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Equazioni differenziali ordinarie. - Upper Bounds for Conjugate Points of Nonselfadjoint Fourth Order Differential Equations. Nota di Allan Edelson e Kurt Kreith, presentata (*) dal Socio M. Picone.

Riassunto. - Nella Nota presente sono dati limiti superiori per la mutua distanza di due punti coniugati consecutivi competenti ad un'equazione differenziale ordinaria del quarto ordine non autoaggiunta.

In [I] criteria are established which assure the existence of conjugate points of the real nonselfadjoint fourth order equation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
l u \equiv\left(p_{2}(t) u^{\prime \prime}-q_{2}(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{1}(t) u^{\prime}-q_{1}(t) u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0}(t) u=0  \tag{I}\\
\left(p_{2}(t)>0\right),
\end{array}
$$

where by the conjugate point $\gamma_{11}(\alpha)$ we mean the smallest $\beta>\alpha$ such that the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\alpha)=u^{\prime}(\alpha)=0=u(\beta)=u^{\prime}(\beta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are realized by some nontrivial solution $u(t)$ of (I). These criteria, however, only assure the existence of $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ without providing specific upper bounds. It is the determination of upper bounds for $r_{1}(\alpha)$ which concerns us below.

The coefficients $p_{k}(x)$ and $q_{k}(x)$ are assumed real and of class $\mathrm{C}^{k}$ in an interval $[\alpha, \infty)$ with $p_{2}(x)>0$. Then, as shown in [2], it is possible to represent (i) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}=a(t) y+b(t) x  \tag{3}\\
& x^{\prime \prime}=c(t) y+d(t) x
\end{align*}
$$

where the $b(t)>0$ and the coefficients of (3) are continuous in $[\alpha, \infty)$. The criteria of [ I ] for the existence of $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ are as follows:
(i) $c(t) \geq a(t)>0$;
(ii) $b(t) \geq d(t)>0$;
(iii) $v^{\prime \prime}+[\min \{b(t)-d(t), c(t)-a(t)\}] v=0$ is oscillatory at $t=\infty$;
(iv) $\int^{\infty} t b(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$ :
(v) $\int^{\infty} t c(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$.

[^0]Specifically, if (i)-(v) are satisfied, then there is a solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (I) which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(\alpha)=y^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 ; x(\alpha)=1 \quad ; \quad x^{\prime}(\alpha)=-v_{0}<0 ; \\
& y(\beta)=y^{\prime}(\beta)=0 ; x(\beta)>0 ; \\
& y(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha<t<\beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Such a trajectory can be decomposed into three components:
I) A path in the first quadrant which satisfies $y(t) \geq 0, y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, $0 \leq x(t) \leq \mathrm{I}$ and $-v_{0} \leq x^{\prime}(t) \leq \mathrm{o}$ for $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$ and $y(\alpha)=y^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$.
II) A path in the second quadrant which enters and leaves through the positive $y$-axis at $t=\beta$ and $t=\gamma$, respectively.
III) A path in the first quadrant which satisfies $y(t) \geq 0, y^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, $x(t) \geq 0, x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $\gamma \leq t \leq \eta_{1}(\alpha)$ and $y\left(\eta_{1}(\alpha)\right)=y^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}(\alpha)\right)=0$.

Our upper bounds for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ will depend on establishing bounds for $\beta-\alpha$, $\gamma-\beta$, and $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\gamma$ in terms of the coefficients of (3). It is assumed that the conditions (i)-(v) assuring the existence of $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ are satisfied throughout.

