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## Algebra. - Some new results on certain finite structures ${ }^{(*)}$. Nota di Pier Vittorio Ceccherini ${ }^{(* *)}$, presentata ${ }^{(* *)}$ dal Socio B. Segre.

Riassunto. - Ogni anello qui considerato viene assunto finito, commutativo ed unitario. Sotto opportune ipotesi ulteriori per l'anello, viene calcolato il numero delle funzioni polinomiali e quello delle funzioni polinomiali biunivoche; nel caso generale, vengono fornite alcune stime per i numeri anzidetti. Ciò conduce, fra l'altro, a teoremi di esistenza per «reti» $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ (nel senso geometrico introdotto da B. Segre [ro]) e per gruppi transitivi $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$, nonché a teoremi di esistenza per gli I-disegni e per le configurazioni associati a quelli. Vengono infine determinati i binomi «minimi» del tipo $X^{k}-X^{h}$ che svaniscono sull'anello. Ulteriori precisazioni sul contenuto del lavoro trovansi nell'Introduzione.

## I. Introduction

Every ring A under consideration will be finite, commutative with unit. We study: the set $\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}=n\right.$-th cartesian power of the set A$)$, the set $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ of all $f \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ induced by an $\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$, the set $\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)=\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)-\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$, the set $\operatorname{Per}(A)$ of all permutations on $A$, the set $\operatorname{PPer}(A)=\operatorname{Pol}(A, A) \cap \operatorname{Per}(A)$, the set $\operatorname{TPer}(A)=\operatorname{Per}(A)-\operatorname{PPer}(A)$.
Let us write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\left|\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|, \pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\left|\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|, \tau_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\left|\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right| \\
\rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{~A})=|\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A})|, \rho_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{~A})=|\operatorname{TPer}(\mathrm{A})| .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is well known [5] that if A is a finite field, then $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\mu_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$ and that $\pi_{(1)}(\mathrm{A})=$ $=\mu_{(1)}(\mathrm{A})$ iff A is a finite field [2], [7], [8]; moreover, if $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Z}_{m}$, the values $\pi_{(1)}(\mathrm{A}), \rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{A})$ are well known too [4], [3].

We prove that $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\mu_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$ iff A is a finite field and that the functions $\pi_{(n)}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{P}}$ are multiplicative. This leads to calculating the values of $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$, of $\tau_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$ and of $\rho_{p}(A)$ for certain rings $A$; in the general case, some estimations of those numbers are given.

We also prove that $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ and $\operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ act as I -transitive sets of maps $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ with indices $\pi_{(1)}(\mathrm{A}) /|\mathrm{A}|$ and $\tau_{(1)}(\mathrm{A}) /|\mathrm{A}|$ resp.; moreover PPer (A) acts as a I-transitive group of permutations on $A$ with index $\rho_{P}(A) /|A| ; \operatorname{TPer}(A)$ acts as a r-transitive set of permutations on $A$ with index $\rho_{T}(A) /|A|$. In this way we obtain several existence theorems for transitive groups $\mathrm{G}_{1, k, \mathrm{~N}}$ and for nets $\mathrm{K}_{1, k, \mathrm{~N}}$ (in the geometrical meaning introduced by B. Segre), thus partially answering a problem raised by B. Segre; other existence theorems for certain tactical configurations can be deduced.

Finally " minimal" binomials of the type $X^{k}-X^{h}$ which vanish over the ring $A$ are determined.
(*) Work included in the activities of Section 4 of the G.N.S.A.G.A. of the C.N.R.
${ }^{* *}$ ) Partially supported by a grant of the Royal Society (London) in connection with the Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei (Rome).
(***) Nella seduta del 29 giugno 1974.

## 2. INTRODUCTORY RESULTS

2.I. Let $A$ be any finite commutative ring with unit $I, D$ the subset of $A$ including $o$ and all the zero divisors of $A$ (if there are any); let $U=A-D$ and $\operatorname{Rad} A=\sqrt{0}$ be the set of nilpotent elements of A. Then:
(a) U is the group of units of A (i.e. D is the set of the non-invertible elements of A ).
(b) A is a field iff $\mathrm{D}=\{\mathrm{o}\}$.
(c) The following relations hold:

$$
\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{D} \quad, \quad \mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}^{-1}=\mathrm{U} \quad, \quad-\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D} \quad, \quad-\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}
$$

(d) Each ideal I $(\neq \mathrm{A})$ of A is contained in D.
(e) An ideal I of A is prime iff it is maximal.
(f) A is a noetherian and artinian ring.
$(g)$ The following conditions are equivalent:
$\left(g_{1}\right) \mathrm{A}$ is a primary ring (with prime ideal D),
$\left(g_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}$ is a local ring (with maximal ideal D),
$\left(g_{3}\right) \mathrm{D}$ is an ideal of A,
$\left(g_{4}\right) \mathrm{D}=\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}$,
$\left(g_{5}\right)$ Every idempotent of A is either o or I .
$(h)$ If A satisfies one of the conditions $(g)$, then $|\mathrm{A}|$ and $|\mathrm{D}|$ are both powers of the characteristic $p$ of the residual field A/D.
(i) $|\mathrm{A}|$ is of the form $p^{h}$ iff char A is of the form $p^{k}$ ( $p$ prime).
( $j$ ) A is the direct sum of local (i.e. primary) rings and this decomposition is unique, with the number of summands equal to the number of prime ideals of $A$, each of these being an isolated prime ideal of (0). Moreover if
( $j_{1}$ )

