
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

H. D. PANDE, B. SINGH

**Recurrent Finsler spaces with pseudoprojective
tensor field**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 57 (1974), n.1-2, p. 70-74.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

[<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1974_8_57_1-2_70_0>](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1974_8_57_1-2_70_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Geometria differenziale. — *Recurrent Finsler spaces with pseudo projective tensor field.* Nota di H. D. PANDE e B. SINGH, presentata (*) dal Socio E. BOMPIANI.

RIASSUNTO. — Si studiano gli spazi di Finsler ricorrenti in relazione alla derivazione covariante di Berwald.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider an n -dimensional Finsler space F_n in which the metric tensors $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ and $g^{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ are symmetric in their indices i and j and are positively homogeneous of degree zero in their directional arguments. The Berwald's covariant derivative of a vector field $X^i(x, \dot{x})$ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad X^i_{(h)} = \partial_h X^i - \partial_m X^i G^m_h + X^m G^i_{mh}.$$

In view of (1.1), we have

$$(1.2) \quad g_{ij(h)} = -2A_{ijh|\gamma} L^\gamma, \quad A_{ihj} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} FC_{ihj}.$$

The H-recurrent Finsler space [2] ⁽¹⁾ is characterized by

$$(1.3) \quad H^i_{jkh(l)} = \lambda_l H^i_{jkh}$$

where $\lambda_l(x, \dot{x})$ is a non-null vector field.

The pseudo-projective deviation tensor field $W^{*i}_j(x, \dot{x})$, [5], is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad W^{*i}_j = a(x, \dot{x}) W^i_j + b(x, \dot{x}) H^i_j$$

where W^i_j and H^i_j are the projective deviation and Berwald's deviation tensor fields respectively. The functions a and b are homogeneous of degree zero in their directional argument. The pseudo-projective tensor field $W^{*i}_{lkj}(x, \dot{x})$ is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad W^{*i}_{lkj}(x, \dot{x}) = \partial_l W^{*i}_{hj} = \frac{2}{3} \partial^2_{l[h} W^{*i}_{j]},$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} W^{*i}_{lkj} = & a W^i_{lkj} + b H^i_{lkj} + \partial_l a W^i_{hj} + \partial_l b H^i_{hj} \\ & + \frac{2}{3} \{ \partial^2_{l[h} a W^i_{j]} + \partial_{[h} a \partial_{l]} W^i_{j]} + \partial^2_{l[h} b H^i_{j]} + \partial_{[h} b \partial_{l]} H^i_{j]} \}. \end{aligned}$$

(*) Nella seduta del 29 giugno 1974.

(1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

In virtue of the homogeneity property of $W_j^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$, we have the following identities and contractions:

$$(1.7) \quad (a) \quad W_{lhj}^{*i} \dot{x}^l = W_{hj}^{*i} \quad (b) \quad W_{hj}^{*i} \dot{x}^h = W_j^{*i},$$

$$(1.8) \quad W_i^{*i} = b(n-1)H.$$

2. RECURRENT FINSLER SPACES IN THE SENSE OF BERWALD'S COVARIANT DERIVATIVE

DEFINITION 2.1. An n -dimensional Finsler space F_n is said to be W^* -recurrent if the tensor field $W_{lhj}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ satisfies the relation

$$(2.1) \quad W_{lhj(k)}^{*i} = \lambda_k W_{lhj}^{*i}.$$

Transvecting (2.1) with $\dot{x}^l$ and $\dot{x}^h$ successively, we get

$$(2.2) \quad W_{hj(k)}^{*i} = \lambda_k W_{hj}^{*i}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad W_{j(k)}^{*i} = \lambda_k W_j^{*i}.$$

Hence from (2.2) and (2.3) it is clear that the tensor fields $W_{hj}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ and $W_j^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ are also recurrent in a W^* -recurrent Finsler space and they are called W_{hj}^{*i} -recurrent and W_j^{*i} -recurrent F_n .

THEOREM 2.1. If a W_{hj}^{*i} -recurrent Finsler space is projectively flat and H -recurrent, then the following relation holds:

$$(2.4) \quad \dot{x}^k \{a W_{lhj(k)}^i + b_{(k)} H_{lhj}^i + N_{lhj(k)}^i - \lambda_k N_{lhj}^i\} = \dot{x}^k (\partial_l \lambda_k) W_{hj}^{*i},$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad N_{lhj}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_l a W_{hj}^i + \partial_l b H_{hj}^i + \\ + \frac{2}{3} \{ \partial_{[l}^2 a W_{j]}^i + \partial_{[l} a \partial_{|l} W_{j]}^i + \partial_{[l}^2 b H_{j]}^i + \partial_{[l} b \partial_{|l} H_{j]}^i \}.$$