Path 1. Upper bounds for $\beta-\alpha$ will be established in two steps. We consider first the case where $v_{0}$ is large and seek a pair ( $v_{0}, \beta_{1}$ ) such that
(i) $0<x(t) \leq \mathrm{I}$ for $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta_{1}$ leads to a contradiction;
and
(ii) for every $\delta$ satisfying $\alpha \leq \delta \leq \beta_{1}, \quad 0 \leq x(t) \leq \mathrm{I}$

$$
\text { for } \alpha \leq t \leq \delta \quad \text { implies } \quad x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha \leq t \leq \delta
$$

The first condition assures that the trajectory cannot remain in the strip $0 \leq x \leq \mathrm{I}$ beyond $t=\beta_{1}$ while the second assures that it cannot leave the strip across the $\operatorname{lin} x=\mathrm{I}$. Thus $\beta_{1}-\alpha$ will be an upper bound for Path I when $v_{0}$ is sufficiently large.

To establish (i) we integrate the first equation of (3) to note that if $x(t) \leq \mathrm{I}$, then

$$
y^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\alpha}^{t}(a y+b x) \mathrm{d} s \leq \int_{\alpha}^{t}(a y+b) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

Defining

$$
\mathrm{A}(t)=\int_{\alpha}^{t} a(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad, \quad \mathrm{~B}(t)=\int_{\alpha}^{t} b(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \text { etc. },
$$

we integrate by parts to get

$$
\mathrm{o} \leq y^{\prime}(t) \leq \mathrm{B}(t)+\mathrm{A}(t) y(t)-\int_{\alpha}^{t} \mathrm{~A} y^{\prime} \mathrm{d} s
$$

along I, so that

$$
y^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{A} y .
$$

In order to get and upper bound for $y(t)$ we let $\mathrm{Y}(t)$ denote the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} & =\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{AY} \\
\mathrm{Y}(\alpha) & =\mathrm{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

and use standard comparison theorems to conclude that $y(t) \leq \mathrm{Y}(t)$ for $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta_{1}$. Turning now to the second equation in (3) we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(t) & =-v_{0}+\int_{\alpha}^{t}(c y+\mathrm{d} x) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq-v_{0}+\int_{\alpha}^{t} c \mathrm{Yd} s+\mathrm{D}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta_{1}$ whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta_{1}} c(t) \mathrm{Y}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\mathrm{D}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
x(t) \leq \mathrm{I}-v_{0}(t-\alpha)+\int_{\alpha}^{t} \int_{\alpha}^{s} c \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{\alpha}^{t} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~d} s .
$$

This last inequality contradictis the assumption $x(t)>0$ for $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta_{1}$ in case

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta_{1}} \int_{\alpha}^{t} c(s) \mathrm{Y}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta_{1}} \mathrm{D}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{\beta_{1}-\alpha} \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore fix a pair $\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}_{0}, \beta_{1}\right)$ satisfying (4 a) and (4 b) above, accept $\beta_{1}$ as a possible upper bound for $\beta$ in case $v_{0} \geq \vec{v}_{0}$, and go on to consider the case $v_{0}<\dot{v}_{0}$. Trajectories satisfying $v_{0}<\dot{v}_{0}$ will remain in the first quadrant for $\alpha \leq t \leq \alpha+\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{0}}$. Fixing $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfying $\alpha<\tilde{\alpha} \leq \alpha+\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{0}}$, we clearly have $x(\tilde{\alpha})>0, y(\tilde{\alpha})>0, x^{\prime}(\tilde{\alpha})>-v_{0}$ and

$$
x(t) \geq \mathrm{I}-\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}_{0}(t-\alpha)>0
$$

for $\alpha \leq t \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ so that

$$
y^{\prime}(\tilde{\alpha}) \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} b x \mathrm{~d} t \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} b\left[\mathrm{I}-\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}_{0}(t-\alpha)\right] \mathrm{d} t>0
$$