$$
\left.\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{s} \quad \text { ( } \mathrm{A}_{i} \text { local ring }\right)
$$

is such a decomposition, then
$\left(j_{2}\right) \quad \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{A}_{s}\right)$
where $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i}\right)$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathrm{A}_{i}$, and
( $j_{3}$ )

$$
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{s}\right)
$$

Here $U(A)$-the group of units of $A$-is cyclic iff each $U\left(A_{i}\right)$ is cyclic and

$$
\left|\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)\right|,\left|\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)\right|, \cdots,\left|\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{s}\right)\right|
$$

are coprime in pairs.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \varphi\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(multiplicativity of the Euler generalized function defined by $\varphi(A)=|U(A)|)$.
(k) With respect to $\left(j_{1}\right), \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}=\{0\}$ iff $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{~A}_{s}$ are fields. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} / \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}=\oplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{~A}_{i} / \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a direct sum of fields.
(l) If $A$ is a subring of a finite ring $B$, then $D(A)=D(B) \cap A, U(A)=U(B) \cap A$, $\operatorname{Rad} A=A \cap \operatorname{Rad} B$, and $U(A)$ is subgroup of $U(B)$.
(m) For each $\mathrm{N}=p_{1}^{h_{1}} p_{2}^{h_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{h_{k}}$ and for each $s$ such that $k \leq s \leq h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{k}$, there exists a ring A with N elements and having $s$ local summands according to $\left(j_{1}\right)$.
(n) If A is local, then char $\mathrm{A} \leq \operatorname{char}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}) \cdot|\mathrm{D}|$.
(o) If A is local, then char $\mathrm{A}=p^{2}$ iff $|\mathrm{D}|=p$ ( $p$ prime).

Proof. Each statement is quite trivial, and the proofs are left to the reader. We note only that ( $j$ ) may be deduced from elementary properties of artinian rings (cf. [II], p. 205) of from elementary properties of noetherian rings (cf. [II], p. 213).

Let $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{X}]$ and $\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$ be the rings of polynomials over A in the indeterminates X and $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ resp.

If $S, S^{\prime}$ are any sets, let $\operatorname{Map}\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$ denote the set of all functions $S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$. We shall be interested in the cases when $S^{\prime}=A$ and $S=A^{n}=$ $=\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{A} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{A}(n \geq \mathrm{I})$ or S is any overring of A . It is clear that Map (A, A) and, more generally, Map ( $\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}$ ) become rings in the natural way and that each polynomial $\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$ induces a function $f \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ defined by $f(\boldsymbol{c})=\mathrm{F}(\boldsymbol{c})\left(\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{A}^{n}\right)$. In this way we get a ring morphism

$$
\alpha: \quad \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \quad(\alpha(\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X}))=f)
$$

the kernel of which will be denoted by

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] \mid \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{A}^{n} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{~F}(\boldsymbol{c})=\mathrm{o}\right\} ;
$$

in the following we write

$$
\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \quad \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \alpha,
$$

and say that $f \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ is a polynomial or a "trascendental" function according as

$$
f \in \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \quad \text { or } f \in \operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)=\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)-\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) .
$$

By the first homomorphism theorem (applied to $\alpha$ ) we get:
2.2. The ring $\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$ is divided into equivalence classes by

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X}) \sim \mathrm{G}(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text { iff } f=g .
$$

Further $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ is a subring of $\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \simeq \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] / \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}\right) .
$$

In particular $\left|\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|$ is a divisor of $\mid \mathrm{Map}_{\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \mid \text {, so that }\left|\mathrm{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right| \text { is also a }}$ divisor of $\left|\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|$.

We shall also be interested in the sets:

$$
\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{A})=\{f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f \text { is a bijection }\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{PPer}(A)=\operatorname{Pol}(A, A) \cap \operatorname{Per}(A) \quad, \quad \operatorname{TPer}(A)=\operatorname{Trs}(A, A) \cap \operatorname{Per}(A)
$$

2.3. $\operatorname{PPer}(A)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Per}(A)$, with respect to composition of functions. In particular $|\operatorname{PPer}(A)|$ is a divisor of $|\operatorname{Per}(A)|=|A|!$, so that $|\operatorname{PPer}(A)|$ is also a divisor of |TPer (A)|.

Proof. It is enough to show that if $f, g \in \operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{~A})$ then $f o g \in \operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{~A})$. If $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X})_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \in \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{X}]$ induce $f, g$ then $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}))$ induces $f \circ g$.

Let us note that:
2.4. The ring $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ is never a field. However $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ is a direct sum of fields if, and only if, $A$ is a direct sum of fields.

