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Fisica matematica. - On the Eigenvalues of the Bounded Harmonic Oscillator ${ }^{(*)}$. Nota di Valter Franceschini, Sandro Graffi e Sergio Levoni, presentata ${ }^{(* *)}$ dal Corrisp. G. Fichera.

Riassunto. - Il metodo degli invarianti ortogonali di Fichera viene applicato al problema di autovalori per l'equazione di Schrödinger per l'oscillatore armonico limitato in meccanica quantistica. In tal modo viene ottenuto un procedimento per l'approssimazione di ogni autovalore che conferma in modo rigoroso, e migliora numericamente, precedenti calcoli compiuti da altri Autori usando differenti metodi.

## I. Introduction

This paper deals with a rigorous treatment of the computation of the eigenvalues of the quantum mechanical system known as bounded harmonic oscillator. By this we mean a harmonic oscillator placed in the center of an infinitely high potential well of length $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{L}<\infty$. The Hamiltonian of such a system reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} m \omega^{2} z^{2}+\mathrm{V}(z) \tag{I.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the mass of the oscillator and $\omega$ its frequency, and:

$$
\mathrm{V}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,|z|<\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}  \tag{I.2}\\
\infty,|z| \geq \frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Putting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(\frac{m \omega}{\hbar}\right)^{1 / 2} z \quad, \quad \lambda=\frac{2 \mathrm{E}}{\hbar \omega} \quad, \quad l=\left(\frac{m \omega}{\hbar}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~L} \tag{I.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Schrödinger equation leads to the following Sturm-Liouville problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+x^{2}\right) \psi(x)=\lambda \psi(x) \quad, \quad \psi\left(-\frac{l}{2}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)=0 . \tag{I.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a problem, although very simple, is not exactly solvable: whence the necessity of approximate computations, already performed by several Authors, [ 1 ], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], in view of the importance of the present system in an astrophysical problem, [ 1 ], and also in various problems of theoretical physics, such as the magnetic properties of metallic solids and the anharmonic effects in crystal solids.

[^0]The approximate methods so far employed (perturbation theory, [3], WKB methods, [5], [6], approximate solutions of differential equations, [1], [2], [4], [5], [6]), although numerically effective, are not completely satisfactory from a rigorous standpoint. Therefore in this paper the problem is treated in the light of the methods for rigorously computing the eigenvalues of differential operators: for any eigenvalue we will compute a non-increasing sequence of upper bounds together with a non-decreasing one of lower bounds. Both sequences, in addition, do converge to the exact eigenvalue. The upper bounds sequence is given, as usual, by the standard RayleighRitz method. The much more delicate problem of computing the lower bounds sequence is solved through an application of the recent, and already classical, orthogonal invariants method of Fichera [7], [8], [9]. The numerical analysis, as it will clearly appear later on, essentially confirms the numerical results so far obtained through the other methods and in addition shows that the present coniputation is not only rigorous but also the most effective numerically so far performed.

In the next section we treat the application of the Rayleigh-Ritz method and of the Fichera one to the problem under discussion, and in section 3 the numerical results are presented and discussed.

## 2. Approximation of the eigenvalues

As already mentioned, our purpose is to compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$, $k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots$, of the following Sturm-Liouville problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(\lambda-x^{2}\right) \psi(x)=0 \tag{2.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(-\frac{l}{2}\right)=\psi\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let H be the Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$. As it is well known, the regular Sturm-Liouville problem (2.1)-(2.2) can be realized as a strictly positive selfadjoint operator in H , with spectrum consisting only of simple eigenvalues. If we indicate with $A$ such operator, our eigenvalue problem can be rewritten in the more abstract form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \psi=\lambda \psi \quad, \quad \psi \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~A}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(A)$ is the domain of the operator $A$.
Let us begin our considerations on the eigenvalues by remarking that the subspace $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ reduce the operator A. Here $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ is the subspace of $\mathrm{L}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ formed by the functions even with respect to $x=0$, and $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ is the subspace formed by the functions odd with respect to $x=0$. This follows from the fact that
the projection operator $\mathrm{P}_{+}$onto $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ commutes with A , as it is easy to see, and the same is true for $P_{-}$, projection operator onto $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$. Hence the eigenvalue problem (2.3) separates out in the following two problems:
(2.4) $\quad \mathrm{A} \psi=\lambda \psi \quad, \quad \psi \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})_{+} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{A} \psi=\lambda \psi \quad, \quad \psi \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})_{-}$
where in the first equation A is intended as its part in $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ and $D(A)_{+}=P_{+} D(A)$, and in the second cne is intended as its part in $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$, and $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})_{-}=\mathrm{P}_{-} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})$. The first equation yields of course the even eigenvalues, $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots$, and the second one the odd ones, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, \cdots$ As repeatedly emphasized, for any eigenvalue we will give a non-decreasing sequence of lower bounds and a non-increasing one of upper bounds, both converging to the eigenvalue.