Denoting the last integral above by $k$, we have $y(t) \geq k(t-\tilde{\alpha})$ for $\tilde{\alpha} \leq t \leq \beta$. Going back to (3) again we have for $t \geq \tilde{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(t) & \geq x^{\prime}(\tilde{\alpha})+\int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{t} c y \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \geq-\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}_{0}+k \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{t}(s-\widetilde{\alpha}) c \mathrm{~d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since a trajectory which realizes $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ has a zero in $x(t)$ before a zero in $x^{\prime}(t)$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}_{0} \leq k \int_{\stackrel{\tilde{\alpha}}{ }}^{\beta_{2}}(t-\alpha) c(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

determines an upper bound for $\beta$ in case $v_{0} \leq \tilde{v}_{0}$. Combining the two possible cases we have max $\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right]$ as an upper bound for $\beta$, where $\beta_{1}$ is determined by (4 a) and (4 b), while $\beta_{2}$ is determined by (5)

Path 11 . We now consider the problem of estimating the time, $\gamma-\beta$, that the trajectory remains in the second quadrant. Let II denote the open second quadrant, $\mathrm{II}=\{(x, y) ; x<0, y>0\}$, and we recall the following definitions and recults from [1]. Define

$$
\mathrm{Y}(t)=\binom{x(t)}{y(t)} \quad, \quad \mathrm{A}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d(t) & c(t) \\
b(t) & a(t)
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad \mathrm{H}=\binom{-\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}} .
$$

Then for $\mathrm{Y} \in \mathrm{II}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle=y-x>0 \\
& -\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AY}\rangle=(b-d)(-x)+(c-a) y \geq m(y-x) \geq 0, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m(t)=\min \{b(t)-d(t), c(t)-a(t)\} . \quad$ Clearly $-\frac{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AY}\rangle}{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle}, \geq m$. By (iii) the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}+m(t) v=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, and we let $t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots$ be the zeros of a solution, where $t_{i} \uparrow \infty$. Let $t_{k}$ be the least $t_{i}$ such that $\beta \leq t_{k}$. A direct calculation yields

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[u u^{\prime}-u^{2} \frac{\left\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle}\right]=-m u^{2}-u^{2} \frac{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AY}\rangle}{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle}+\left[u^{\prime}-u \frac{\left\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle}\right]^{2},
$$

and by integration we obtain

$$
\mathrm{o}=\left[u u^{\prime}-u^{2} \frac{\left\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle}\right]_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \geq-\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left[m+\frac{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AY}\rangle}{\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle}\right] u^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

with equality if and only if $u(t) \equiv\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}(t)\rangle$ in $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$. If $\mathrm{Y}(t)$ remains in II for all $t$ in $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, then $\langle\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}(t)\rangle>0$ in $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, and the above
inequality must be strict. This contradicts (6) which means $\mathrm{Y}(t)$ cannot remain in II in $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$. Setting $\beta=t_{k}$, an upper bound for $\gamma-\beta$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\beta<t_{k+1}-t_{k} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if $m(t) \geq k^{2}>0$, then by the Sturm comparison theorem

$$
\gamma-\beta<\frac{\pi}{k} .
$$

Path III. To estabish upper bounds for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\gamma$ we begin by renormalizing the problem with the assumption $y(\gamma)=\mathrm{I}$. It is clear that any conjugate point trajectory re-enters the first quadrant with initial velocity components $x^{\prime}(\gamma)=v_{x}>0$ and $y^{\prime}(\gamma)=-v_{y}<0$. Letting $\theta=\cot ^{-1}\left(v_{y} / v_{x}\right)$ we consider separately the cases $\theta$ large and $\theta$ small.

The third component of a conjugate point trajectory satisfies $0<x(t)$ and $0 \leq y(t)$ for all $t>\gamma$. Hence from the positivity of the coefficients $a(t), b(t), c(t)$, and $d(t)$ in (3) we have $\circ<x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and $\circ<y^{\prime \prime}(t)$, and it follows that $v_{x}(t-\gamma) \leq x(t)$ for all $t \geq \gamma$. Integrating the first equation of (3) yields
(9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(t) & =-v_{y}+\int_{\gamma}^{t} a y+b x \\
& \geq-v_{y}+\int_{\gamma}^{t} b(s) v_{x}(s-\gamma) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Along the third component of a conjugate point trajectory $y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ implies that $y \geq \gamma_{11}(\alpha)$. Therefore if

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y} \leq v_{x} \int_{\gamma}^{t}(s-\gamma) b(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{IO}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $t \geq \eta_{1}(\alpha)$. In other words the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot \theta_{0} \leq \int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{1}}(t-\gamma) b(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

determines an upper bound $\delta_{1}$ for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ in case $\theta_{0} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. We note that $\delta_{1}$ is independent of the initial velocity $v=\sqrt{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}}$ with which the trajectory re-enters the first quadrant.

