
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

GIULIANA GIGANTE

A note on cohomology groups on Kaehler manifolds

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 59 (1975), n.5, p. 401–403.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_59_5_401_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Geometria differenziale. — *A note on cohomology groups on Kaehler manifolds.* Nota di GIULIANA GIGANTE, presentata (*) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si dimostra una proprietà dello spazio delle forme armoniche su di una varietà kähleriana, e da essa si traggono alcune conseguenze.

Let M be a compact Kaehler manifold. Let Ω^p be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic tangent vector fields on M . The q -th cohomology "group" of M with coefficients in Ω^p is isomorphic to the space $H^{p,q}(M, \mathbb{C})$ of "harmonic" (p, q) -forms on M , i.e. the complex vector space consisting of those C^∞ forms φ of type (p, q) such that $\square\varphi = 0$ on M , where $\square$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator for the Kaehler metric on M .

If w denotes the exterior form of the Kaehler metric on M , then, for every positive integer $p \leq n$ ($n = \dim M$), the (p, p) -form $w^p = w \wedge \dots \wedge w$ belongs to $H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C})$; thus $\dim H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C}) = 0$.

Let $C^{r,s}$ be the space of $C^\infty(r, s)$ -forms on M . Let $L: C^{r,s} \rightarrow C^{r+1,s+1}$ be the operator defined locally by $L\varphi = w \wedge \varphi$ (where $\wedge$ denotes the exterior product) and let Λ be its adjoint with respect to the euclidean structure defined by the Kaehler metric; Λ is expressed by $\Lambda = (-1)^{r+s} L^*$, where $*: C^{r,s} \rightarrow C^{n-s, n-r}$ is the operator defined by the Kaehler metric.

The object of this paper is to prove the following

THEOREM. *Let M be a compact, Kaehler manifold of (complex) dimension n and let p be an odd number, $0 \leq p \leq n/2$. If $\dim H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C}) = k$ and $k \leq (n-p)/p$, then*

$$H^{r,s}(M, \mathbb{C}) \wedge \dots \wedge H^{r,s}(M, \mathbb{C}) = 0, \\ (k\text{-times})$$

for every pair (r, s) such that $r + s = p$.

Proof. The scalar product $(\varphi, \psi) = \int_M \varphi \Lambda^* \bar{\psi}$ ($\varphi, \psi \in H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C})$)

defines on $H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C})$ the structure of a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Note that $(w^p, w^p) = 1$. Let $\Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_k$ be elements of $H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C})$ which, with w^p , form an orthonormal system in $H^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ be forms of type (r, s) in $H^{r,s}(M, \mathbb{C})$, then $\bar{\varphi}_1, \dots, \bar{\varphi}_k \in H^{r,s}(M, \mathbb{C})$, since $\square$ is real: $\square \bar{\varphi}_i = \overline{\square \varphi_i} = 0$. Consider the (p, p) -forms $\varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$; they satisfy the conditions

$$\partial(\varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}(\varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i) = 0.$$

(*) Nella seduta del 15 novembre 1975.

Then, we can write, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$:

$$\varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i = \lambda_{i1} w^p + \sum_2^k \lambda_{ij} \Omega_i + \bar{\partial} h_i,$$

where h_i is a $(p, p-1)$ -form and λ_{ij} are complex numbers. Since $\Lambda^p(\varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i)$ is a non negative continuous function on M (it is zero only where φ_i is zero) and since

$$0 = \left(\sum_2^k \lambda_{ij} \Omega_i + \bar{\partial} h_i, w^p \right) = \int_M \Lambda^p \left(\sum_2^k \lambda_{ij} \Omega_j + \bar{\partial} h_i \right) dv,$$

where dv is the volume element of M , then we get:

$$0 < \int_M \Lambda^p(\varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i) dv = \int_M \lambda_{i1} (\Lambda^p w^p) dv.$$

Therefore, we can suppose that $\lambda_{i1} = 1$, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let us consider now the $k \times k$ complex matrix