As usual, the upper bounds are obtained through the classical RayleighRitz method, [ro], which we proceed now to apply to our case. According to the standard procedure, we have to compute the eigenvalues of the following $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ real and symmetric matrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{i, k}=\left(\varphi_{i}, \mathrm{~A} \varphi_{k}\right)_{i, k=0,1, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{N-1}$ being $N$ orthonormal vectors belonging to $D(A)$. It is well known that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N})}, k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots, \mathrm{N}$ - I of (2.5) are upper bounds for the first $N$ eigenvalues of $A$, and that the sequence $\lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is nonincreasing: $\lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N})} \geq \lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)}$ for any $k$. In addition, if the system $\left\{A \varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, is complete in H , one has, on the basis of our hypothesis on A :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N})}=\lambda_{k}, \quad k=0, \mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our case, let us first consider the eigenvalue problem in $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$, i.e. the even eigenvalues of $A$. Introduce the following complete orthonormal system in $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}=\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \cos \frac{(2 h+\mathrm{I}) \pi}{l} x\right\}, \quad h=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have of course $\varphi_{h} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})_{+}$for any $h$, so that the corresponding RayleighRitz matrix is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{i, k}^{+}=\left(\varphi_{i}, \mathrm{~A} \varphi_{k}\right)=\frac{2}{l} \int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} \cos \frac{(2 i+\mathrm{I}) \pi}{l} x\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+x^{2}\right) \cos \frac{(2 k+\mathrm{I}) \pi}{l} x \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One obtains easily:

$$
\mathrm{A}_{i, k}^{+}= \begin{cases}(-\mathrm{I})^{i+k} \frac{l^{2}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{I}}{(i-k)^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{I}}{(i+k+\mathrm{I})^{2}}\right], & i \neq k  \tag{2.9}\\ \frac{l^{2}}{\mathrm{I} 2}+\frac{(2 k+\mathrm{I})^{2} \pi^{2}}{l^{2}}-\frac{l^{2}}{2 \pi^{2}(2 k+\mathrm{I})^{2}}, & i=k\end{cases}
$$

$i, k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots$

Since, as it is well known, [I], the system $\left\{A \varphi_{h}\right\}$ is complete in $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}^{(\mathrm{N})}=\lambda_{2 k}, \quad k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N})}, k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}$, are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathrm{A}_{i, k}^{+}$, $i, k=0, \mathrm{I}, \cdots, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}$.

In a completely analogous way, choosing in $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ the orthonormal complete set given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\varphi_{h}\right\}=\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sin \frac{2(h+\mathrm{I}) \pi}{l} x\right\} \quad h=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots \tag{2.1I}
\end{equation*}
$$

and putting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{i, k}^{-}=\frac{2}{l} \int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} \sin \frac{2(i+\mathrm{I}) \pi}{l} x\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+x^{2}\right) \sin \frac{2(k+\mathrm{r}) \pi}{l} x \mathrm{~d} x, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get:

$$
\mathrm{A}_{i, k}^{-}= \begin{cases}(-\mathrm{I})^{i+k} \frac{l^{2}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{I}}{(i-k)^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{I}}{(i+k+2)^{2}}\right], & i \neq k  \tag{2.13}\\ \frac{l^{2}}{\mathrm{I} 2}+\frac{4(k+\mathrm{I})^{2} \pi^{2}}{l^{2}}-\frac{l^{2}}{8(k+\mathrm{I})^{2} \pi^{2}}, & i=k\end{cases}
$$

$i, k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots$. Here again, since $\left\{\mathrm{A} \varphi_{k}\right\}$ is complete in $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}^{(\mathrm{N})}=\lambda_{2 k-1}, \quad k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{k}^{(\mathbb{N})}, k=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}$, are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathrm{A}_{\overline{i k}}$, $i, k=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}$.

