

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

MASSIMO FURI, MARIO MARTELLI

**On  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retractions of the unit closed ball  
onto its boundary**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 57 (1974), n.1-2, p. 61–65.*  
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1974\\_8\\_57\\_1-2\\_61\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1974_8_57_1-2_61_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



**Analisi funzionale.** — *On  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retractions of the unit closed ball onto its boundary*<sup>(\*)</sup>. Nota <sup>(\*\*)</sup> di MASSIMO FURI e MARIO MARTELLI, presentata dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Sia  $D$  il disco unitario di uno spazio di Banach. Si prova che  $\partial D$  è un retrato  $\alpha$ -Lipschitziano di  $D$  se e solo se esiste  $k > 0$  ed un'omotopia  $H: \partial D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \partial D$  tale che  $H(x, 0) = x_0$ ,  $H(x, 1) = x$  e  $\alpha(H(A \times [0, t])) \leq t k \alpha(A)$  per ogni  $A \subset \partial D$ .

1. Let  $D$  be the unit closed ball of a Banach space  $E$  and  $f: D \rightarrow D$  be a Lipschitz map with constant  $k \geq 1$ . In [2] K. Goebel proved that

$$\eta(f) \leq 1 - 1/k$$

where  $\eta(f) = \inf \{\|x - f(x)\| : x \in D\}$ .

It is not known whether there are Banach spaces such that  $\eta(f) = 0$  for any Lipschitz map  $f: D \rightarrow D$ . However K. Goebel pointed out that when  $E$  is a Hilbert space there are Lipschitz maps  $f: D \rightarrow D$  with  $\eta(f) > 0$  if and only if  $S$ , the boundary of  $D$ , is a Lipschitz retract of  $D$ .

In [4] we proved that Goebel's inequality holds also for  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz maps. Moreover we showed that, in any Banach space,  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retract of  $D$  if and only if there exists an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz map  $f: D \rightarrow D$  with  $\eta(f) > 0$ .

In this paper we succeeded in constructing an example of a Lipschitz map  $f: D \rightarrow D$  such that  $\eta(f) > 0$ . Moreover we gave another formulation of the problem of finding an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retraction  $r: D \rightarrow S$ , which involves the contractibility of  $S$ . This formulation is given in terms of the existence of a particular homotopy  $H: S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  joining the identity and a constant map.

2. Let  $E$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space. In this paper we always denote by  $S, D$  the unit sphere and the unit closed ball of  $E$  respectively. We will use the following well-known results concerning  $S$  and  $D$ .

i)  $S$  is a retract of  $D$ , i.e. there exists a continuous map  $r: D \rightarrow S$  which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{j} & D \\ & \searrow i_S & \downarrow r \\ & & S \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is the inclusion and  $i_S$  is the identity on  $S$ .

(\*) Supported by Sonderforschungsbereich 72 at Institute for Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn.

(\*\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 21 agosto 1974.

ii)  $S$  is contractible, i.e. there exists a continuous homotopy  $H: S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  joining the identity and a constant map.

Let  $A$  be a bounded subset of  $E$ . We denote by  $\alpha(A)$  the infimum of all  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $A$  can be covered with a finite family of subsets with diameter less than  $\varepsilon$  (see Kuratowski [6]). We will use the following properties of  $\alpha$ .

- 1)  $\alpha(A \cup B) = \max \{ \alpha(A), \alpha(B) \}$ ,  $A, B \subset E$ .
- 2)  $\alpha(A) = 0$  if and only if  $\bar{A}$  is compact, where  $\bar{A}$  is the closure of  $A$ .
- 3)  $\alpha(\overline{\text{co}}A) = \alpha(A)$  (G. Darbo [1]), where  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  denotes the closed convex hull of  $A$ .
- 4)  $\alpha([0, T] \cdot A) = T\alpha(A)$ , where  $[0, T] \cdot A = \{tx : 0 \leq t \leq T, x \in A\}$ .

Let  $M \subset E$  and  $f: M \rightarrow E$  be a continuous map. If there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha(f(A)) \leq k\alpha(A)$  for any bounded set  $A \subset M$  then  $f$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with constant  $k$ . In the case when  $k < 1$  ( $k = 1$ )  $f$  is called  $\alpha$ -contractive ( $\alpha$ -nonexpansive). We recall the following result concerning  $\alpha$ -contractive maps [1].