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_{12} & \dots & \lambda_{k2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{1k} & \dots & \lambda_{kk} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show that $\det \Gamma = 0$. If this were not the case, given a vector $B \in \mathbf{C}^k$, of the type $B = {}^t(c, 0, \dots, 0)$, $c \neq 0$, we could find a vector $A = {}^t(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbf{C}^k$ such that: $\Gamma A = B$. Then, we should get:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i = c w^p + \bar{\partial} (\sum_i \alpha_i h_i)$$

and $(\sum_i \alpha_i \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i)^{k+1} = 0 = c^{k+1} w^{p(k+1)} + \bar{\partial} (\sum_i \alpha_i h_i)^{k+1}$, which is absurd if $c \neq 0$ and $p(k+1) \leq n$, since $(\bar{\partial} (\sum_i \alpha_i h_i))^{k+1} \in \text{Image } \bar{\partial}$. Then $\det \Gamma = 0$, and there is a non zero vector in $\mathbf{C}^k$, $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$, such that

$$\sum_i \beta_i \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i \in \text{Im } \bar{\partial}.$$

Suppose that $\beta_i \neq 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$, $t \leq k$; then

$$\sum_1^t \beta_i \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i \in \text{Im } \bar{\partial},$$

$$\left(\sum_1^t \beta_i \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i \right)^t = t! \beta_1 \dots \beta_t \varphi_1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_t \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t \in \text{Im } \bar{\partial}.$$

So, since $\beta_1 \dots \beta_t \neq 0$, we obtain:

$$(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_t) \wedge (\bar{\varphi}_1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t) \in \text{Im } \bar{\partial},$$

and

$$\psi \wedge \bar{\psi} \in \text{Im } \bar{\partial}, \quad \text{where } \psi = \varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_t.$$

Since $p \cdot t \leq n$, we have

$$\int_M \Lambda^{pt} (\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}) dv = (\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}, w^{pt}) = 0,$$

which implies that $\psi(x) = 0$, by the same argument as before for φ_i .

Remark. In the proof of the above theorem, we did not really use the fact that $\varphi_i \in H^{r,s}(M, \mathbf{C})$, but only that $\partial\varphi_i = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$. So, we could state the following result: if $\dim H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{C}) = k$, with $0 < p \leq n/2$, p odd and $k \leq (n-p)/p$, then whenever $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ are (r, s) -forms with $r+s=p$ and $\partial\varphi_i = 0$, $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, it holds: $\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_k = 0$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let M be a compact, Kaehler manifold of dimension n . Then:*

i) if $0 < p \leq n/2$, p odd and $\dim H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 1$, then $H^{r,s}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ for each pair (r, s) with $r+s \leq p$ and $(r+s)$ odd.

ii) if $n/2 \leq l < n$, $(n-l)$ odd and $\dim H^{l,l}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 1$, then $H^{r,s}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ for each pair (r, s) with $r+s \leq n+l$ and $(r+s)$ odd.

Proof. i) follows easily from the above theorem, in view of the injectivity of the operator L on forms of type (a, b) with $a+b \leq n+1$ (see [1]).

ii) follows from i), when we take $p = n-l$ and we note that $H^{r,s}(M, \mathbf{C}) \simeq H^{n-r, n-s}(M, \mathbf{C})$.

COROLLARY 2. *If n is even and p is odd with $0 < p \leq n/2$, and if $\dim H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 1$, then $H^{r,s}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ for each pair (r, s) such that $r+s$ is odd and either $r+s \leq p$ or $r+s \geq 2n-p$.*

The proof follows from Corollary 1.

Denoting by B_v the v -th Betti number of M , we have

COROLLARY 3. *If $B_{2(2v+1)} = 1$ with $2v+1 \leq n/2$, then $B_{2v+1} = 0$.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. WEIL (1958) - *Introduction à l'étude des variétés Kaehlériennes*, Paris, Hermann.
- [2] G. DE RHAM (1954) - *Variétés différentiables*, Paris, Hermann.