We proceed now to obtain the sequence of lower bounds. As it is well known, this is the most delicate problem in eigenvalues calculations. Here, as repeatedly mentioned, use will be made of the orthogonal invariants method of Fichera. We give here only some essential notions of such a method, strictly necessary in what follows, referring the reader to [7], [8], [9], for a complete treatment.

Let A be a self-adjoint operator in a separable Hilbert space H, strictly positive with compact resolvent, so that $A$ has a pure point spectrum consisting of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}=\mu_{k}^{-1}$, where $\mu_{k}, k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{A}^{-1}$. Now, $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ being any orthonormal complete set in $H$, put:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{s}^{n}(\mathrm{G})=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{S!}} \sum_{h_{1} \cdots h_{s}} \mathrm{G}^{(n)}\left(v_{h_{1}}, \cdots, v_{h_{s}}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is extended over all possible ways of choosing $s$ positive
integers, $\mathrm{G}^{n}$ is the $n$-th power of G and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{(n)}\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{s}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{G}^{n} v_{i}, v_{j}\right)_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, s} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathscr{I}_{s}^{n}(\mathrm{G})$ exists, and in addition does not depend on the particular orthonormal set in (2.15), if and only if $\mathrm{G}^{n}$ belongs to the trace class. If this is the case, one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{s}^{n}(\mathrm{G})=\sum_{h_{1}<h_{2}<\cdots h_{s}} \mu_{h_{1}}^{n} \mu_{k_{2}}^{n} \cdots \mu_{h_{s}}^{n} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $W_{\nu}$ be the $\nu$ dimensional subspace of $H$ spanned by the vectors $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{v}, \mathrm{P}_{v}$ the orthogonal projection operator from H onto $\mathrm{W}_{v}, w_{k}^{(\nu)}$ an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{k}^{(v)}$ of the operator $\mathrm{P}_{v} \mathrm{GP}_{v}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\nu, k}$ the subspace of $\mathrm{W}_{\nu}$ orthogonal to $u e_{k}^{(\nu)}$. For $s>0$ put:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}^{(v)}=\left\{\frac{\mathscr{I}_{s}^{n}(\mathrm{G})-\mathscr{I}_{s}^{n}\left(\mathrm{P}_{v} \mathrm{GP}_{v}\right)}{\mathscr{I}_{s-1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{P}_{v}, k \mathrm{GP}_{v}, k\right)}+\left[\mu_{k}^{(\nu)}\right]^{n}\right\}^{1 / n} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\diamond, k}$ is the orthogonal projection from H onto $\mathrm{W}_{\nu, k}$. Then the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}^{(v)} \geq \sigma_{k}^{(v+1)} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{k} \quad, \quad \lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{k}^{(v)}=\mu_{k} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by considering $\left[\sigma_{k}^{(v)}\right]^{-1}$ we obtain a non-decreasing sequence of lower bounds to $\lambda_{k}$, converging to the eigenvalue as $\nu \rightarrow \infty, k=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, \cdots$.

In our case use will be made of (2.18) with $s=1, n=2$, i.e. of the orthogonal invariant $\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}$. Now $\mathscr{I}_{0}^{n}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{I}$, so that formulae (2.17) e (2.18) show that the lower bounds for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}=\mu_{k}^{-1}$ take the following form, involving only the orthogonal invariant $\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{G})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}>\left\{\left[\lambda_{k}^{(n)}\right]^{-2}+\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{G})-\sum_{h=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{k}^{(n)}\right]^{-2}\right\}-1 / 2=\left[\sigma_{k}^{(n)}\right]^{-1} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\lambda_{k}^{(n)}=\left[\mu_{k}^{(n)}\right]^{-1}$ are of course the $n$-th upper bounds to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ obtained by means of the Rayleigh-Ritz method through the orthonormal' complete set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. (2.20) shows that once computed the sequence of the Rayleigh-Ritz upper bounds, the Fichera lower bounds sequence is known when $\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}$ is known.

