

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

AWDHESH KUMAR

**Decomposition of pseudo-projective tensor fields in a  
second order recurrent Finsler space**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **59** (1975), n.1-2, p. 77–82.  
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei*

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1975\\_8\\_59\\_1-2\\_77\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_59_1-2_77_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1975.

**Geometria differenziale.** — *Decomposition of pseudo-projective tensor fields in a second order recurrent Finsler space.* Nota<sup>(\*)</sup> di AWDHESH KUMAR, presentata dal Socio E. BOMPIANI.

**RiASSUNTO.** — Dato in uno spazio di Finsler un campo tensoriale pseudo-proiettivo (secondo la definizione di B. B. Sinha e di S. P. Singh) si mostra la possibilità di decomposizione del tipo dato in altri più semplici.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space  $F_n$  [1]<sup>(1)</sup> equipped with  $2n$  line elements  $(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$  and a fundamental metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  which is positively homogeneous of degree one in its directional arguments. The metric tensor of the space is given by

$$(I.1) \quad g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j F^2(x, \dot{x}), \quad \dot{\partial}_i \equiv \partial / \partial \dot{x}^i.$$

The covariant derivative of a vector field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $x^k$  in the sense of Berwald is given by<sup>(2)</sup>

$$(I.2) \quad X^i_{(k)} = \partial_k X^i - (\dot{\partial}_h X^i) G^h_k + X^h G^i_{hk},$$

where  $G^i(x, \dot{x})$  are Berwald's connection coefficients positively homogeneous of degree two in  $\dot{x}^i$ , s.

The projective covariant derivative [5] of  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $x^k$  is given by

$$(I.3) \quad X^i_{(k)} = \partial_k X^i - (\dot{\partial}_m X^i) \Pi^m_{rk} \dot{x}^r + X^h \Pi^i_{hk},$$

where

$$(I.4) \quad \Pi^i_{hk}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ G^i_{hk} - \frac{1}{(n+1)} (2 \dot{\partial}_h G^r_k)_r + \dot{x}^i G^r_{rkh} \right\}$$

are projective connection coefficients positively homogeneous of degree zero in  $x^i$ , s and also satisfy the following relations:

$$(I.5) \quad a) \quad \Pi^i_{hkr} \dot{x}^h = 0, \quad b) \quad \Pi^i_{hk} \dot{x}^h = \Pi^i_k.$$

(\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 3 luglio 1975.

(1) The numbers in square brackets refer to the references given in the end of the paper.

(2)  $2 A_{hk} = A_{hk} + A_{kh}$  and  $2 A_{[hk]} = A_{hk} - A_{kh}$ .

The commutation formulae involving the projective covariant derivative are given by

$$(I.6) \quad (\partial_k T_j^i)_{(h)} - \partial_k T_j^i{}_{(h)} = T_r^i \Pi_{jkh}^r - T_j^r \Pi_{rkh}^i$$

and

$$(I.7) \quad 2 T_{j[(h)(k)]}^i = - (\partial_r T_j^i) Q_{hk}^r - T_r^i Q_{jhk}^r + T_j^r Q_{rkh}^i,$$

where

$$(I.8) \quad Q_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2 \{ \partial_{[k} \Pi_{j]h}^i - \Pi_{rh[j}^i \Pi_{k]l}^r + \Pi_{h[j}^r \Pi_{k]l}^i \}.$$

Two more tensor fields  $H_j^i(x, \dot{x})$  and  $W_j^i(x, \dot{x})$  are respectively given by

$$(I.9) \quad H_j^i(x, \dot{x}) = 2 \partial_j G^i - (\partial_h \partial_j G^i) \dot{x}^h + 2 G_{jl}^i G^l - (\partial_l G^i) \partial_j G^l$$

and

$$(I.10) \quad W_j^i(x, \dot{x}) = H_j^i - H \partial_j^i - \frac{1}{(n+1)} (\partial_r H_j^r - \partial_j H) \dot{x}^i,$$

which satisfy the following relations:

$$(I.11) \quad \begin{aligned} a) \quad & H_{hj}^i = \frac{2}{3} \partial_{[h} H_{j]}^i, & b) \quad & H_{hjk}^i = \partial_h H_{jk}^i, & c) \quad & H_{hk}^i \dot{x}^h = H_k^i \text{ and} \\ d) \quad & H_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^h = H_{jk}^i \end{aligned}$$

$$(I.12) \quad \begin{aligned} a) \quad & W_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^h = W_{jk}^i, & b) \quad & W_{hk}^i \dot{x}^h = W_k^i, & c) \quad & W_{hj}^i = \frac{2}{3} \partial_{[h} W_{j]}^i \text{ and} \\ d) \quad & \partial_h W_{jk}^i = W_{hjk}^i. \end{aligned}$$