If $\delta_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ denotes the upper bound for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ obtained from (II), then $\delta_{1}^{\prime}(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta_{0} \downarrow$ o. We therefore fix an appropriate pair $\left(\theta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right)$, restrict our attention to the case $\theta<\theta_{0}$, and consider the two case $v_{y}$ large and $v_{y}$ small.

In case $v_{y}$ is sufficiently large, the trajectory will enter the fourth quadrant for sufficiently large values of $t$. To see this we use (3), the condition $0 \leq y(t) \leq \mathrm{I}$ and an integration by parts to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(t) & \leq v_{x}+\dot{\mathrm{C}}(t)+\mathrm{D}(t) x-\int_{\gamma}^{t} \mathrm{D}(s) x^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq y_{y} \tan \theta_{0}+\mathrm{C}(t)+\mathrm{D}(t) x(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\gamma \leq t \leq \eta_{1}(\alpha)$. If $\mathrm{X}(t)$ is the solution of the linear initial value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=v_{y} \tan \theta_{0}+\mathrm{C}(t)+\mathrm{D}(t) \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{X}(\gamma)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

then $x(t) \leq \mathrm{X}(t)$ for $\gamma \leq t \leq \eta_{1}(\alpha)$. Integrating (3) again yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t) \leq-v_{y}+\mathrm{A}(t)+\int_{\gamma}^{t} b(s) \mathrm{X}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{I2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \leq \mathrm{I}-v_{y}(t-\gamma)+\int_{\gamma}^{t} \mathrm{~A}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{\gamma}^{t} \int_{\gamma}^{\tau} b(s) \mathrm{X}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau \tag{12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\gamma \leq t \leq \eta_{1}(x)$.
We now seek to rule out large values of $v_{y}$ corresponding to $\theta<\theta_{0}$ by showing that $y(t)$ becomes negative while $y^{\prime}(t)$ remains negatve, contradicting the assumption that $x(t), y(t)$ is a conjugate point trajectory. This follows from (I2a) and (12b) in case

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y} \geq \mathrm{A}\left(\delta_{2}\right)+\int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{2}} b(t) \mathrm{X}(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{i3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y} \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\delta_{2}-\gamma}\left[\mathrm{I}+\int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{2}} \mathrm{~A}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{2}} \int_{\gamma}^{t} b(s) \mathrm{X}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right] \tag{13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore choose a pair ( $\tilde{v}_{y}, \delta_{2}$ ) satisfying (13a) and (I3b) and conclude that $\eta_{1}(\alpha) \leq \delta_{2}$ whenever $v_{y} \geq \tilde{v}_{y}$. We remark that since $\mathrm{X}(t)$ depends on $\theta_{0}, \tilde{v}_{y}$ has the same dependence. We now assume that a pair $\left(\theta_{0}, \tilde{v}_{y}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$ have been fixed as above and seek to find an upper bound for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\gamma$ in the case of conjugate point trajectories satisfying $v_{y} \leq \tilde{v}_{y}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

