
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

TOMMASO RUGGERI

A static coordinates and inequalities in Cosserat's continuum

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **58** (1975), n.3, p. 385–393.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_58_3_385_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1975.

Fisica-matematica. — *A static coordinates and inequalities in Cosserat's continuum* (*). Nota di TOMMASO RUGGERI, presentata (**) dal Corrisp. G. GRIOLI.

RIASSUNTO. — Usando una naturale estensione delle coordinate astatiche ed iperastatiche della teoria classica dei continui si stabiliscono delle corrispondenti proprietà di media per lo stato tensionale nel caso dei continui di Cosserat.

La presenza della parte emisimmetrica dello stress restringe le quantità dei valori medi di prodotti dello stress per coordinate che nel caso classico era possibile esprimere in funzione della sollecitazione esterna. Inoltre, non permette di determinare i valori medi delle coppie di contatto.

Tuttavia è possibile stabilire delle limitazioni inferiori per la densità di energia potenziale elastica in funzione della sollecitazione esterna.

La validità del teorema di Clapeyron permette a volte di avere informazioni sulla deformazione stessa del continuo.

Infine, nel caso di rotazioni vincolate, si fa un'applicazione al problema dell'equilibrio di un solido sollecitato da una coppia di braccio nullo e da due coppie concentrate.

I. GENERAL REMARKS

The linearized equations of the statics of the Cosserat continuum are:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{ik,k} = \rho_* F_i \\ \Psi_{ik,k} + \varepsilon_{ilm} \Phi_{ml} = \rho_* M_i \end{cases} \quad (\text{in } C_*); \quad (2) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{ik} n_k^* = f_i^* \\ \Psi_{ik} n_k^* = m_i^* \end{cases} \quad (\text{in } \sigma_*)$$

where C_* is the volume of the natural state, σ_* its boundary, Φ_{ik} and Ψ_{ik} are respectively the matrices of the stress and of the couple-stress, \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{M} characterize the forces and the moments and $k = \partial/\partial y_k$.

The classical n -hyperstatic coordinates are, as is well known [1]:

$$(3) \quad b_{\eta\tau\lambda}^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{C_*} \left\{ \int_{C_*} \rho_* y_1^\eta y_2^\tau y_3^\lambda F_r dC_* + \int_{\sigma_*} y_1^\eta y_2^\tau y_3^\lambda f_r^* d\sigma_* \right\}.$$

From (1,1) and (2,1) we have:

$$(4) \quad b_{\eta\tau\lambda}^{(r)} = \overline{\Phi_{rk}(y_1^\eta y_2^\tau y_3^\lambda)_k}$$

where the upper bar denotes the mean value in C^* and

$$(5) \quad \eta + \tau + \lambda = n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

(*) Work supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica, C.N.R.

(**) Nella seduta dell'8 marzo 1975.

In the same way it is natural to introduce the corresponding n -hyperastatic coordinates related to the moments:

$$(6) \quad B_{\gamma\mu\nu}^{(r)} = -\frac{I}{C_*} \left\{ \int_{C_*} \rho_* \gamma_1^\gamma \gamma_2^\mu \gamma_3^\nu M_r dC_* + \int_{\sigma_*} \gamma_1^\gamma \gamma_2^\mu \gamma_3^\nu m_r^* d\sigma_* \right\}$$

with

$$(7) \quad \gamma + \mu + \nu = n - 1 \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Putting:

$$(8) \quad C_{\gamma\mu\nu}^{(r)} = \overline{\varepsilon_{rlm} \Phi_{lm} \gamma_1^\gamma \gamma_2^\mu \gamma_3^\nu}$$

we obtain, from (1,2) and (2,2) (taking into account (6) and (8)) the relation (similar to (4)):

$$(9) \quad B_{\gamma\mu\nu}^{(r)} - C_{\gamma\mu\nu}^{(r)} = \overline{\Psi_{rk} (\gamma_1^\gamma \gamma_2^\mu \gamma_3^\nu)_{,k}}.$$

However we must observe that (for $n = 1$) while eq. (4) determines all the mean values of the Φ_{ik} , eq. (9) does not determine all the mean values of the Ψ_{ik} . In fact in this case eq. (4) does not give all the mean values of the type $\overline{\Phi_{ik} y_r}$ (but only some of them) and this implies the presence of more unknowns in the eq. (9), precisely the $C_{\gamma\mu\nu}^{(r)}$.

