + k_1 t + k_2)'',$$

for some constants k_1, k_2 with p, g as above, has all solutions oscillatory by Theorem 2 but not by Theorem 1. It is interesting to note that allowing the

forcing function to oscillate with unbounded amplitude reduces the need for "large" values of $p(t)$. The next theorem also illustrates this statement.

THEOREM 3. *If*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_T^t (t-s)f(s) ds = -\infty$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_T^t (t-s)f(s) ds = \infty$$

for each $T > 0$ then every solution $y(t)$ of equation (1) oscillates.

Proof. Suppose $y(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) with $y(t) > 0$ on (a, ∞) for some $a > 0$. Integrating equation (1) twice from T to t for some $T > a$ and then dividing by t we have

$$\frac{y(t)}{t} \leq \frac{y(T)}{t} + \frac{y'(T)(t-T)}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_T^t (t-s)f(s) ds.$$

Under our hypothesis $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t} = -\infty$ which contradicts the positivity of $y(t)$.

Equations of the form

$$y'' + p(t)g(y) = e^t \sin t$$

with $p(t)$ and $g(y)$ as above satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. V. ATKINSON (1972/73) - *On second order differential inequalities*, « Proc. R.S.E.(A) », 72 (8), 109-127.
- [2] A. G. KARTSATOS (1971) - *On the maintenance of oscillations of n^{th} order equations under the effect of a small forcing term*, « Journal of Differential Equations », 10, 355-363.
- [3] A. G. KARTSATOS (1972) - *Maintenance of oscillations under the effect of a periodic forcing term*, « Proc. Am. Math. Soc. », 33, 377-383.
- [4] A. G. KARTSATOS and M. N., MANOUGIAN (1974) - *Perturbations causing oscillations of functional-differential equations*, « Proc. Am. Math. Soc. », 43, 111-117.
- [5] S. M. RANKIN (1975) - *Oscillation of a forced second order non-linear differential equation*. « Proc. Am. Math. Soc. », 58, July, 1976.
- [6] H. JR. TEUFEL (1972) - *Forced second-order non-linear oscillations*, « J. Math Anal. Applic. », 40, 148-152.