*Let  $f: C \rightarrow C$  be an  $\alpha$ -contractive map defined on a closed bounded and convex subset of a Banach space  $E$ . Then  $f$  has a fixed point.*

Using the above result it can be easily seen that an  $\alpha$ -nonexpansive map  $f: C \rightarrow C$  is such that  $\eta(f) = 0$  [6].

In this paper we deal with  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz maps defined in the unit closed ball  $D$  of a Banach space  $E$ . The fact that  $\eta(f) > 0$  will play a key role in our considerations. Therefore we will always assume that the constant  $k$  is bigger than 1.

3. Let  $E_0$  be the subspace of  $C[0, 1]$  consisting of all functions  $x$  such that  $x(0) = 0$ . The following example shows that  $S \subset E_0$  is an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retract of  $D$ .

We point out that the problem of whether  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retract of  $D$  in any infinite dimensional Banach space is still open.

*Example.* Consider the map  $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$  defined by

$$\varphi(x)(t) = g((1-t)|x(t)| + t)$$

where

$$g(\tau) = \begin{cases} k\tau, & 0 \leq \tau \leq 1/k \\ 1, & 1/k \leq \tau \leq 1, \quad k > 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $g$  is continuous and piecewise differentiable with  $|g'(\tau)| \leq k$ ,  $g$  is Lipschitz with constant  $k$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)(t) - \varphi(y)(t)| &= |g((1-t)|x(t)| + t) - g((1-t)|y(t)| + t)| \leq \\ &\leq k|(1-t)|x(t)| + t - (1-t)|y(t)| - t| = k(1-t)||x(t)| - |y(t)|| \leq \\ &\leq k|x(t) - y(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$  is a Lipschitz map with constant  $k$ . We need only to prove that  $\eta(\varphi) > 0$ . Let  $x \in D$ . Clearly there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $(1 - t_0)|x(t_0)| + t_0 = 1/k$ . This implies that  $\varphi(x)(t_0) = g(1/k) = 1$ . On the other hand  $|x(t_0)| = (1/k - t_0)/(1 - t_0)$ . Therefore

$$\|\varphi(x) - x\| \geq |\varphi(x)(t_0) - x(t_0)| > 1 - 1/k$$

and so  $\eta(\varphi) \geq 1 - 1/k$ .

The following theorem shows that if  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retract of  $D$  then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz map  $f: D \rightarrow D$  with constant  $1 + \varepsilon$  such that  $\eta(f) > 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $Q$  be a bounded closed and convex subset of a Banach space  $E$ . Assume that there exists  $k_0 > 1$  such that any  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz map  $f: Q \rightarrow Q$  with constant  $k_0$  has the property  $\eta(f) = 0$ . Then any  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz selfmap of  $Q$  has the same property.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz selfmap of  $Q$  with constant  $k > 1$  and let  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Define  $f_\lambda(x) = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda f(x)$  for any  $x \in Q$ . The map  $f_\lambda$  is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with constant  $1 - \lambda + \lambda k$ . Moreover  $\eta(f_\lambda) = \lambda \eta(f)$ . Since there exists  $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$  such that  $1 - \lambda_0 + \lambda_0 k \leq k_0$  and any  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz map  $g$  with constant less than  $k_0$  has  $\eta(g) = 0$  the theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

It is known that the unit sphere  $S$  in an infinite dimensional Banach space is contractible, i.e. there exists a continuous homotopy  $H: S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  joining the identity and a constant map.

Theorem 2 below shows that the problem of finding an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retraction  $r: D \rightarrow S$  is equivalent to the one of the existence of a continuous homotopy  $H: S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  with particular properties. We need the following Proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite subfamily  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with the property that  $\alpha(X \setminus \cup_i F_i) < \varepsilon$ . Assume that the restrictions  $f|_{F_i}$ ,  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , of a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow X$  are  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with constant  $k$ . Then  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with the same constant.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a finite subfamily  $\{F_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which is a covering of  $X$ . If  $A$  is any bounded subset of  $X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f(A)) &= \max \{ \alpha(f(A \cap F_i)) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \} \leq \\ &\leq \max \{ k\alpha(A \cap F_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \} = k\alpha(A). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $\mathcal{F}$  has not the above property. Consider the family  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of the sets which are complements of finite unions of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{B}$  is a filterbase. Moreover by assumption  $\inf \{ \alpha(B) : B \in \mathcal{B} \} = 0$ . Therefore, by a result proved in [3], we have

- a) the set  $K = \bigcap \{ \bar{B} : B \in \mathcal{B} \}$  is non-empty and compact.
- b) For any neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$  there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B \subset U$ .