Proof. With the help of the commutation formula $(\partial_k X^i)_{(h)} = \partial_k X_{(h)}^i - X^\gamma G_{\gamma kh}^i$, we get for the tensor $W_{hj}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$

$$(2.6) \quad (\partial_l W_{hj}^{*i})_{(k)} - \partial_l W_{hj(k)}^{*i} = -W_{hj}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma lk}^i + W_{\gamma j}^{*i} G_{hlk}^\gamma + W_{h\gamma}^{*i} G_{jlk}^\gamma.$$

Differentiating (2.2) partially with respect to $\dot{x}^l$ and using the equation (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad (\partial_l W_{hj(k)}^{*i}) - \partial_l \lambda_k W_{hj}^{*i} - \lambda_k \partial_l W_{hj}^{*i} = -W_{hj}^{*\gamma} G_{lk\gamma}^i + W_{\gamma j}^{*i} G_{hlk}^\gamma + W_{h\gamma}^{*i} G_{jlk}^\gamma.$$

Multiplying (2.7) by $\dot{x}^k$ and using the fact that $G_{mkh}^i \dot{x}^k = 0$ and applying the relation (1.5), we have

$$\dot{x}^k \partial_l \lambda_k W_{hj}^{*i} = \{W_{lhj(k)}^{*i} - \lambda_k W_{lhj}^{*i}\} \dot{x}^k.$$

Substituting the value of $W_{lhj}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ from (1.6) in the above equation and using (2.5), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}^k \partial_l \lambda_k W_{hj}^{*i} = & \{a W_{lhj}^i + b H_{lhj}^i + N_{lhj}^i\}_{(k)} - \\ & - \lambda_k \{a W_{lhj}^i + b H_{lhj}^i + N_{lhj}^i\} \end{aligned}$$

Since the space is projectively flat (i.e. $W_{lhj}^i = 0$) and H-recurrent, then (2.8) yields (2.4) in view of the equation (1.3).

THEOREM 2.2. *If a W^* -recurrent Finsler space is W_j^{*i} -recurrent, then we have*

$$(2.9) \quad g_{ik} (M_{lhmj}^i - M_{ljmh}^i) \dot{x}^l \dot{x}^k = 0$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{lhmj}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \frac{2}{3} \{ \partial_{lh}^2 \lambda_m W_j^{*i} + \partial_l W_j^{*i} \partial_h \lambda_m + \\ & + \partial_l \lambda_m \partial_h W_j^{*i} + \partial_l W_j^{*\gamma} G_{h\gamma m}^i + \partial_l G_{\gamma mh}^i W_j^{*\gamma} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The pseudo-projective tensor field $W_{lkjh}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$, [5], is given by

$$(2.11) \quad W_{lkjh}^{*i}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{ik} W_{lhj}^{*i}(x, \dot{x}).$$

Differentiating (2.11) covariantly with respect to x^m and using equations (1.2) and (2.11), we get

$$(2.12) \quad W_{lkjh(m)}^{*i} - \lambda_m W_{lkjh}^{*i} = g_{ki(m)} W_{lhj}^{*i} = -2 A_{kim|\gamma} l^\gamma W_{lhj}^{*i}.$$

The tensor field $W_{lkjh}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ is recurrent in W^* -recurrent F_n if and only if the right hand member of (2.12) vanishes. We obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_{ph}^2 W_j^{*i})_{(l)} = & \partial_l (\partial_{ph}^2 W_j^{*i}) - G_l^\gamma \partial_\gamma (\partial_{ph}^2 W_j^{*i}) + \\ & + (\partial_{ph}^2 W_j^{*\gamma}) G_{\gamma l}^i - (\partial_{ph}^2 W_\gamma^{*i}) G_{jl}^\gamma - (\partial_{\gamma h}^2 W_j^{*i}) G_{pl}^\gamma - (\partial_{p\gamma}^2 W_j^{*i}) G_{hl}^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{ph}^2 W_{j(l)}^{*i} = & \partial_{ph}^2 (\partial_l W_j^{*i}) - \partial_{ph}^2 (G_l^\gamma \partial_\gamma W_j^{*i}) + \\ & + \partial_{ph}^2 (W_j^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma l}^i) - \partial_{ph}^2 (W_\gamma^{*i} G_{jl}^\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad \partial_{ph}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_p \partial_h.$$

From equations (2.13) and (2.14), we get the following commutation formula:

$$(2.15) \quad (\partial_{ph}^2 W_{j(l)}^{*i}) - \partial_{ph}^2 W_{j(l)}^{*i} = -G_{phl}^\gamma \partial_\gamma W_j^{*i} + \partial_p W_j^{*\gamma} G_{h\gamma l}^i + \\ + \partial_h W_j^{*\gamma} G_{h\gamma l}^i + \partial_h W_j^{*\gamma} G_{p\gamma l}^i + W_j^{*\gamma} \partial_p G_{h\gamma l}^i - \\ - \partial_h W_\gamma^{*i} G_{pjl}^\gamma - \partial_p W_\gamma^{*i} G_{hjl}^\gamma - W_\gamma^{*i} \partial_p G_{hjl}^\gamma.$$

