

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

# RENDICONTI

---

FRED GROSS, CHUNG-CHUN YANG

## On periodic solutions of a functional equation

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 56 (1974), n.6, p. 873–875.*  
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1974\\_8\\_56\\_6\\_873\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1974_8_56_6_873_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



**Equazioni funzionali nel campo complesso.** — *On periodic solutions of a functional equation.* Nota di FRED GROSS e CHUNG-CHUN YANG, presentata (\*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — In questa Nota è data la forma delle soluzioni intere periodiche  $H_i(z)$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) dell'equazione funzionale  $\sum_{i=1}^k H_i(z) e^{\alpha_i z} = 0$  dove le  $\alpha_i$  sono costanti e i  $\tau_i$  periodi delle  $H_i(z)$  soddisfano la condizione che  $\tau_i/\tau_j$  non è reale per  $i \neq j$ .

In [1] a necessary and sufficient condition is provided in order that the following functional equation:

$$(1) \quad H_1(z) e^{\alpha(z)} = H_2(z)$$

have periodic meromorphic function solutions  $H_1(z)$  and  $H_2(z)$  with periods  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  respectively. Here  $\alpha(z)$  is a given entire function and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are required to be linearly independent over the reals, i.e.,  $\tau_1/\tau_2 \neq \text{real}$ .

It is then natural for one to study the following more general type of equation:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n H_i(z) e^{\alpha_i(z)} = 0$$

where  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n$  are required to be periodic entire functions with periods  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ , respectively, obeying the conditions:  $\tau_i/\tau_j \neq \text{real}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , and  $\alpha_1(z), \alpha_2(z), \dots, \alpha_n(z)$  are given entire functions.

In this Note, we shall present the following result which is related to the general form of the periodic entire solutions of equation (2) when all the functions  $\alpha_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are linear functions.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  be  $n$  given complex numbers ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $H_1(z), H_2(z), \dots, H_n(z)$  be  $n$  periodic entire functions with periods  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ , respectively, obeying the restrictions:  $\tau_i/\tau_j \neq \text{real}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Suppose that  $H_1(z), H_2(z), \dots, H_n(z)$  satisfy the following functional equation:*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n H_i(z) e^{\alpha_i z} = 0.$$

then

$$H_i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b(i, j) e^{l(i, j) \tau_j z}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $b(i, j)$  is a constant,  $l(i, j)$  is an integer, and  $\tau_j$  is constant.

(\*) Nella seduta del 29 giugno 1974.

We shall first prove the statement for the case when  $n = 2$ , and then proceed by mathematical induction to prove the statement in general. The following lemma is our key to the whole proof.

LEMMA. Let  $\alpha$  be a constant and  $H_1(z)$  and  $H_2(z)$  be two periodic entire functions with periods  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , respectively, and  $\tau_1/\tau_2 \neq \text{real}$ . Suppose that  $H_1(z)$  and  $H_2(z)$  satisfy the equation

$$H_1(z) e^{\alpha z} = H_2(z).$$

Then  $H_1(z) = C_1 e^{\beta z}$  and  $H_2(z) = C_2 e^{\gamma z}$  where  $C_1, C_2, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are constants.

This lemma is a special case of Theorem 2 in [I].

*Proof of the Theorem.* It follows immediately from the key lemma that when  $n = 2$  the statement of our theorem is valid. Now we assume the statement is true for  $n \leq k - 1$ . We will show that the statement is also true for  $n = k$ .

Letting  $z = z + \tau_k$  in the equation (3) (in which  $n = k$ ) and dividing through the equation by  $e^{\alpha_k \tau_k}$ , we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} H_i(z + \tau_k) e^{\alpha_i(z + \tau_k) - \alpha_k \tau_k} + H_k(z) e^{\alpha_k z} = 0.$$

Eliminating  $H_k(z) e^{\alpha_k z}$  from equations (4) and (3), we get

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \{H_i(z) - C_i H_i(z + \tau_k)\} e^{\alpha_i z} = 0$$

where  $C_i = e^{(\alpha_i - \alpha_k) \tau_k}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ . From this, by the induction hypothesis, we conclude that

$$(6) \quad H_i(z) - C_i H_i(z + \tau_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} d(i, j) e^{l(i, j) \gamma_j z}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$$

where  $l(i, j)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$  are integers and  $d(i, j)$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ , and  $\gamma_j$  are constants. We note here that  $e^{\gamma_i z}$  has a period  $\tau_i$ .

Now it is easy to verify (see e.g. [2, Chap. XIII, § 1]) that the general entire solution of the linear difference equation has the form:

$$(7) \quad H_i(z) = a_i(z) e^{\beta_i z} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} b(i, j) e^{l(i, j) \gamma_j z}$$

where  $a_i(z)$  is a periodic entire function with period  $\tau_k$  and  $\beta_i$  and  $b(i, j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  are constants.

From this we have

$$(8) \quad \left\{ H_i(z) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} b(i, j) e^{l(i, j) \gamma_j z} \right\} = a_i(z) e^{\beta_i z}.$$

It follows again from the key lemma that

$$(9) \quad H_i(z) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} b(i, j) e^{l_j \gamma_i z} = b(i, k-1) e^{\delta_i z}$$

where  $b(i, k-1)$  and  $\delta_i$  are constants.

By the periodicity of  $H_i(z)$  we can conclude that  $\delta_i = l(i, k-1) \gamma_i$  for some integer  $l(i, k-1)$ . So we have

$$(10) \quad H_i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b(i, j) e^{l(i, j) \gamma_i z}; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1.$$

By exactly the same argument, if we eliminate  $H_i(z)$  instead of  $H_k(z)$  from equations (4) and (3), we will get

$$(11) \quad H_k(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b(k, j) e^{l(k, j) \gamma_k z}.$$

Thus the statement is valid for  $n = k$ . Therefore, the theorem is established.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] FRED GROSS and HERBERT HAUPTMAN - *On a Functional Equation Related to the Weierstrass Sigma Function*, to appear in «Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics».
- [2] L.M. MILNE-THOMSON (1933) - *The Calculus of Finite Differences*, MacMillan and Co., London, 1933.