
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

VASILIOS STAIKOS, YIANNIS SFICAS

**On the Oscillation of Bounded Solutions of Forced
Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 58 (1975), n.3, p. 318–322.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_58_3_318_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Equazioni differenziali ordinarie. — *On the Oscillation of Bounded Solutions of Forced Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments.* Nota di VASILIOS STAIKOS e YIANNIS SFICAS, presentata (*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Gli Autori studiano il comportamento oscillatorio e asintotico delle soluzioni dell'equazione differenziale con argomenti ritardati e non autonoma $[r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t)f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) = b(t)$; il risultato ottenuto estende in varie direzioni uno recente dovuto a T. Kusano e H. Onose. Inoltre discutono ulteriori estensioni dei loro risultati.

Recently there has been an increasing interest in the study of the oscillatory behavior of forced differential equations; however, we may say that, in comparison with the unforced equations, only a few things are known on the subject. For general interest we refer to [1-9] and [11].

This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of bounded solutions of the following differential equation with deviating arguments

$$(*) \quad [r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t)f(x[g_1(t)], x[g_2(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) = b(t)$$

where l, m, n are positive integers with $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Moreover we suppose the following:

(i) a, b are continuous real-valued functions on $[t_0, \infty)$.

(ii) r is continuous and positive function on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = \infty$$

(iii) f is continuous real-valued function on $\mathbf{R}^l$ such that

$$(\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, l) y_i > 0 \Rightarrow f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_l) > 0$$

and

$$(\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, l) y_i < 0 \Rightarrow f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_l) < 0$$

(iv) $g_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ are continuous real-valued functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty.$$

Throughout this paper, by "solution" of the differential equation (*) we shall mean only solutions x which are defined on a half-line $[t_x, \infty)$.

(*) Nella seduta dell'8 marzo 1975.

Also, we shall consider the oscillatory character of the solutions in the usual sense, i.e. a solution is called *oscillatory* if and only if it has no last zero, otherwise it is called *nonoscillatory*.

To obtain our result we make use of the following simple lemma, which has been proved very useful in the study of the oscillatory behavior of differential equations (Cfr. [9] and [10]).

LEMMA. Consider the linear differential equation

$$(L) \quad z' - \frac{\nu}{t} z + \frac{h(t)}{t} = 0$$

where ν is a positive integer and h is continuous real-valued function on the interval $[T, \infty)$, $T > 0$.

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |h(t)| = \infty$ and u is a solution of (L) with $u(T) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(t)| = \infty.$$

THEOREM. Consider the differential equation (*) subject to the conditions (i)-(iv) and to the following one:

(C) For some integer k , $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and for every $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^k [\mu_1 a^+(t) - a^-(t) - \mu_2 b(t)] dt = \infty$$

or

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^k [\mu_1 a^-(t) - a^+(t) + \mu_2 b(t)] dt = \infty$$

where $a^+(t) = \max\{a(t), 0\}$ and $a^-(t) = \max\{-a(t), 0\}$.

Then every bounded solution x of (*) is either oscillatory or such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0.$$

Proof. We assume that the conclusion of the theorem is not valid, then there exists a bounded nonoscillatory solution x of (*) with $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that the domain of x is the whole interval $[t_0, \infty)$. Moreover, this solution is supposed positive, since the substitution $u = -x$ transforms (*) into an equation of the same form satisfying the assumptions of the theorem.

By (iii) and (iv), there exists a $T > \max\{t_0, 0\}$ and two positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$(I) \quad c_1 \leq f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) \leq c_2 \quad \text{for every } t \geq T.$$

If

$$q_{ij}(t) = \int_T^t s^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]^{(j)} ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j$$

then integrating by parts, we obtain

$$q_{ij}(t) = tq'_{i-1,j-1}(t) - iq_{i-1,j-1}(t) - T^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(j-1)}.$$

Hence $q_{i-1,j-1}$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$(L_{ij}) \quad z' - \frac{i}{t} z + \frac{h_{ij}(t)}{t} = 0$$

where $h_{ij}(t) = -q_{ij}(t) - T^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(j-1)}$. Obviously, this solution satisfies the initial condition $q_{i-1,j-1}(T) = 0$.