Pseudo-projective tensor fields  $W_j^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$  are defined by Sinha and Singh [6] as

$$(I.13) \quad W_j^{*i}(x, \dot{x}) = a W_j^i + b H_j^i,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are scalar functions of  $(x, \dot{x})$  and homogeneous of degree zero in  $\dot{x}^i$ . The pseudo-projective tensor fields satisfy the<sup>(3)</sup> following identities [6]:

$$(I.14) \quad a) \quad W_{hk}^{*i} = W_{jhk}^{*i} \dot{x}^j, \quad b) \quad W_{jhk}^{*i} = \partial_j W_{hk}^{*i},$$

$$(I.15) \quad W_{[lhj]}^{*i} = 0,$$

$$(I.16) \quad W_{lkhj}^{*i} + W_{jhlk}^{*i} = \frac{2}{3} [g_{ik} \partial_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i} + g_{il} \partial_{k[j}^2 W_{h]}^{*i}],$$

$$(I.17) \quad W_{lkhj}^{*i} + W_{jhlk}^{*i} = \frac{2}{3} [g_{ik} \partial_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i} + g_{ih} \partial_{j[k}^2 W_{l]}^{*i}]$$

(3)  $3 A_{[hkr]} = A_{hkr} + A_{krh} + A_{rkh}.$

and

$$(1.18) \quad W_{lkhj}^* - W_{ljhk}^* + W_{hjlk}^* - W_{hklj}^* = \frac{2}{3} [g_{ik} \partial_{jl}^2 W_h^{*i} + g_{il} \partial_{jh}^2 W_l^{*i}],$$

where

$$W_{lkhj}^*(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{ik} W_{lhj}^{*i}.$$

The first and second order pseudo-projective recurrent tensor fields are given by

$$(1.19) \quad W_{jkh((s))}^{*i} = \lambda_s W_{jkh}^{*i}$$

and

$$(1.20) \quad W_{jkh((s))(m)}^{*i} = \alpha_{sm} W_{jkh}^{*i},$$

where  $\lambda_s$  and  $\alpha_{sm}$  are recurrence vector and tensor fields respectively. Transvecting (1.19) and (1.20) by  $\dot{x}^j$  and noting equation (1.14 a) and the fact  $\dot{x}_{(k)}^i = 0$ , we get

$$(1.21) \quad W_{kh((s))}^{*i} = \lambda_s W_{kh}^{*i}$$

and

$$(1.22) \quad W_{kh((s))(m)}^{*i} = \alpha_{sm} W_{kh}^{*i}.$$

The following relation between recurrence vector and tensor fields is also satisfied as

$$(1.23) \quad \alpha_{sm} = \lambda_{s(m)} + \lambda_s \lambda_m.$$

## 2. DECOMPOSITION OF PSEUDO-PROJECTIVE TENSOR FIELDS

We consider the decomposition of pseudo-projective tensor field  $W_{kh}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$  as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad W_{kh}^{*i}(x, \dot{x}) = \dot{x}^i \varphi_{kh},$$

where  $\varphi_{kh}(x, \dot{x})$  is any non zero homogeneous tensor field of first order in its directional arguments and  $\dot{x}^i \lambda_i = \rho$  (Constant). Differentiating (2.1) partially with respect to  $\dot{x}^j$  and noting equation (1.14 b), we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad W_{jkh}^{*i} = \dot{x}^i \varphi_{jkh} \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi_{jkh}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_j \varphi_{kh}.$$

Here now we suppose that the above decomposition conditions which hold for pseudo-projective tensor fields, are also satisfied by the projective entities  $Q_{jkh}^i(x, \dot{x})$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *In an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space, the decomposition tensor field  $\varphi_{jkh}(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the following identities:*

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_{[lhj]} = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \dot{x}^i (g_{ik} \varphi_{lhj} + g_{il} \varphi_{kjh}) = \frac{2}{3} [g_{ik} \dot{\partial}_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i} + g_{il} \dot{\partial}_{k]j}^2 W_h^{*i}],$$

$$(2.6) \quad \dot{x}^i (g_{ik} \varphi_{lhj} + g_{ih} \varphi_{jkl}) = \frac{2}{3} [g_{ik} \dot{\partial}_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i} + g_{ih} \dot{\partial}_{j]k}^2 W_l^{*i}]$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \dot{x}^i (g_{ik} \varphi_{[lh]j} - g_{ij} \varphi_{[lh]k}) = \frac{1}{3} [g_{j[k} \dot{\partial}_{j]l}^2 W_h^{*i} + g_{i[lk} \dot{\partial}_{j]h}^2 W_l^{*i}].$$