Remark I. The cardinal eqs. can be written:

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} b_{000}^{(r)} = 0 \\ B_{000}^{(1)} + b_{010}^{(3)} - b_{001}^{(2)} = 0 \\ B_{000}^{(3)} + b_{100}^{(2)} - b_{010}^{(1)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE MEAN VALUES OF THE STRESS

In the following, we consider the cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ and therefore we rewrite the n -hyperastatic coordinates in the more convenient form:

$$(11) \quad a_{rs} = -\frac{I}{C_*} \left\{ \int_{C_*} \rho_* \gamma_s F_r dC_* + \int_{\sigma_*} \gamma_s f_r^* d\sigma_* \right\}$$

$$(12) \quad b_{rst} = -\frac{I}{C_*} \left\{ \int_{C_*} \rho_* \gamma_r \gamma_s F_t dC_* + \int_{\sigma_*} \gamma_r \gamma_s f_t^* d\sigma_* \right\}$$

$$(13) \quad B_{rs} = -\frac{I}{C_*} \left\{ \int_{C_*} \rho_* \gamma_s M_r dC_* + \int_{\sigma_*} \gamma_s m_r^* d\sigma_* \right\}$$

$$(14) \quad C_{rs} = \overline{\varepsilon_{rlm} \Phi_{lm} \gamma_s}$$

$$(15) \quad S_{rst} = \frac{1}{2} (b_{str} + b_{rts} - b_{rst}).$$

The relations for the mean values, in this case, are:

$$(16) \quad \alpha_{rs} = \overline{\Phi_{rs}}$$

$$(17) \quad b_{rst} = \overline{y_r \Phi_{ts}} + \overline{y_s \Phi_{tr}}$$

$$(18) \quad B_{rs} - C_{rs} = \overline{\Psi_{rs}}$$

$$(19) \quad S_{rst} = \overline{y_t \Phi_{(rs)}} + \overline{y_s \Phi_{[rt]}} + \overline{y_r \Phi_{[st]}}.$$

Putting $T_{rs} = \Phi_{(rs)}$, from (14) and (19) it follows:

$$(20) \quad \overline{y_t T_{rs}} = S_{rst} + A_{rst}$$

with

$$(21) \quad A_{rst} = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{mtr} C_{ms} + \varepsilon_{mts} C_{mr}).$$

Remark II. It is easily seen that in (21), it is possible to substitute to C_{ik} its deviator:

$$C_{ik}^{(D)} = C_{ik} - \frac{1}{3} C_{jj} \delta_{ik}, \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$(22) \quad A_{rst} = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{mtr} C_{ms}^{(D)} + \varepsilon_{mts} C_{mr}^{(D)}).$$

Furthermore, we observe that $A_{rst} = 0$ when $r = s = t$ and consequently the $\overline{T_{rs} y_t}$ with $r = s = t$ are determined in terms of the external forces.

It is not difficult to verify that [2]:

$$(23) \quad \int_{C_*} q_{iklm} R_{ik} R_{lm} dC_* \geq C_* q_{iklm} \left(\overline{R_{ik}} \overline{R_{lm}} + \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{\overline{R_{ik} y_t} \overline{R_{lm} y_t}}{\rho_t^2} \right) \geq C_* q_{iklm} \overline{R_{ik}} \overline{R_{lm}}$$

where R_{ik} is a matrix, q_{iklm} are constant the coefficients of a positive definite or semidefinite form and:

$$(24) \quad \rho_t^2 = \frac{1}{C_*} \int_{C_*} y_t^2 dC_*.$$

We suppose that the elastic potential energy of the continuum may be expressed as a sum of two positive forms. The first depending on the T_{ik} , the second on the Ψ_{ik} :

$$(25) \quad W'(T_{rs}) = q'_{iklm} T_{ik} T_{lm} : W''(\Psi_{rs}) = q''_{iklm} \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{lm}.$$