Now, for $i = k$ and $j = m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{km}(t) &= -q_{km}(t) - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)} = \\ &= \int_T^t s^k [a(s)f(x[g_1(s)], \dots, x[g_l(s)]) - b(s)] ds - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, by (1), we obtain

$$h_{km}(t) \geq c_2 \int_T^t s^k \left[\frac{c_1}{c_2} a^+(s) - a^-(s) - \frac{1}{c_2} b(s) \right] ds - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)}$$

and

$$h_{km}(t) \leq c_2 \int_T^t s^k \left[a^+(s) - \frac{c_1}{c_2} a^-(s) - \frac{1}{c_2} b(s) \right] ds - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)}$$

for every $t \geq T$. Thus, by the assumptions of the theorem, we have that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |h_{km}(t)| = \infty$. Next, applying the lemma for the differential equation (L_{km}) , we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |q_{k-1,m-1}(t)| = \infty.$$

Furthermore, since

$$h_{k-1,m-1}(t) = -q_{k-1,m-1}(t) - T^{k-1} [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-2)}$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |h_{k-1,m-1}(t)| = \infty$$

and consequently, applying the lemma again for the differential equation $(L_{k-1,m-1})$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |q_{k-2,m-2}(t)| = \infty.$$

Following the same procedure, we finally obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |q_{0,m-k}(t)| = \infty.$$

This, by virtue of

$$[r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(n-k-1)} = [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-k-1)} + q_{0,m-k}(t)$$

gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m-k-1)} = \pm \infty$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) = \pm \infty.$$

In the case where $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) = \infty$, there exists some $T_1 \geq T$ such that

$$r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) > 1 \quad \text{or} \quad x^{(n-m)}(t) > \frac{1}{r(t)}$$

for every $t \geq T_1$. Thus

$$x^{(n-m-1)}(t) > x^{(n-m-1)}(T_1) + \int_{T_1}^t \frac{ds}{r(s)} \quad \text{for every } t \geq T_1$$

which, by (ii), gives $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{(n-m-1)}(t) = \infty$ and consequently $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \infty$, a contradiction.

In the other case, where $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) = -\infty$, following the same procedure we obtain the contradiction $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = -\infty$.

Remark. In particular, for the differential equations

$$[r(t) x^{(n-1)}(t)]' + a(t)f(x[g(t)]) = b(t)$$

and

$$[r(t) x'(t)]^{(n-1)} + a(t)f(x[g(t)]) = b(t)$$

the above theorem leads to a generalization of a recent result of Kusano and Onose [6].

Also, the arguments used in this paper can be applied to extend the results obtained by the Authors in [9] and [10] to differential equations with deviating arguments of the more general form

$$[r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + F(t, x(\sigma_0(t)), x'(\sigma_1(t)), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(\sigma_{n-1}(t))) = 0$$

where

$$x^{(i)}(\sigma_i(t)) = (x^{(i)}(\sigma_{i1}(t)), \dots, x^{(i)}(\sigma_{i\lambda_i}(t))), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-m-1.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. P. BHATIA (1966) – *Some oscillation theorems for second order differential equations*, « J. Math. Anal. Appl. », 15, 442–446.
- [2] L. E. BOBISUD (1969) – *Oscillation of nonlinear second-order equations*, « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 23, 501–505.
- [3] A. G. KARTSATOS (1971) – *On the maintenance of oscillations of n -th order equations under the effect of a small forcing term*, « J. Differential equations », 10, 355–363.
- [4] I. T. KIGURADZE (1967) – *A remark on the oscillation of solutions of the equation $u' + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sgn} u = 0$* « Časopis Pěst. Mat. », 92, 343–350. (Russian).
- [5] T. KUSANO, H. ONOSE and H. TOBE (1974) – *On the oscillation of second order non-linear ordinary differential equations*, « Hiroshima Math. J. » 4, 491–499.
- [6] T. KUSANO and H. ONOSE (1974) – *An oscillation theorem for differential equations with deviating arguments*, « Proc. Japan Acad. » 50, 809–811.
- [7] M. NAITO (1974) – *Oscillation criteria for a second order differential equation with a damping term*, « Hiroshima Math. J. », 4, 285–291.
- [8] V. STAIKOS and Y. SFICAS (1973) – *Oscillations for forced second order nonlinear differential equations*, « Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. », 55, 25–30.
- [9] V. STAIKOS and Y. SFICAS (1975) – *Forced oscillations for differential equations of arbitrary order*, « J. Differential Equations », 17, 1–11.
- [10] V. STAIKOS and Y. SFICAS (1975) – *Oscillatory and asymptotic characterization of the solutions of differential equations with deviating arguments*; « J. London Math. Soc. », 10, 39–47.
- [11] C. C. TRAVIS (1972) – *Oscillation theorems for second-order differential equations with functional arguments*, « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 31, 199–202.