*Proof.* In view of the decomposition defined by equation (2.2), the identities (1.15), (1.16), (1.17) and (1.18) yield respectively the required results (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

**THEOREM 2.2** *In an  $F_n$  the decomposition tensor fields  $\varphi_{jkh}(x, \dot{x})$  and  $\varphi_{kh}(x, \dot{x})$  satisfy the second order recurrency condition.*

*Proof.* Differentiating (2.2) twice projectively with respect to  $x^s$  and  $x^m$ , we get

$$(2.8) \quad W_{jkh((s))((m))}^{*i} = \dot{x}^i \varphi_{jkh((s))((m))},$$

which in view of equations (1.22) and (2.2) reduces to

$$(2.9) \quad a_{sm} \varphi_{jkh} = \varphi_{jkh((s))((m))}.$$

Transvecting (2.9) by  $\dot{x}^j$  and noting the homogeneity property of the decomposition tensor field  $\varphi_{jkh}(x, \dot{x})$ , we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad a_{sm} \varphi_{kh} = \varphi_{kh((s))((m))}$$

which proves the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *In an  $F_n$ , if  $\lambda_s$  is independent of  $\dot{x}^i$ , the recurrence tensor field  $a_{sm}$  satisfies*

$$(2.11) \quad (\dot{\partial}_j a_{sm} - \dot{\partial}_m a_{sj}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* In view of (2.3), differentiating partially (2.10) with respect to  $\dot{x}^j$ , we get

$$(2.12) \quad (\dot{\partial}_j a_{sm}) \varphi_{kh} + a_{sm} \varphi_{jkh} = \dot{\partial}_j \{(\varphi_{kh((s))})_{((m))}\},$$

which in view of equation (1.23), commutation formula (1.6) and the fact that  $\lambda_s$  is independent of  $\dot{x}^i$  reduces to

$$(2.13) \quad (\dot{\partial}_j (a_{sm}) \varphi_{kh} = -\{\varphi_{rh(s)} \Pi_{kmj}^r + \varphi_{kr(s)} \Pi_{hmj}^r + \varphi_{kh(r)} \Pi_{smj}^r\}).$$

Interchanging the indices  $m$  and  $j$  and subtracting the result thus obtained from it, we get the required result (2.11).

**THEOREM 2.4.** *In an  $F_n$  if the recurrence vector  $\lambda_s$  is independent of direction, the equation*

$$(2.14) \quad \{(\partial_j \alpha_{sm}) \varphi_{kh} - (\partial_k \alpha_{sm}) \varphi_{jh}\} \dot{x}^m = 0$$

holds.

*Proof.* Commutating (2.13) with respect to the indices  $j$  and  $k$  we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \{(\partial_j \alpha_{sm}) \varphi_{kh} - (\partial_k \alpha_{sm}) \varphi_{jh}\} = 2 \{\Pi_{hm[k}^r \varphi_{j]r(s)} + \Pi_{sm[k}^r \varphi_{j]h(r)}\}.$$

Transvecting (2.15) by  $\dot{x}^m$  and using equation (1.5a), we get the required result.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *In an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space, the skew symmetric part of the recurrence tensor field  $\alpha_{sm}(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the first order recurrence condition under the decomposition defined by (2.1) and (2.2).*

*Proof.* In view of commutation formula (1.7) commutating (2.10) with respect to the indices  $s$  and  $m$  we get

$$(2.16) \quad (\alpha_{sm} - \alpha_{ms}) \varphi_{kh} = -(\partial_r \varphi_{kh}) Q_{sm}^r - \varphi_{rh} Q_{ksm}^r - \varphi_{kr} Q_{hms}^r,$$

which in view of equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) reduces to

$$(2.17) \quad (\alpha_{sm} - \alpha_{ms}) \varphi_{kh} = -\{\varphi_{rkh} \varphi_{sm} + \varphi_{rh} \varphi_{ksm} + \varphi_{kr} \varphi_{hms}\} \dot{x}^r.$$