Proof. Pol ( $\left.\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ is never a field because 2.2 holds and $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}\right)$ is never a maximal ideal: for instance

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}\right) \subset\left\{\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] \mid \mathrm{F}(\mathbf{o})=0\right\} \subset \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]
$$

For the second part, it is enough, using 2.I ( $k$ ), to show that

$$
\operatorname{Rad} A=\{o\} \quad \text { iff } \quad \operatorname{Rad}\left(\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right)=\{0\}
$$

Because $\mathrm{A} C \rightarrow \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)=\mathrm{B}$, say, by 2.I $(l)$ it is enough to proof that $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}=\{0\} \Leftrightarrow$ $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{B}=\{0\}$. Now, if $f \in \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{~B}$ then $f^{k}=0$ for some integer $k \geq \mathrm{I}$; i.e. $f(\boldsymbol{c})^{k}=\mathrm{o}$ for every $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{A}^{n}$, so that $f(\boldsymbol{c}) \in \operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}$ for each $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{A}^{n}$. Because $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{A}=\{o\}$, it follows that $f(\boldsymbol{c})=0$ for each $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathrm{A}^{n}$, i.e. $f=\mathrm{o}$. Thus $\operatorname{Rad} \mathrm{B}=\{\mathrm{o}\}$.

We note also that, because $\mathrm{A} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ and in virtue of $2.1(l)$, the following.
2.5. If $U\left(\operatorname{Pol}\left(A^{n}, A\right)\right)$ is cyclic, then $U(A)$ is cyclic. (The converse is not true: take $n=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{GF}(q), q \neq 2)$.
2.6. If $\mathrm{A}=\underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{~A}_{i}$ is any decomposition of A as a direct sum of rings, then:
(a) $\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] \simeq \underset{i=1}{\oplus_{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{i}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$
(b) $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \simeq \underset{i=1}{s} \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right)$,
(c) $\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A}) \simeq \underset{i=1}{\otimes} \operatorname{PPer}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right)$.

Proof. (a) Trivial. (b) By 2.2 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \simeq \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] / \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}\right) \simeq \\
& \left(\underset{i=1}{s} \mathrm{~A}_{i}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]\right) / \underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}\right) \simeq \\
& \simeq \underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{~A}_{i}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] / \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}\right) \simeq \underset{i=1}{\oplus} \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) $\mathrm{By}(b) \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}) \simeq \underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right)$; define the isomorphism

$$
\beta: \oplus_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}) \quad \text { by } \quad \beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i} p r_{i}
$$

where $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right)$ and $p r_{i}$ is the projection of A onto $\mathrm{A}_{i}$.
Then $\Sigma f_{i} p r_{i}$ is a permutation on A iff each $f_{i}$ is a permutation on $\mathrm{A}_{i}$. So $\beta$ induces a group isomorphism (with respect to composition of functions)

$$
\beta^{\prime}: \otimes_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{PPer}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A})
$$

and (c) follows.

We can reformulate 2.6 as in the following 2.7 (of which a direct proof is possible without using 2.2).
2.7. Let $\mathrm{A}=\stackrel{s}{\oplus} \mathrm{~m}_{=1} \mathrm{~A}_{i}$ be any decomposition of A as a direct sum of rings. Then define a function

$$
\beta: \oplus_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)
$$

taking $\beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i} P r_{i}$, where $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{i}\right)$ and $P r_{i}: \mathrm{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i}^{n}$ is defined by $\operatorname{Pr}_{i}=\otimes_{j=1}^{\otimes} p r_{i}$, i.e. for $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{A}^{n}, \operatorname{Pr}_{i} \boldsymbol{a}=\left(p r_{i} a_{1}, p r_{i} a_{2}, \cdots, p r_{i} a_{n}\right)$. Then:
(i) $\beta$ is a ring monomorphism,
(ii) $\beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i}\right)$ surjective $\Leftrightarrow f_{j}$ surjective $\quad(j=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, s)$,
(iii) $\beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i}\right)$ injective $\Leftrightarrow f_{j}$ injective $\quad(j=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, s)$.
(impossible unless $n=\mathrm{I}$ )
Let us now introduce the following notation:
$\mathrm{N}=|\mathrm{A}| \quad, \quad \delta=|\mathrm{D}| \quad, \quad u=|\mathrm{U}|=\varphi(\mathrm{A}) \quad, \quad \mu_{(n)}=\left|\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|$, $\pi_{(n)}=\left|\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|, \quad \tau_{(n)}=\left|\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|, \quad \rho=|\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{A})|, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}=|\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A})|$, $\rho_{T}=|\operatorname{TPer}(A)|, \quad \nu_{(n)}=\left[\operatorname{Map}\left(A^{n}, A\right)^{(+)}: \operatorname{Pol}\left(A^{n}, A\right)^{(+)}\right](=$the number of cosets of $\operatorname{Pol}\left(A^{n}, A\right)$ in $\operatorname{Map}\left(A^{n}, A\right)$ considered as additive groups).