Using twice (23) with $R_{ik} = T_{ik}$ then with $R_{ik} = \Psi_{ik}$ we get:

$$(26) \quad \int_{C_*} (W' + W'') dC_* \geq C_* \left\{ q'_{iklm} \left(\overline{T_{ik}} \overline{T_{lm}} + \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{\overline{T_{ik} y_t} \overline{T_{lm} y_t}}{\rho_t^2} \right) + q''_{iklm} \overline{\Psi_{ik}} \overline{\Psi_{lm}} \right\}.$$

The second member depends only on the external forces and on the undetermined parameters C_{mq} .

3. A LOWER BOUND FOR THE ELASTIC POTENTIAL ENERGY

Now we suppose that the continuum is a Cosserat-continuum with constrained rotations. In this case the potential energy assumes the form [3]:

$$(27) \quad V(\varepsilon_{rs}, \mu_{rs}) = V'(\varepsilon_{rs}) + V''(\mu_{rs})$$

with

$$(28) \quad V'(\varepsilon_{rs}) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \lambda (\varepsilon_{jj})^2 + 2 \mu \varepsilon_{ik} \varepsilon_{ik} \}$$

$$(29) \quad V''(\mu_{rs}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i,k} * (B\mu_{ik} + C\mu_{ki}) \mu_{ki} + (B + C) (\mu_{11} + \mu_{22})^2 \right\}$$

where the ε_{ik} characterize the deformation-matrix and $\mu_{ik} = \omega_{i,k} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{iml} s_{l,mk}$; ω denotes the local rotation and s is the displacement, λ and μ are the Lamé's constants; B and C the structural constants satisfying the inequalities:

$$(30) \quad B > 0 ; |C| < B .$$

In (29), the term corresponding to $r=s=3$ is absent in \sum_* because $\mu_{ii} = 0$ ($\mu_{ik} = \mu_{ik}^{(D)}$).

The constitutive equations are:

$$(31) \quad T_{rs} = - \frac{\partial V'}{\partial \varepsilon_{rs}} = - \lambda \varepsilon_{jj} \delta_{rs} - 2 \mu \varepsilon_{rs}$$

$$\Psi_{rs} - \Psi_{33} \delta_{rs} = - \frac{\partial V''}{\partial \mu_{rs}} = - B\mu_{rs} - C\mu_{sr} - (B + C) (\mu_{11} + \mu_{22}) \delta_{rs} .$$

Classically the (31,1) can be inverted and $V'(\varepsilon_{rs})$ transforms into $W'(T_{rs})$:

$$(32) \quad W'(T_{rs}) = \frac{1}{4\mu} \{ T_{ik} T_{ik} + h (T_{ii})^2 \} , \quad h = - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda + 2\mu} .$$

By contracting (31,2) with δ_{rs} we have:

$$\Psi_{ii} - 3\Psi_{33} = - 3(B + C)(\mu_{11} + \mu_{22})$$

substituting in (31,2) we find:

$$\Psi_{rs}^{(D)} = - B\mu_{rs} - C\mu_{sr} \quad \text{therefore} \quad \mu_{rs} = \frac{1}{B^2 - C^2} (C\Psi_{sr}^{(D)} - B\Psi_{rs}^{(D)}) .$$

Inserting this value in (29), rewritten in the form:

$$V'' = \frac{1}{2} (B\mu_{rs}^{(D)} + C\mu_{sr}^{(D)}) \mu_{sr}^{(D)},$$

we finally obtain:

$$(33) \quad W''(\Psi_{rs}) = \frac{1}{2(B^2 - C^2)} (B\Psi_{rs}^{(D)} - C\Psi_{sr}^{(D)}) \Psi_{rs}^{(D)}.$$

From (25), (32) and (33) it follows:

$$(34) \quad q'_{iklm} = \frac{1}{4\mu} (\delta_{il} \delta_{km} + h \delta_{ik} \delta_{lm})$$

$$(35) \quad q''_{iklm} = \frac{1}{2(B^2 - C^2)} (B \delta_{il} \delta_{km} - C \delta_{im} \delta_{kl})$$

(26) is analogous to the relation obtained in the classical case with symmetric stress, but while in this case the second member is completely determined, it now depends on the unknowns C_{rs} .