Differentiating the identity $W_{lkhj}^* + W_{kljh}^* = \frac{2}{3} \{g_{ik} \partial_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i} + g_{il} \partial_{k[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i}\}$, [5], covariantly with respect to x^m and using relations (2.11) and (1.5), we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \lambda_m (W_{lkhj}^* + W_{kljh}^*) = \frac{2}{3} \{g_{ik} (\partial_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i})_{(m)} + g_{il} (\partial_{k[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i})_{(m)}\}.$$

Substituting the value of $\lambda_m (W_{lkhj}^* + W_{kljh}^*)$ in (2.16) and applying the commutation formula (2.15), we get

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{4}{3} [g_{ik} \{(\partial_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i})_{(m)} + \partial_l W_{[j}^{*\gamma} G_{h]\gamma m}^i + \partial_l G_{\gamma m[h}^i W_{j]}^{*\gamma} - \\ - \lambda_m g_{ik} (\partial_{l[h}^2 W_{j]}^{*i})\} x^l x^k = 0.$$

Since W^* -recurrent F_n is also W_j^{*i} -recurrent F_n , then differentiating (2.3) partially with respect to x^l and x^h , we get

$$(2.18) \quad \partial_{lh}^2 W_{j(m)}^{*i} = \partial_{lh}^2 \lambda_m W_j^{*i} + \partial_h \lambda_m W_j^{*i} + \partial_l \lambda_m \partial_h W_j^{*i} + \partial_{lh}^2 W_j^{*i} \cdot \lambda_m.$$

With the help of equations (2.10), (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain the required result.

THEOREM (2.3). *In an n -dimensional W^* recurrent F_n the following relation is true:*

$$(2.19) \quad x^k \{ \partial_l \lambda_{k(s)} W_{hj}^{*i} + \partial_h \lambda_{k(s)} W_{jl}^{*i} + \partial_j \lambda_{k(s)} W_{lh}^{*i} \} = 0.$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.2) partially with respect to x^l , we have

$$(2.20) \quad \partial_l W_{hj(k)}^{*i} = \partial_l \lambda_k W_{hj}^{*i} + \lambda_k \partial_l W_{hj}^{*i}.$$

From equations (2.1), (2.6) and (2.20), we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad (\partial_l \lambda_k) W_{hj}^{*i} = W_{hj}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma lk}^i - W_{\gamma j}^{*i} G_{h\gamma k}^\gamma - W_{h\gamma}^{*i} G_{j\gamma k}^\gamma.$$

Interchanging the indices l, h and j in (2.21) and adding all the three equations thus obtained, we get

$$(2.22) \quad (\partial_l \lambda_k) W_{hj}^{*i} + (\partial_h \lambda_k) W_{jl}^{*i} + (\partial_j \lambda_k) W_{lh}^{*i} = \\ = W_{hj}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma lk}^i + W_{jl}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma hk}^i + W_{lh}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma jk}^i.$$

Differentiating (2.22) covariantly with respect to x^s , and applying the commutation formula (2.6) and using the relation (2.2), we get

$$(2.23) \quad (\partial_l \lambda_{k(s)} + \lambda_\gamma G_{l s k}^\gamma) W_{hj}^{*i} + (\partial_h \lambda_{k(s)} + \lambda_\gamma G_{h s k}^\gamma) W_{ji}^{*i} + \\ + (\partial_j \lambda_{k(s)} + G_{j s k}^\gamma \lambda_\gamma) W_{lh}^{*i} = W_{hj}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma l k(s)}^i + W_{ji}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma h k(s)}^i + W_{lh}^{*\gamma} G_{\gamma j k(s)}^i.$$

Multiplying (2.23) by x^k and using the symmetric property of G_{hjk}^i , we get the required result.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. MISRA and F.M. MEHER (1972) – *Lie differentiation and projective motion in the projective Finsler space*, «Tensor», N. S., 23, 57–65.
- [2] R. B. MISRA (1973) – *On a recurrent Finsler space*, «Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et appl.», 18, 701–712.
- [3] R. S. MISHRA and H. D. PANDE (1969) – *Recurrent Finsler space*, «J. Ind. Math. Soc.», 32, 17–22.
- [4] H. RUND (1959) – *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] B. B. SINHA and S. P. SINGH (1971) – *On Pseudo-Projective Tensor Fields*, «Tensor», N. S., 22, 317–320.