From the preceding discussion it follows that:
$\mu_{(n)}=\tau_{(n)}+\pi_{(n)}=\pi_{(n)} \nu_{(n)}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{n}} \quad, \quad \pi_{(n)}\left(\nu_{(n)}-\mathrm{I}\right)=\tau_{(n)}, \quad \pi_{(n)}\left|\mu_{(n)}, \pi_{(n)}\right| \tau_{(n)}$, $\rho=\rho_{\mathrm{P}}+\rho_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{N}!, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left|\rho, \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\right| \rho_{\mathrm{T}} . \quad$ For simplicity write $\tau_{(1)}=\tau \quad, \quad \pi_{(1)}=\pi$, $\nu_{(1)}=\nu \quad, \quad \mu_{(1)}=\mu$ and $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$ etc. wherever confusion about the ring could arise. $2.6,(b),(c)$ immediately give the following important result:
2.8. The functions $\pi_{(n)}$ and $\rho_{P}$ are multiplicative. More precisely, if $A=\underset{i=1}{s} A_{i}$ is any decomposition of $A$ as direct sum of rings then

$$
\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \pi_{(n)}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i}\right) \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{~A})=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right)
$$

2.9. The values of $\pi_{(n)}(A)$ and of $\rho_{P}(A)$ can be easily calculated in the following cases:
(a) A is a field; (b) $\mathrm{A}=\stackrel{s}{i=1} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)$;
(c) $\mathrm{A}=\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{N} \geq 2)$, if $n=\mathrm{I} ; \quad$ (d) $\mathrm{A}=\stackrel{t}{j=1} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{j} \geq 2\right)$, if $n=\mathrm{I}$;
(e) $\mathrm{A}=\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{j=1}^{t} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{N}_{j} \geq 2\right), \quad$ if $\quad n=\mathrm{I}$.

Therefore also $\tau_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{A})$ can be calculated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\mu_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})-\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}^{n}}-\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A}), \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{~A})=\rho(\mathrm{A})-\rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{N}!-\rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{~A})
\end{aligned}
$$

More precisely, in the respective cases
(a) $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\mu_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}^{n}} \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{\rho}=\mathrm{N}!$,
(b) $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{i=1}^{s} q_{i}^{q_{i}^{n}} \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{i=1}^{s} q_{i}$ !
(c)
$\left(c_{1}\right) \mathrm{N}$ prime. This is case (a) with $s=\mathrm{I}, q_{1}=\mathrm{N}$;
$\left(c_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}=p^{2}, p$ prime. Then

$$
\pi\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)=p^{3} p \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)=p!(p-\mathrm{I})^{p} p^{p}
$$

$\left(c_{3}\right) \mathrm{N}=p^{h}, p$ prime,$h>2$. Let $\eta(h)=\sum_{j=3}^{h} \beta(j)$, where $\beta(j)$ is the smallest integer $t$ such that $p^{j} \mid t!$. Then

$$
\pi\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p^{k}}\right)=p^{3 p+n(k)} \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p^{h}}\right)=p!p^{p}(p-\mathrm{I})^{p} p^{n(k)} ;
$$

( $\left.c_{4}\right) \mathrm{N}$ any integer, say $\mathrm{N}=\prod_{j=1}^{t} p_{j}^{r_{j}}, p_{j}$ distinct primes. Then
$\pi\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{t} p_{j}^{3 p_{j}+n\left(r_{j}\right)} \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{t} p_{j}!\left(p_{j}-\mathrm{I}\right)^{p_{j}} p_{j}^{n\left(r_{j}\right)+p_{j}}$
(d) $\pi(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{j=1}^{t} \pi\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\right) \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{j=1}^{t} \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\right)$,
(e) $\pi(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \pi\left(\mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{t} \pi\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\right) \quad, \quad \rho_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{A})=\prod_{j=1}^{s} \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{t} \rho_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\right)$,
where - in $(d),(e)$ - the explicit values are given by $(a),\left(c_{4}\right)$.
Proof. The case (a) follows from the next 4.4; (b) follows from (a) and 2.8; $\left(c_{2}\right)$ and ( $c_{3}$ ) were proved by [3] (cf. also [4]); ( $c_{4}$ ) follows from $\left(c_{2}\right),\left(c_{3}\right), 2.8 ;(d)$ follows from $\left(c_{4}\right), 2.8 ;(e)$ follows from (b), $\left(c_{4}\right), 2.8$.

## 3. Finite rings and transitive sets of functions

If S is any set with N elements, the following standard notation will be used:
$\mathrm{H}_{t, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ for any subset of $\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S})$, which is $t$-transitive with index $k$;
$\mathrm{K}_{t, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ for any subset of $\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{S})$, which is $t$-transitive with index $k$;
$\mathrm{G}_{t, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ for any subgroup of $\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{S})$ (with respect to composition of functions), which is $t$-transitive with index $k$.
3.I. If A is a ring with N elements, then:
(a) $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, \pi / \mathrm{N}}$;
(b) $\operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, \tau / \mathrm{N}}$;
(c) $\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{N}}$;
(d) $\operatorname{TPer}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{\rho}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{N}}$.