To find an explicit expression for $\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}$ in our case, we will first rewrite our Sturm-Liouville problem into a Fredholm integral equation, thus finding explicitly the operator G. Then it will be possible to apply the explicit formulae of Fichera for the orthogonal invariants of integral operators, which in our case read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{s}^{n}(\mathrm{G})=\frac{1}{s!} \int_{-L / 2}^{l / 2} \cdots \int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} f\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \cdots, x^{(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{(1)} \mathrm{d} x^{(2)} \cdots \mathrm{d} x^{(s)} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f\left(x^{(1)}, \cdots, x^{(s)}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left\{\mathrm{K}\left(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}\right)\right\}, i, j=1, \cdots, s, \mathrm{~K}(x, y) \quad$ being the integral kernel of the operator $\mathrm{G}^{n}$ :
(2.22) $\quad \mathrm{G}^{n} u=\int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} \mathrm{~K}(x, y) u(y) \mathrm{d} y \quad, \quad u \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}\left(-\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2}\right)$
i.e. the $n$-th iterated kernel of $G$.

Consider therefore again the eigenvalue problem (2.3). According to the standard procedure, the problem can be rewritten in the following integral form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=(\lambda+\mathrm{I}) \int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} \mathrm{G}(x, y) \psi(y) \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{G}(x, y)$ is the Green function of the differential operator $\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)-$ $-\left(x^{2}+\mathrm{I}\right) \psi(x)=\mathrm{o}$ with the boundary conditions (2.2), and it is given by:

$$
\mathrm{G}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{C} e^{\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2}} \int_{-l / 2}^{x} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \int_{y}^{l / 2} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi, & -\frac{l}{2} \leq x \leq y \leq \frac{l}{2}  \tag{2.24}\\ \mathrm{C} e^{\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2}} \int_{-l / 2}^{y} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \int_{x}^{l / 2} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi, & -\frac{l}{2} \leq y \leq x \leq \frac{l}{2}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\left[\int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right]^{-1} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check, as a matter of fact, that (2.24) is the Green function of the differential operator under discussion. Furthermore, putting:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{G}_{0}(x, y)=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4}[\mathrm{G}(x, y)+\mathrm{G}(x,-y)+\mathrm{G}(-x, y)+\mathrm{G}(-x,-y)]  \tag{2.26}\\
\mathrm{G}_{1}(x, y)=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4}[\mathrm{G}(x, y)-\mathrm{G}(x,-y)-\mathrm{G}(-x, y)+\mathrm{G}(-x,-y)]
\end{array}\right.
$$

problem (2.23) separates out in the following two problems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=(\lambda+\mathrm{I}) \int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} \mathrm{G}_{0}(x, y) \psi(y) \mathrm{d} y \quad, \quad \psi \in \mathrm{~L}_{+}^{2}\left(-\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.28) $\quad \psi(x)=(\lambda+\mathrm{I}) \int_{-l / 2}^{l / 2} \mathrm{G}_{1}(x, y) \psi(y) \mathrm{d} y \quad, \quad \psi \in \mathrm{~L}_{-}^{2}\left(-\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{G}_{0}(x, y)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{1}(x, y)$ being of course the Green function of the parts of A in $\mathrm{L}_{+}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$, respectively. For $\mathrm{G}_{0}(x, y)$ one easily
finds the following explicit form:

By application of (2.2I) we easily get, in the subspace $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$, the explicit formula for the orthogonal invariant ${ }^{0} \mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathscr{I}}_{1}^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{l / 2}\left[e^{x^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{l / 2} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right] \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a completely analogous way one has in $L_{-}^{2}(-l / 2, l / 2)$ :
(2.31)
and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{I}^{2}=8 \mathrm{C}^{2} \int_{0}^{l / 2}\left[e^{-x^{2}}\left(\int_{x}^{l / 2} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{x} e^{t^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\xi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right] \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we give the numerical results concerning the first 20 eigenvalues. The approximate values obtained for these eigenvalues can be read in Tables I-IV: Table I corresponds to $l=\mathrm{I}$, Table II to $l=2$, Table III to $l=4$, Table IV to $l=8$. In these tables the upper bound is that given by the Rayleigh-Ritz method for $\mathrm{N}=50$ : i.e. the infinite matrices (2-9) and (2-I3) have been truncated at $N=50$. The lower bound is that obtained through the corresponding formulae (2.20). The orthogonal invariant $\mathscr{I}_{1}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{\mathscr { I }}_{1}^{2}$ have been computed numerically starting from their explicit expression (2-30) and (2-32) ( ${ }^{1}$.