This final estimate proceeds as the estimate for Path I when $v_{0}$ is small. Specifically we choose $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfying $\gamma<\tilde{\gamma} \leq \gamma+\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{y}}$ so that $\tilde{\gamma}<\eta_{1}(\alpha)$. Then we clearly have

$$
y(\tilde{\gamma})>0 \quad, \quad x(\tilde{\gamma})>0 \quad, \quad y^{\prime}(\tilde{\gamma})>-\tilde{v}_{y}
$$

and

$$
y(t) \geq \mathrm{I}-\tilde{v}_{y}(t-\gamma)>0
$$

for $\gamma \leq t \leq \bar{\gamma}$. Therefore

$$
x^{\prime}(\tilde{\gamma}) \geq \int_{\gamma}^{\tilde{\gamma}} c y \mathrm{~d} t \geq \int_{\gamma}^{\tilde{\gamma}} c\left[\mathrm{I}-\tilde{v}_{y}(t-\gamma)\right] \mathrm{d} t>0
$$

Denoting the last integral above by K we have $x(t) \geq \mathrm{K}(t-\tilde{\gamma})$ for $\tilde{\gamma} \leq t \leq \eta_{1}(\alpha)$. Since $y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ implies that $t \geq \eta_{1}(\alpha)$ and (3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(t) & \geq y^{\prime}(\tilde{\gamma})+\int_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{t} c(s) x(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& >-\tilde{v}_{y}+\mathrm{K} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{t}(s-\tilde{\gamma}) c(s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality

$$
\tilde{v}_{y} \leq \mathrm{K} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\delta_{3}}(t-\tilde{\gamma}) c(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

determines a $\delta_{3}$ which is an upper bound for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ in case $v_{y} \leq \tilde{v}_{y}$. In any case $\max \left\{\delta_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right), \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}\right\}$ provides an upper bound for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$.

This discussion can be summarized by the following set of instructions for computing an upper bound for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ :

Path I. Let $\mathrm{Y}(t)$ denoe the solution of

$$
\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{AY} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{Y}(\alpha)=0
$$

Choose a pair ( $\tilde{v}_{0}, \beta_{1}$ ) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta_{1}} c \mathrm{Yd} t+\mathrm{D}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
v_{0} \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta_{1}} \int_{\alpha}^{t} c \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta_{1}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~d} t}{\beta_{1}-\alpha}
$$

Choose $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfying $\alpha<\tilde{\alpha} \leq \alpha+\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{0}}$, define

$$
k=\int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} b\left[\mathrm{I}-\tilde{v}_{0}(t-\alpha)\right] \mathrm{d} t>0
$$

and find $\beta_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\tilde{v}_{0} \leq k \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\beta_{2}}(t-\alpha) c(t) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Choose $\beta=\max \left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right]$.
Path II. Define $m(t)=\min \{b(t)-\mathrm{d}(t), c(t)-a(t)\}$. Let $u(x)$ be a nontrivial solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}+m(t) u & =0 \\
u(\beta) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\gamma$ to be any upper bound for the first zero of $u(x)$ to the right of $x=\beta$.
Path III. Choose a pair $\left(\theta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot \theta \leq \int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{1}}(t-\gamma) b(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{X}(t)$ denote the solution of

$$
\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=v_{y} \tan \theta_{0}+\mathrm{C}(t)+\mathrm{D}(t) \mathrm{X} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{X}(\gamma)=\mathrm{o}
$$

and choose a pair $\left(\tilde{v}_{y}, \delta_{2}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y} \geq \mathrm{A}\left(\delta_{2}\right)+\int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{2}} b \mathrm{X} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{I3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y} \geq \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\delta_{2}-\gamma}\left[\mathrm{I}+\int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{2}} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\gamma}^{\delta_{2}} \int_{\gamma}^{t} b \mathrm{X} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right] . \tag{13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then choose $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfying $\gamma<\tilde{\gamma}<\gamma+\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{y}}$, define

$$
\mathrm{K}=\int_{\gamma}^{\tilde{v}} c\left[\mathrm{I}-\tilde{v}_{y}(t-\gamma)\right] \mathrm{d} t>0
$$

and determine $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{3}}$ satisfying

$$
\tilde{v}_{y} \leq \mathrm{K} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\delta_{3}} t c(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Then $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\gamma \leq \max \left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}\right]$
The above discussion does not determine the size of the error of a particular estimate for $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ nor whether there is an optimal way in which to apply
this procedure. We can however consider an example of an equation for which $\eta_{1}(\alpha)$ is known and thereby obtain some feeling for these questions.