Proof. (a) For any $a, b, c \in \mathrm{~A}$, let $\mathrm{P}_{b}^{a}=\{f \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(a)=b\}$. Putting $\psi: f \rightarrow f+c-b$ defines a bijection (the inverse map is obvious) $\psi: \quad \mathrm{P}_{b}^{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{c}^{a}$ which gives $\left|\mathrm{P}_{b}^{a}\right|=\left|\mathrm{P}_{c}^{a}\right|$. Thus $\left|\mathrm{P}_{b}^{a}\right|=\pi / \mathrm{N}$, because each of the $N$ elements of $A$ is the image of $a$ under some element of $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$.
(b) Proceed in a similar way as for (a), or instead by observing that, putting

$$
\mathrm{T}_{b}^{a}=\{f \in \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(a)=b\}
$$

we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{T}_{b}^{a}\right|=\left|\{f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(a)=b\}-\mathrm{P}_{b}^{a}\right|=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}-1}-\pi / \mathrm{N}=\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\pi\right) / \mathrm{N}=\tau / \mathrm{N} .
$$

(c) $\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A})$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{A})$, by 2.3 (with respect to composition of functions). Let $a, b, c$ be any elements of A and let $\mathscr{P}_{b}^{a}=\{f \in \operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{~A}) \mid f(a)=b\}$. The bijection $\psi: \mathrm{P}_{b}^{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{c}^{a}$ considered in (a) induces a bijection $\psi^{\prime}: \mathscr{P}_{b}^{a} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{c}^{a}$; each of the N elements of A is the image of $a$ under some element of $\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A})$, so that $\left|\mathfrak{g}_{b}^{a}\right|=\rho_{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{N}$.
(d) Let $a, b$ be any elements of A, and put $\mathscr{F}_{b}^{a}=\{f \in \operatorname{TPer}(\mathrm{~A}) \mid$ $f(a)=b\}$. Then $\left|\mathscr{G}_{b}^{a}\right|=\left|\{f \in \operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{~A}) \mid f(a)=b\}-\mathfrak{P}_{b}^{a}\right|=(\mathbb{N}-1)!-$ $-\rho_{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{N}=\left(\mathrm{N}!-\rho_{\mathrm{P}}\right) / \mathrm{N}=\rho_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{N}$.

From 3.1 and from 2.9 (with $n=\mathrm{I}$ ), it follows that:
3.2. (a) For any integer $\mathrm{N}=q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{s}$ (whatever the decomposition of N into primary integers, whether standard or not) there exist:
( $a_{1}$ ) $\quad \mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, q_{1}}^{q_{1}-1 q_{2}-1} q_{2} \cdots q_{s}-1 . q_{s}\left(\underset{i=1}{s} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right), \underset{i=1}{s} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)$;
( $a_{2}$ ) $\quad \mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}^{-\mathrm{N} 1}-q_{1}}^{q_{1}-1} \cdots q_{s}, q_{s}^{-1}=\operatorname{Trs}\left(\underset{i=1}{\stackrel{s}{\oplus}} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right), \stackrel{s}{\oplus} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)$;
(a3) $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N},\left(q_{1}-1\right)!\left(q_{2}-1\right)!\cdots\left(q_{s}-1\right)!}=\operatorname{PPer}\left(\underset{i=1}{\stackrel{s}{\oplus}} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)$;
( $a_{4}$ ) $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N},(\mathrm{~N}-1)!-\left(q_{1}-1\right)!\left(q_{2}-1\right)!\cdots\left(q_{s}-1\right)!}=\operatorname{TPer}\left(\underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)$;
(b) For any prime $p$, there exist:
(b1) $\mathrm{H}_{1, p^{2}, p^{3 p-2}}=\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)$;
( $b_{2}$ ) $\mathrm{H}_{1, p^{2},\left(p^{2}\right) p^{2}-1-p^{3 p-2}}=\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)$;
( $b_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{G}_{1, p^{2}, p^{\prime}(p-1)^{p_{p}} p^{p-2}}=\operatorname{PPer}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)$;
(b4) $\mathrm{K}_{1, p^{2},\left(p^{2}-1\right)!-p l(p-1)^{p} p^{p-2}}=\operatorname{TPer}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)$;
(c) For any prime $p$ and for any integer $n>2$, there exist:
(c1) $\quad \mathrm{H}_{1, p^{n}, p^{3 p+n(n)-n}}=\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p^{n}}\right)$;
(c2) $\mathrm{H}_{1, p^{n},\left(p^{n}\right) p^{n}-1-p^{3 p+n(n)-n}}=\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n}}, \mathbf{Z}_{p^{n}}\right)$;
( $c_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{G}_{1, p^{n}, p^{\prime}(p-1)^{p} p^{p+\eta(n)-n}}=\operatorname{PPer}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n}}\right)$;
( $c_{4}$ ) $\mathrm{K}_{1, p^{n},\left(p^{n}-1\right)!-p!(p-1)^{p} p^{p+n(n)-n}}=\mathrm{TPer}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n}}\right)$;
(d) For any $\mathrm{N}=p_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} p_{2}^{\gamma_{2}} \cdots p_{s}^{s_{s}}, p_{j}$ distinct primes, there exist:
(d $d_{1} \quad \mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N},} \prod_{i=1}^{s}{ }_{p_{i}, p_{i}+n\left(r_{i}\right)-r_{i}}=\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$;
$\left(d_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{N}-1}-\prod_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}^{3, p_{2}+\eta\left(r_{2}\right)-r_{i}}}=\operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$;
( $d_{3}$ ) $\quad \mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N},} \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_{i^{1}\left(p_{i}-1\right)^{p_{i}} p_{i} p_{i}+n\left(r_{i}\right)-r_{i}}=\operatorname{PPer}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$;
$\left(d_{4}\right) \mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N},(\mathrm{~N}-1)!}-\prod_{i=1}^{s}{ }_{p_{i}!\left(p_{i}-1\right)}{ }^{p_{i}}{ }_{i_{i}}{ }^{p_{i}+\eta\left(r_{i}\right)-r_{i}}=\mathrm{TPer}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$.
N. B. (d) holds also if the $p_{j}^{\prime}$ 's are not distinct: replace $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ by $\underset{i=1}{\underset{\oplus}{\oplus} \mathbf{Z}_{p_{i}}^{r_{i}} .}$
3.3. (i) If any $\mathrm{K}_{t, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ exists, then a $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}-t+1, k}$ exists;
(ii) Moreover: $\mathrm{K}_{t, \mathrm{~N}, k}=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k(\mathrm{~N}-1)(\mathrm{N}-2) \cdots(\mathrm{N}-t+1)}$;
(iii) As (i), (ii) but replacing K by G .