$$
\text { Table I }(l=\mathrm{I})
$$

| Even Eigenvalues |  |  | OdD EIGENVALUES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds <br> (Rayleigh-Ritz) |  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds <br> (Rayleigh-Ritz) |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | 9.9022575 | 9.9022587 | $\lambda_{1}$ | 39.5490I 3 | 39.549069 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 88.9035 | 88.9042 | $\lambda_{3}$ | 157.9905 | I 57.9939 |
| $\lambda_{4}$ | 246.808 | 246.822 | $\lambda_{5}$ | 355.350 | 355.388 |
| $\lambda_{6}$ | 483.59 | 483.70 | $\lambda_{7}$ | 63 I .52 | 63 I .74 |
| $\lambda_{8}$ | 799.08 | 799.53 | $\lambda_{9}$ | 986.2 | 987. I |
| $\lambda_{10}$ | 1192.8 | 1194.4 | $\lambda_{11}$ | 1418.9 | I421.4 |
| $\lambda_{12}$ | 1664.0 | I668. I | $\lambda_{13}$ | 1928.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1934.6 \\ & 194 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\lambda_{14}$ | 2211 | 2221 | $\lambda_{15}$ | 2513 | $2527$ |
| $\lambda_{16}$ | 2832 | 2853 | $\lambda_{17}$ | 3171 | $3198$ |
| $\lambda_{18}$ | 3524 | 3564 | $\lambda_{19}$ | 3897 | 3948 |

Table II $(l=2)$

| Even eigenvalues |  |  | Odd eigenvalues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds <br> (Rayleigh-Ritz) |  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds (Rayleigh-Ritz) |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | 2.5969190 | 2. 5969197 | $\lambda_{1}$ | IO. 151145 | 10.151165 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 22.51747 | 22.51766 | $\lambda_{3}$ | 39.7984 | 39.7994 |
| $\lambda_{4}$ | 62.007 | 60.01 I | $\lambda_{5}$ | 89.144 | 89.155 |
| $\lambda_{6}$ | 121.207 | 121.223 | $\lambda_{7}$ | 158.19 | 158.25 |
| $\lambda_{8}$ | 200.07 | 200.20 | $\lambda_{9}$ | 246.86 | 247.08 |
| $\lambda_{10}$ | 298.5 I | 298.89 | $\lambda_{11}$ | 355.03 | 355.64 |
| $\lambda_{12}$ | 416.3 | 417.4 | $\lambda_{13}$ | 482.4 | 484.0 |
| $\lambda_{14}$ | 553. 1 | 555.5 | $\lambda_{15}$ | 628.6 | 632.0 |
| $\lambda_{16}$ | 708.4 | 713.5 | $\lambda_{17}$ | 793.0 | 799.8 |
| $\lambda_{18}$ | 881. 5 | 891.I | $\lambda_{19}$ | 974.7 | 987.3 |

(I) The lower bounds of the present paper can be further improved taking advantage of a suggestion of Dr. C. Cassisa and Dr. R. Ambrosetti. The improved bounds will appear in a paper by V. Franceschini, in press on the " Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Un. Modena", 24, Issue 1, 1975. For istance, for $l=1$ one has: $9.902258640<\lambda_{0}<9.902258648$. It is a pleasure to thank Dr. C. Cassisa and Dr. R. Ambrosetti for their interesting remark.