Proceeding as in the classical theory in presence of unknowns constraints, it is natural to choose C_{rs} in such a way that the second member in (26) is minimum. We note that the minimum cannot be zero (see Remark II).

Putting

$$(36) \quad Q_{ikt} = S_{ikt} + A_{ikt}$$

$$(37) \quad G_{iklm} = \overline{T_{ik}} \overline{T_{lm}} + \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{1}{\rho_t^2} Q_{ikt} Q_{lmt}$$

$$(38) \quad H(C_{pq}^{(D)}) = q'_{iklm} G_{iklm}$$

$$(39) \quad L(C_{pq}^{(D)}) = q''_{iklm} (B_{ik}^{(D)} - C_{ik}^{(D)}) (B_{lm}^{(D)} - C_{lm}^{(D)})$$

the minimum condition is:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial C_{pq}^{(D)}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial C_{pq}^{(D)}} = 0$$

We have:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial C_{mq}^{(D)}} = q'_{iklj} \frac{\partial G_{iklj}}{\partial A_{rst}} \frac{\partial A_{rst}}{\partial C_{mq}^{(D)}} = \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{1}{\rho_t^2} \{h Q_{llt} \varepsilon_{mtq} + Q_{iqt} \varepsilon_{mti}\}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial C_{mq}^{(D)}} = - \frac{1}{B^2 - C^2} \{B (B_{mq}^{(D)} - C_{mq}^{(D)}) - C (B_{qm}^{(D)} - C_{qm}^{(D)})\}$$

thus

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{1}{\rho_t^2} \{h Q_{llt} \varepsilon_{mtq} + Q_{iqt} \varepsilon_{mti}\} - \\ & - \frac{1}{B^2 - C^2} \{B (B_{mq}^{(D)} - C_{mq}^{(D)}) - C (B_{qm}^{(D)} - C_{qm}^{(D)})\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By contracting with ε_{qmr} we find:

$$(41) \quad \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ (I + 2h) Q_{llt} \delta_{rt} - Q_{rtt} \} - \frac{I}{B-C} \varepsilon_{qmr} (B_{mq}^{(D)} - C_{mq}^{(D)}) = 0,$$

while the symmetric part of (40) gives:

$$(42) \quad \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ Q_{iqt} \varepsilon_{mti} + Q_{imt} \varepsilon_{qti} \} - \frac{2}{B+C} (B_{mq}^{(D)} - C_{mq}^{(D)}) = 0.$$

Putting now:

$$(43) \quad S_r = \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ S_{rtt} - (I + 2h) S_{llt} \delta_{rt} \} + \frac{I}{B-C} \varepsilon_{qmr} B_{mq}^{(D)}$$

$$(44) \quad s_{mq} = - \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} (S_{iqt} \varepsilon_{mti} + S_{imt} \varepsilon_{qti}) + \frac{2}{B+C} B_{mq}^{(D)}$$

$$(s_{qq} = 0; s_{mq} = s_{(mq)} = s_{mq}^{(D)}).$$

(41) and (42) become:

$$(45) \quad \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ (I + 2h) A_{llt} \delta_{rt} - A_{rtt} \} + \frac{I}{B-C} \varepsilon_{qmr} C_{mq}^{(D)} = S_r$$

$$(46) \quad \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ A_{iqt} \varepsilon_{mti} + A_{imt} \varepsilon_{qti} \} + \frac{2}{B+C} C_{mq}^{(D)} = s_{mq}.$$