Proof. Cf. B. Segre [io], p. 79.
3.4. The following groups $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ exist:
(a) $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, 1}$ for all integers $\mathrm{N} \geq \mathrm{I}$;
(b) $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N},(\mathrm{~N}-1)!}$ and (c) $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N},(\mathrm{~N}-1) / / 2}$ for all integers $\mathrm{N} \geq 3$;
(d) $\mathrm{G}_{1, q, q-1}$ and (e) $\mathrm{G}_{1, q+1, q(q-1)}$ for all primary integers $q$;
(f) $\mathrm{G}_{1,11,720}$; (g) $\mathrm{G}_{1,12,7920}$.

Proof. (a) Take $\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, 1}$ as the group of right multiplications of a group of order N. (b) Apply 3.3 (i), (ii) to the symmetric group $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}-1, \mathrm{~N}, 1}$.
(c) Apply $3 \cdot 3$ (i), (ii) to the alternating group $G_{N-2, N, 1}$. (d) Apply 3.3 (i),
(ii) to a $G_{2, q, 1}$ (which exists, cf. B. Segre [io], p. i5I and p. 79).
(e) Apply 3.3 (i), (ii) to a $G_{3, q+1,1}$ (which exists, cf. B. Segre [io], p. 15I). ( $f$ ) Apply 3.3 (i), (ii) to the Mathieu group $\mathrm{G}_{4,11,1}$. (g) Apply 3.3 (i), (ii) to the Mathieu group $G_{5,12,1}$.

From 3.I, 3.3, 2.8 it is possible to assert the existence of several other $\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}, \mathrm{~K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}+k}, \mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$, e.g. by considering rings of the type

$$
\mathrm{A}=\stackrel{s}{\oplus} \underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{GF}\left(q_{i}\right) \oplus \underset{j=1}{\oplus} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}_{j}} .
$$

Other existence theorems arise from the following:
3.5. Let be $S, S^{\prime}$ any sets with $|\mathrm{S}|=\mathrm{N}$ and $\left|\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right|=\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ elements, $H^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Map}\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right), H \subseteq \operatorname{Map}(S, S), K \subseteq \operatorname{Per}(S), K^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Per}\left(S^{\prime}\right), H^{\prime \prime}=H \times H^{\prime}$, $\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$. Then:
(a) $\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)$; (b) $\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Per}\left(\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)$;
(c) $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ are groups iff $\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}$ is a group;
(d) $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, h}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}, h^{\prime}}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{NN}^{\prime}, h h^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$;
(e) $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}, \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{NN}^{\prime}, k k^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$;
(f) $\quad \mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}$ group, $\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{NN}^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ and $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}, k}^{\prime}$, for suitable $k, k^{\prime}$ such that $k k^{\prime}=k^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. (a) $\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ maps $\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ to $\left(f(a), f^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$. (b) If $f \in \mathrm{H}, f^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ are both bijective then $\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}$ is also. (c), (d), (e) are trivial. ( $f$ ) By (c), K and $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ are groups; both are trivially transitive, so that (cf. e.g. B. Segre [9], 16.I.7) they must have some transitivity characters: $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{G}_{t, \mathrm{~N}, k^{0}}, \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G}_{t^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}, k^{\prime 0}}^{\prime}$. It follows (cf. 3.3, (iii)) that $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ and $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, with $k=k^{0}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{I}) \cdots(\mathrm{N}-t+\mathrm{I})$ and $k^{\prime}=k^{\prime 0}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{I}\right)\left(\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-2\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-t^{\prime}+\mathrm{I}\right) . \quad$ By $(e)$ it follows that $k k^{\prime}=k^{\prime \prime}$.