Let  $A$  be a bounded subset of  $X$ . We want to prove that  $\alpha(f(A)) \leq k\alpha(A)$ . This is true if  $\alpha(A) = 0$  since  $\bar{A}$  is compact. Assume  $\alpha(A) > 0$ . There exists a neighborhood  $V \supset f(K)$  such that  $\alpha(V) < k\alpha(A)$ . Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $K$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . There exists a finite subfamily  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with the property that  $\cup_i F_i \subset X/U$ . Put  $A_0 = A \cap U$  and  $A_i = A \cap F_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f(A)) &= \alpha\left\{\bigcup_{i=0}^n f(A_i)\right\} = \max\{\alpha(f(A_i)) : i = 0, 1, \dots, n\} \leq \\ &\leq \max\{\alpha(V), k\alpha(A)\} = k\alpha(A). \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $D$  be the unit closed ball in a Banach space  $E$  and let  $S$  be the boundary of  $D$ . The following two conditions are equivalent*

- i) *there exists an  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz retraction  $r: D \rightarrow S$  with constant  $k$ ,*
- ii) *there exists a homotopy  $H: S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ , joining the identity and a constant map such that*

$$\alpha(H(A \times [0, t])) \leq tk\alpha(A)$$

for any  $A \subset S$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). Define  $H(x, t) = r(tx)$ . We have  $\alpha(H(A \times [0, t])) = \alpha(r([0, t] \cdot A)) \leq k\alpha([0, t] \cdot A) = tk\alpha(A)$ . ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i). The map  $\pi: S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D$  defined by  $\pi(x, t) = tx$  is a quotient map. Since  $H$  is constant in the set  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  there exists a unique continuous map  $r$  which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \times [0, 1] & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow H & \\ D & \xrightarrow{r} & S \end{array}$$

Obviously  $r$  is a retraction since  $H(x, 1) = x$  for any  $x \in S$ .

Let  $0 < q < 1$  and put  $F_n = \{x \in D : q^{n+1} \leq \|x\| \leq q^n\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . The family  $\{F_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  satisfies the assumption of Proposition 1.

Let us prove now that  $r/F_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with constant  $k/q$ . Take  $A \subset F_n$  and put  $\hat{A} = \{x/\|x\| : x \in A\}$ . Since  $\hat{A} \subset [0, 1/q^{n+1}]$ .  $A$  we have  $\alpha(\hat{A}) \leq \alpha(A)/q^{n+1}$ . On the other hand  $r(A) \subset H(\hat{A} \times [0, q^n])$ . Therefore

$$\alpha(r(A)) \leq q^n k\alpha(\hat{A}) \leq k\alpha(A)/q.$$

By the above Proposition it follows that  $r$  is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with constant  $k/q$ . Since this holds for any  $q < 1$  we have that  $r$  is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with constant  $k$ . Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

- [1] DARBO G. (1955) – *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a codominio non compatto*, « Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. di Padova », 24, 353–367.
- [2] GOEBEL K. (1973) – *On the minimal displacement of points under lipschitzian mappings*, « Pac. Jour. of Math. », 45, 151–163.
- [3] FURI M. and MARTELLI M. (1970) – *A characterization of compact filterbasis in complete metric spaces*, « Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. di Trieste », 2, 109–113.
- [4] FURI M. and MARTELLI M. (1974) – *On the minimal displacement of points under  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz maps in Normed Spaces*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (4), 9, 791–799.
- [5] FURI M. and VIGNOLI A. (1970) – *On  $\alpha$ -nonexpansive mappings and fixed points*, « Atti Acc. Naz. Lincei », 48, 195–198.
- [6] KURATOWSKI C. (1958) – *Topologie*, « Monografie Matematyczne », 20, Warszawa.