Differentiating the above equation projective covariantly with respect to  $x^j$  and using the first order recurrence condition of the recurrence tensor fields  $\varphi_{jkh}(x, \dot{x})$  and  $\varphi_{kh}$ , we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad \{(\alpha_{sm} - \alpha_{ms})_{(j)} + \lambda_j (\alpha_{sm} - \alpha_{ms})\} \varphi_{kh} = \\ = -2 \lambda_j \{\varphi_{rkh} \varphi_{sm} + \varphi_{rh} \varphi_{ksm} + \varphi_{kr} \varphi_{hms}\} \dot{x}^r.$$

In view of (2.17), the above equation reduces to

$$(2.19) \quad (\alpha_{sm} - \alpha_{ms})_{(j)} = \lambda_j (\alpha_{sm} - \alpha_{ms}).$$

which proves Theorem 2.5.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Under the decomposition (2.1) and (2.2), if  $\lambda_s$  is independent of  $x^i$  the relation*

$$(2.20) \quad \dot{x}^r \{\varphi_{rkh} \varphi_{lmj} + \varphi_{rh} \varphi_{klmj} + \varphi_{kr} \varphi_{hlmj}\} \lambda_s = 0.$$

*Proof.* Differentiating (2.10) projective covariantly with respect to  $x^j$  and using the first order recurrence property of  $\varphi_{kh}(x, \dot{x})$ , we get

$$(2.21) \quad (\alpha_{sm(j)} + \lambda_j \alpha_{sm}) \varphi_{kh} = \varphi_{kh(s)(m)(j)}.$$

In view of commutation formula (1.7) commuting the above equation with respect to the indices  $m$  and  $j$ , we get

$$(2.22) \quad \varphi_{kh} \{ a_{sm(j)} - a_{sj(m)} + \lambda_j a_{sm} - \lambda_m a_{sj} \} = \\ = - \{ \partial_r \varphi_{kh(s)} Q_{mj}^r + \varphi_{rh(s)} Q_{kmj}^r + \varphi_{kr(s)} Q_{hmj}^r + \varphi_{kh(r)} Q_{smj}^r \}.$$

In view of (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and the fact that  $\lambda_s$  is independent of  $x^i$ , the above equation reduces to

$$(2.23) \quad \varphi_{kh} (a_{sm(j)} - a_{sj(m)} + \lambda_j a_{sm} - \lambda_m a_{sj}) = - \dot{x}^r \{ \lambda_s (\varphi_{rh} \varphi_{mj} + \\ + \varphi_{rh} \varphi_{kmj} + \varphi_{kr} \varphi_{hmj}) + \lambda_r \varphi_{kh} \varphi_{smj} \}.$$

Interchanging cyclically the indices  $s$ ,  $m$  and  $j$  in (2.23) two more similar result obtained. Adding all the three equations in view of the identity (2.4) the symmetric property of the recurrence tensor field  $a_{sm}$  i.e ( $a_{sm} = a_{ms}$ ), we obtain the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *In an  $F_n$  the recurrence tensor field  $\varphi_{kh}(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the relation*

$$(2.24) \quad \varphi_{kh((s))((m))((j))} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Commutating (2.21) with respect to the indices  $m$  and  $j$ , we get

$$(2.25) \quad \varphi_{kh} (b_{s[m(j)]} + b_{s[m} \lambda_{j]}) = \varphi_{kh(s)[((m))((j))}.$$

Interchanging cyclically the indices  $s$ ,  $m$  and  $j$  in the above equation two more equations are obtained. Adding all the two equations thus obtained with (2.25) in view of the symmetric property of the tensor field  $a_{sm}(x, \dot{x})$ , we get the required result (2.24).

*Acknowledgements.* The author expresses his sincere thanks to Dr. H. D. Pande for his constant encouragement and valuable guidance in preparing this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. RUND (1959) – *The differential geometry of Finsler space*, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [2] A. G. WALKER (1950) – *On Ruse's spaces of recurrent curvature*, « Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. », 50, 36–64.
- [3] R. S. MISHRA and H. D. PANDE (1968) – *Recurrent Finsler Spaces*, « J. Ind. Math. Soc. », 32, 17–22.
- [4] A. KUMAR – *Decomposition of projective curvature tensor fields in a second order recurrent Finsler space*, « Math. Sci. Saharanpur » (India) (Communicated).
- [5] R. B. MISRA (1966) – *The projective transformation in a Finsler space*, « Annales de la Soc. Sci. de Bruxelles », 80, III, 227–239.
- [6] B. B. SINHA and S. P. SINGH (1971) – *On a pseudo-projective tensor fields*, « Tensor N.S. », 22 (3), 317–320.