By 2.8, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 several numerical examples of $\mathrm{H}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}, \mathrm{~K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}, \mathrm{G}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ can be deduced. In particular we obtain several existence theorems for $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$, thus partially answering a problem raised by B. Segre [10], pp. 88-89. It is well known (cf. e.g. B. Segre [Io], p. 283) that each $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ gives rise to a design $\mathrm{I}-\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}, \mathrm{~N} k, \mathrm{~N}, k\right)$ i.e. to a configuration

$$
\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}, \mathrm{~N} k_{k}\right) ;
$$

this is defined as a set C of $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ elements provided with a set S of $\mathrm{N} k$ subsets of C such that each subset in S contains N elements of C , and each element of C belongs to $k$ subsets in S . It follows that each existence theorem for a $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{~N}, k}$ may be converted to an existence theorem for a configuration with the above parameters.

## 4. Estimations for the number of polynomial functions OVER FINITE RINGS

According to 2.2, it is clear that the polynomial functions of $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ can all be obtained from $\pi_{(n)}(\mathrm{A})$ polynomials of $\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$ (one in each equivalence class $\bmod \alpha$ ); the following 4.I asserts that it is enough to consider the $\mu_{(n)}$ polynomials of the "reduced type ", i.e. the set

$$
\mathrm{G}_{(n)}=\left\{\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right] \mid \mathrm{G}(\mathbf{X})=\mathrm{o}\right.
$$

or $G(\mathbf{X})$ is of degree $\leq N-I$ in $X_{j}$ for $\left.\forall j\right\}$.
4.I. For each $F(\mathbf{X}) \in A\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right]$ there exists a $G(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{G}_{(n)}$ such that $F(\mathbf{X}) \sim G(\mathbf{X}) \bmod \alpha$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{A}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{\mathrm{N}}\right\}$. For every $h$, with $\mathrm{I} \leq h \leq n,\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}-a_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}-a_{2}\right) \cdots$ $\cdots\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}-a_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \sim \mathrm{o}$, and so, expanding,

$$
\mathrm{X}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{E}_{h}\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}\right) \sim \mathrm{o}, \quad \text { say }
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{h}\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}\right) \in \mathrm{G}_{(n)}$. It follows that

$$
\mathrm{X}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}} \sim \mathrm{E}_{h}\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}\right) \quad \text { and then } \quad \mathrm{X}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}+j} \sim \mathrm{X}^{j} \mathrm{E}_{h}\left(\mathrm{X}_{h}\right) \quad(j=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots)
$$

Applying these equivalences to each factor of every monomial of $\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X})$, one reduces $\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{X})$ to an equivalent $G(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathrm{G}_{(n)}$.

As noted $\left|\mathrm{G}_{(n)}\right|=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}^{n}}=\mu_{(n)}=\left|\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|$.
4.2. The following conditions are equivalent:
$\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)=\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right), \quad$ i.e. $\quad \pi_{(n)}=\mu_{(n)} ;$
$\left(b_{n}\right)$ Distinct polynomials of $\mathrm{G}_{(n)}$ are inequivalent $\bmod \alpha$.
$\left(c_{n}\right)$ The only polynomial of $G_{(n)}$ which vanishes on each element of $A^{n}$ is o, i.e. $G_{(n)} \cap I\left(A^{n}\right)=\{o\}$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

where $\delta$ is the isomorphism mentioned in 2.2, $\alpha, \gamma$ are epimorphisms by 4.I, and $\beta$ is the inclusion map.
$\alpha$ is mono (i.e. $\left(b_{n}\right)$ holds) $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \alpha=\mathrm{G}_{(n)} \cap \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}\right)=\{0\}$ (i.e. $\left(c_{n}\right)$ holds). Moreover $\alpha$ is mono (i.e. $\left(b_{n}\right)$ holds) $\Leftrightarrow \gamma$ is mono $\Leftrightarrow \gamma \circ \beta$ is mono $\Leftrightarrow \beta$ is surjective (i.e. $\left(a_{n}\right)$ holds) provided that $\left|\mathrm{G}_{(n)}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)\right|$ as noted above.
4.3. If some of the conditions $\left(a_{i}\right),\left(b_{i}\right),\left(c_{i}\right)$ are satisfied for a given fixed integer $i \geq \mathrm{I}$, then each of the $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right)$ is satisfied for every integer $n \geq \mathrm{I}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i<n$. Let us consider the following commutative diagram.
where the $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{n}$ are the epimorphisms like $\alpha$ in $4.2, \varepsilon$ is the inclusion map, and $\eta$ is the natural monomorphism, well defined and mono because $\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{i}\right] \subset \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]$ and

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{i}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}\right) \cap \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right]
$$

$\alpha_{i}$ is mono (i.e. ( $b_{i}$ ) holds) $\Leftrightarrow \alpha_{n}$ is mono (i.e. ( $b_{n}$ ) holds), and this proves the theorem by 4.2 (where $n$ can take any integer value $\geq 1$ ).
4.4. If A is a finite field with N elements, the conditions $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right)$ hold for every $n \geq 1$. In particular

$$
\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)=\operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right), \text { i.e. } \pi_{(n)}=\mu_{(n)}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{n}},
$$

and $\quad\left(a_{1}\right) \quad \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})=\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}), \quad$ i.e. $\pi=\mu=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}$,
which implies

$$
\operatorname{PPer}(A)=\operatorname{Per}(A), \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \rho_{P}=\rho=N!.
$$