For the equation $y^{\text {iv }}=y$ one can readily calculate that $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\alpha \approx$ $\approx 4.72$ for all $\alpha$. Choosing $\alpha=-2.36$ we have $\eta_{1}(\alpha) \approx 2.36$ attained by $y \approx-7.5 \cos 2.36 x+\cosh 2.36 x$. By calculating $y^{\prime \prime}$ we see that for this equation, Path I and Path III are of approximate duration I.I while Path II has duration of about 2.6 , Our procedure applied to this equation is as follows:

Path I. Choosing $\alpha=0$ we get $\mathrm{Y}(t)=\frac{1}{2} t^{2}$. Choose $\beta_{1}=1.65$ and determine $\tilde{v}_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0} \geq \int_{0}^{1.65} \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \approx .68 \\
& v_{0} \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I} .65}\left(\mathrm{I}+\int_{0}^{1.65} \frac{t^{3}}{6} \mathrm{~d} t\right) \approx .79
\end{aligned}
$$

so that we way choose $\tilde{v}_{0}=.79$. We next choose $\tilde{\alpha}=1.20$ satisfying $\mathrm{o}<\tilde{\alpha} \leq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{.79}$ and compute

$$
k=\int_{0}^{1.20}(\mathrm{I}-.79 t) \mathrm{d} t=.63 .
$$

Then $\beta_{2}$ is determined by $.79 \leq .63 \int_{1.20}^{\beta_{2}} t \mathrm{~d} t$ or $\beta_{2} \geq \mathrm{I} .99$. Thus $\beta \leq \mathrm{I} .99$.
Path II. $m(t) \equiv$ I so that an upper bound for Path II is $\pi$. Therefore $\gamma \leq \mathrm{I} .99+3.14=5.13$.

Path III. Since we have constant coefficients we can translate the problem to $\gamma=0$. We determine $\delta_{1}$ and $\theta_{0}$ by setting $\cot \theta=2$ so that by (II)

$$
2 \leq \int_{0}^{\delta_{1}} t \mathrm{~d} t
$$

which yields $\delta_{1}=2$. Then we get

$$
\mathrm{X}(t)=\frac{1}{2} v_{y} t+\frac{1}{2} t^{2}
$$

and choose ( $\tilde{v}_{y}, \delta_{2}$ ) so that

$$
v_{y} \geq \int_{0}^{\delta_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} v_{y} t+\frac{1}{2} t^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t \geq \frac{1}{4} v_{y} \delta_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \delta_{2}^{3}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{y} & \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\delta_{2}}\left[\mathrm{I}+\int_{0}^{\delta_{1}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} v_{y} s+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} s^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right] \\
& \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\delta_{2}}\left[\mathrm{I}+\frac{\delta_{2}^{3}}{\mathrm{I} 2} v_{y}+\frac{\delta_{2}^{4}}{24}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\delta_{2}=\mathrm{I}$ implies that we may use $\tilde{v}_{y}=$ I.I4.
Choosing $\tilde{\gamma}=.75$ satisfying $\theta \leq \tilde{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{y}}$, we deflne

$$
\mathrm{K}=\int_{0}^{.75}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{I} 4 t) \mathrm{d} t \approx .43
$$

and determine $\delta_{3}$ to satisfy

$$
\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{I} 4 \leq .43 \int_{.75}^{\delta_{3}} t \mathrm{~d} t
$$

by choosing $\delta_{3}=2.42$. This yields $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\gamma \approx 2.4$ and $\eta_{1}(\alpha)-\alpha \approx 7.55$, compared with the values 4.72 obtained from precise knowledge of the solution which attains the conjugate point. As is to be expected, the worst error occurs in Paths I and III.
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[^0]:    (*) Nella seduta 10 maggio 1975