Remembering the definition (22) we get:

$$(47) \quad \frac{I}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ (I + 2h) \varepsilon_{mtq} C_{mq}^{(D)} \delta_{rt} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{mtr} C_{mt}^{(D)} \} + \frac{I}{B-C} \varepsilon_{mrq} C_{mq}^{(D)} = S_r$$

$$(48) \quad \frac{I}{4\mu} \sum_{t=1}^3 \frac{I}{\rho_t^2} \{ 2 C_{(mq)}^{(D)} \delta_{tt} - C_{tq}^{(D)} \delta_{mt} - C_{tm}^{(D)} \delta_{tq} + C_{ji}^{(D)} (\varepsilon_{mti} \varepsilon_{jrq} + \varepsilon_{qti} \varepsilon_{jtm}) + \frac{2}{B+C} C_{(mq)}^{(D)} = s_{mq}.$$

These eqs. determine the components of C_{mq} in terms of S_r and s_{mq} .

For the sake of simplicity we give the solution when in C_* the central ellipsoid of inertia is spherical ($\rho_t^2 = \rho^2 \forall t = 1, 2, 3$).

In this case (47) and (48) reduce to:

$$(49) \quad \frac{I}{2k} \varepsilon_{mrq} C_{mq}^{(D)} = S_r$$

$$(50) \quad \frac{I}{b} C_{(mq)}^{(D)} = s_{mq}$$

where

$$(51) \quad \frac{I}{2k} = \frac{3+4h}{4\mu\rho^2} + \frac{I}{B-C}$$

$$(52) \quad \frac{I}{2b} = \frac{3}{4\mu\rho^2} + \frac{I}{B+C}.$$

Thus the minimizing expressions of the second member of (26) are:

$$(53) \quad C_{mq}^{(D)} = bs_{mq} + k\varepsilon_{rqn} S_r.$$

Consequently we have:

$$(54) \quad A_{rst} = -\frac{b}{4\mu\rho^2} \{2S_{rst} - S_{tsr} - S_{trs} + S_{imj} (\varepsilon_{sji} \varepsilon_{mtr} + \varepsilon_{mts} \varepsilon_{rji})\} + \\ + \frac{k}{2} (2S_t \delta_{rs} - S_r \delta_{ts} - S_s \delta_{tr}) + \frac{b}{B+C} (\varepsilon_{mtr} B_{ms}^{(D)} + \varepsilon_{mts} B_{mr}^{(D)})$$

with

$$(55) \quad S_r = \frac{I}{2\mu\rho^2} (S_{rlr} - (1+2h)S_{llr}) + \frac{I}{B-C} \varepsilon_{qmr} B_{mq}^{(D)}.$$

4. AN APPLICATION OF THE PRECEDING THEORY

We consider an elastic homogeneous and isotropic solid sollicitated by two forces in equilibrium. Let l be the distance between the points K' and K'' of applications of the forces, Q the middle point, Δl the value of the lengthening of the fibre $K'K''$, f the intensity of the couple with the sign + or — corresponding to traction or pressure.

We take the reference frame G, y_1, y_2, y_3 where G is the center of mass of C_* and its axes are the principal axes of inertia. Let $K' \equiv (y'_r)$, $K'' \equiv (y''_r)$, $Q \equiv (x_r)$ and $\mathbf{u} = \frac{K' K''}{|K' K''|} \equiv (\varphi_i)$; $\mathbf{x} = GQ$, $x^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ and $\alpha = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}$.

We easily obtain (see [4]):

$$(56) \quad -C_* \alpha_{rs} = f l \varphi_r \varphi_s$$

$$(57) \quad -C_* S_{rst} = f l \varphi_r \varphi_s x_t$$

$$(58) \quad B_{rs} = 0.$$

Taking into account (53) and (54), eq. (26) after some calculations becomes:

$$(59) \quad \int_{C_*} W dC_* \geq \frac{f^2 l^2}{C_*} \left\{ \frac{I}{2E} \left(I + \frac{x^2}{\rho^2} \right) + \frac{I}{2E\rho^2} [(p^2 + r) \alpha^2 - (p^2 + q^2) x^2] \right\}$$

with

$$(60) \quad p^2 = \frac{(B+C)(1+\sigma)^2}{3(1+\sigma)(B+C)+2\rho^2 E} \geq 0$$

$$(61) \quad q^2 = \frac{(B-C)(1-\sigma)^2}{(3-\sigma)(B-C)+2\rho^2 E} > 0$$

$$(62) \quad r = \frac{(1-3\sigma)(1+\sigma)(B-C)}{(3-\sigma)(B-C)+2\rho^2 E}$$

where E and σ are respectively the Young modulus and the Poisson coefficient.