TAbLE III $(l=4)$

|  | Even Eigenval.ues |  | Odd eigenvalues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds (Rayleigh-Ritz) |  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds <br> (Rayleigh-Ritz) |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | I. 0749204 | 1. 0749225 | $\lambda_{1}$ | 3.529613 | 3.529633 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 6.79947 | 6.79958 | $\lambda_{3}$ | II. 1688 | II. 1693 |
| $\lambda_{4}$ | 16.7365 | 16.7378 | $\lambda_{5}$ | 23.5268 | 23.5300 |
| $\lambda_{6}$ | 31.544 | 31.553 | $\lambda_{7}$ | 40.79 I | 40.808 |
| $\lambda_{8}$ | 5 I .263 | 51.295 | $\lambda_{9}$ | 62.959 | 63.016 |
| $\lambda_{10}$ | 75.870 | 75.970 | $\lambda_{11}$ | 89.99 | 90.16 |
| $\lambda_{12}$ | 105.31 | 105.58 | $\lambda_{13}$ | I21. 8 | 122.3 |
| $\lambda_{14}$ | 1 39.5 | 140.2 | $\lambda_{15}$ | 158.3 | 159.3 |
| $\lambda_{16}$ | 178.3 | 179.7 | $\lambda_{17}$ | 199.4 | 201.2 |
| $\lambda_{18}$ | 22 . 5 | 224. I | $\lambda_{19}$ | 244.8 | 248. I |

Table IV $(l=8)$

| Even eigenvalues |  |  | Odd eigenvalues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds (Rayleigh-Ritz) |  | Lower bounds (Fichera) | Upper bounds <br> (Rayleigh-Ritz) |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | 0.999973 | I. 00000 I | $\lambda_{1}$ | 2.9998 I | 3.00003 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 4.99964 | 5.0004 I | $\lambda_{3}$ | 7.0016 | 7.0034 |
| $\lambda_{4}$ | 9.0157 | 9.0193 | $\lambda_{5}$ | 11.072 | 11.079 |
| $\lambda_{6}$ | 13.232 | 13.243 | $\lambda_{7}$ | 15.57 I | 15.588 |
| $\lambda_{8}$ | 18.157 | 18.183 | $\lambda_{9}$ | 21.031 | 21. 068 |
| $\lambda_{10}$ | 24.205 | 24.262 | $\lambda_{11}$ | 27.68 | 27.77 |
| $\lambda_{12}$ | 31.47 | 31.60 | $\lambda_{13}$ | 35.56 | 35.74 |
| $\lambda_{14}$ | 39.93 | 40.19 | $\lambda_{15}$ | 44.61 | 44.95 |
| $\lambda_{16}$ | 49.56 | 50.02 | $\lambda_{17}$ | 54.80 | 55.41 |
| $\lambda_{18}$ | 60.27 | 61.10 | $\lambda_{19}$ | 66.05 | 67.11 |

In the following Table V we report the numerical results obtained in [5] for the first eigenvalues for $l=2,4$, comparing them with those obtained in the present paper.

Table V

|  | $l=2$ |  | $l=4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [5] | Present paper | [5] | Present paper |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | 2.596 | $2.5969190-2.5969197$ | I. 075 | 1.0749204-1.0749225 |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | 10.15 | IO. $151145-10.151165$ | $3 \cdot 529$ | $3 \cdot 529613-3 \cdot 529633$ |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | '22.52 | 22.51747-22.51766 | 6.799 | 6.79947-6.79958 |
| $\lambda_{3}$ | 39.80 | 39.7984-39.7994 |  |  |

In the following Table VI we compare our results with those obtained in [6] again through an approximate solution of the differential equation. Here the lowest (ground state) eigenvalue is examined.

Table VI

| $l$ | $\lambda_{0}$ in [6] | $\lambda_{0}$ in present paper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 9.90225 | $9.9022575<\lambda_{0}<9.9022587$ |
| 2 | 2.59691 | $2.5969190<\lambda_{0}<2.5969197$ |
| 4 | 1.07492 | $1.0749204<\lambda_{0}<1.0749225$ |

As is well known, the eigenvalues of the present problem converge monotically downward to the eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator given by $\mu_{n}=2 n+1, n=0,1,2, \cdots$

Such a convergence is so fast that already for $l=8$ it can be seen from Table IV that $\mu_{0}$ is a better lower bound for $\lambda_{0}$ then that reported, as far as the lowest eigenvalue is concerned. Of course this is still not true for higher eigenvalues.

Let us conclude by remarking that Chandrasekhar in i943 [1] obtained, for $\lambda_{1}(l=8)$, trough a very simple ingenious approximation, the value: $\lambda_{1}=3.0026$, to be compared with: $3.00000<\lambda_{1}<3.00003$.
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