The first term of the second member of (59) coincides exactly with the classical one.

However we observe that (59) cannot give the classical results if $B = C = 0$, because the theory in this case is no more valid. In fact if $B = C = 0$ the quadratic form which expresses the density of elastic potential energy, considered as a function of all the variables ε_{rs} and μ_{rs} is not positive definite and the relations stress-strain cannot be inverted (see (30)).

Remembering also that $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 1/2$, $E > 0$ and that $r < q^2$, $\alpha^2 \leq x^2$, we can see that the inequality (59) has the second member smaller than the classical one.

This could be expected, for in this case we substantially have a larger structural deformability of the continuum and consequently the presence of the unknown parameters C_{rs} .

A still greater difference with the classical theory appears when besides the two forces also two concentrated couples exist in the points K' and K'' with the respective moments mk and $-mk$ ($m \geq 0$, $k^2 = 1$, $k \cdot u = 0$).

In this case the B_{rs} are not zero; in fact:

$$(63) \quad -C_* B_{rs} = ml k_r k_s.$$

The inequality for the energy now becomes:

$$(64) \quad \int_{C_*} W dC_* \geq \frac{l^2}{C_*^2} \left\{ f^2 \left[\frac{1}{2E} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2E\rho^2} [(\rho^2 + r)\alpha^2 - (\rho^2 + q^2)x^2] \right] + 4m^2 \frac{\rho^4 E^2}{[(B+C)(1+\sigma)+2\rho^2 E]^2} \right\}.$$

It is clear that the second member of (64) may be larger than the corresponding classical term.

Remark III. In the theory of Cosserat's continuum with constrained rotation the Clapeyron theorem holds:

$$(65) \quad 2 \int_{C_*} W dC_* = \delta L$$

where δL is the work done by the external forces in the displacement from the unstressed state to the actual state of equilibrium.

By using (59) and (64) eq. (65) can give informations on the strain of the body.

In the just considered case we have:

$$(66) \quad \delta L = f |\Delta l| + m |\Delta \Omega|$$

where $|\Delta \Omega|$ denotes the modulus of the relative rotation.

If $m = 0$ eqs. (64)-(66) yield a lower bound for $|\Delta l|$. On the contrary if $f = 0$ we have a lower bound for $|\Delta \Omega|$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. SIGNORINI (1933) – *Sopra alcune questioni di statica dei sistemi continui*, «Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa», ser. II, 2, 3–23. G. GRIOLI (1962) – *Mathematical Theory of elastic equilibrium*; Ergebnisse der angewandten mathematik. Chap. VI, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [2] G. GRIOLI – *Oþ. cit.* and (1959) *Sullo stato tensionale dei Continui in equilibrio e sulle deformazioni nel caso elastico*, «Conf. del Sem. Mat. Bari», 35, 36, 3–19 (1963) – *Proprietà generali di media nella meccanica dei continui e loro applicazioni*, in «C.I.M.E.», ed. Cremonese, Roma.
- [3] G. GRIOLI (1962–1963) – *Sulla Meccanica a trasformazioni reversibili con caratteristiche di tensione asimmetriche*. «Sem. Ist. Naz. Alta Matematica». *Linear Micropolar Media with constraint rotatious*, «C.I.S.M.» to appear.
- [4] G. GRIOLI (1942) – *Sulle deformazioni elastiche dovute ad una coppia di braccio nullo*, «Rend. della Reale Accad. d'Italia», ser. VII, 3 (2–3), 93–98.