Proof. By 4.3 it is enough to show that ( $c_{1}$ ) holds. If $G(X) \in G_{(!)}$ is non-zero (i.e. of degree $\leq N-I$ ), then $G(X)$ cannot vanish over A, for otherwise it would have a number of roots, N , greater than its degree.
N. B. Another proof that $\left(a_{n}\right)$ holds for finite fields can be found in [5].
4.5. If A is not a field, than none of the conditions $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right)$ ( $n \geq 1$ ) is satisfied. Moreover $\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A}) \subset \operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{A})$.

Proof. By 4.3 it is enough to prove that ( $a_{1}$ ) does not hold, i.e. that Pol (A , A) CMap (A , A). Actually, the ring A contains zero divisors (cf. 2.I, (b)), and let be $d \in \mathrm{C}, d \neq \mathrm{o}$. Let $f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$ be such that $f(\mathrm{o})=0$ and $f(d) \in \mathrm{U}$; we assert that $f \notin \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$. Otherwise let $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}) \in \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{X}]$ be a polynomial inducing $f ; \mathrm{F}(0)=0$ implies that $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X})$ is of the type $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X})=$ $=\mathrm{XF}_{1}(\mathrm{X})$, with $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{X}) \in \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{X}]$, and then $f(d)=\mathrm{F}(d)=d \cdot \mathrm{~F}_{1}(d) \in \mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{D}$ (cf. 2.I, (c)), which contradicts the hypothesis $f(d) \in \mathrm{U}$. Our assumption $" f(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}, f(d) \in \mathrm{U} "$ may be taken also for $f \in \operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{~A})$, so that $\operatorname{PPer}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{C}$ $\subset \operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{A})$.
N. B. Another proof that ( $a_{1}$ ) does not hold for finite rings with zero divisors can be found in [2].

Summarizing 4.4 and 4.5 we get that:
4.6. The conditions $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right)$ are satisfied (for every $n \geq 1$ ) if, and only if, the ring $A$ is a finite field. Moreover $\operatorname{PPer}(A)=\operatorname{Per}(A)$ iff $A$ is a field, i.e. $\rho_{P}=\rho=N$ ! iff $A$ is a field.

Our purpose now is to give some lower bounds for $\tau_{(n)}$, in the general case, i.e. some upper bounds for $\pi_{(n)}$. We begin with the case $n=\mathrm{I}$; the case $n \geq \mathrm{I}$ will be discussed in 4.12, 4.13.
4.7. $\tau \geq \lambda^{(1)}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\delta^{\delta-1} \mathrm{~N}^{u+1}$, i.e. $\pi \leq \delta^{\delta-1} \mathrm{~N}^{u+1}$. Moreover $\rho_{\mathrm{T}} \geq \sigma^{(1)}=\mathrm{N}!-(\delta-\mathrm{I})!u!\mathrm{N}, \quad$ i.e. $\rho_{\mathrm{P}} \leq(\delta-\mathrm{I})!u!\mathrm{N}$.

Proof. Consider the subset $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})$ of $\mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})=\{f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o} \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathrm{D}) \nsubseteq \mathrm{D}\}
$$

In the proof of 4.5 , it was shown that $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$. Clearly $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})=\{f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}\}-\{f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o} \quad$ and $f(\mathrm{D}-\{0\}) \subseteq \mathrm{D}\}$, so that $|\mathrm{F}(0)|=|\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}-\{0\}, \mathrm{A})|-|\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{D}-\{0\}, \mathrm{D})|$ $|\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{A})|=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}-1}-\delta^{\delta-1} \mathrm{~N}^{u}$. Consider now the subset $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})$ of $\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})=\{f+c\}_{f \in \mathrm{~F}(0), c \in \mathrm{~A}-\{0\}}
$$

First of all we have $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$, because if $f+c \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$ for some $f \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o})$ and $c \in \mathrm{~A}-\{0\}$, then $f \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$ as $c \in \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$, which contradicts $f \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o}) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$. Further $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \cap \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})=\varnothing$, because each $f+c \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{I})$ is different from each $g \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o})$ at least in their action on zero. Finally, it is easy to check that $|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})|=|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \times(\mathrm{A}-\{\mathrm{o}\})|=|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})| \cdot$ $\cdot|\mathrm{A}-\{0\}|=|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})|(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I})$, i.e. that if $(f, c),\left(f^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \times(\mathrm{A}-\{0\})$, then $(f, c) \neq\left(f^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ implies $f+c \neq f^{\prime}+c^{\prime}$. In conclusion we have $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \cup \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ and $|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \cup \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})|=|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})|+|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})|=$ $=|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})|+|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})|(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I})=|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})| \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\delta^{\delta-1} \mathrm{~N}^{u+1}=\lambda^{(1)}$, so that $\tau \geq \lambda^{(1)}$.

For the second part, put $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o})=\{f \in \operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{~A}) \mid f(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}$ and $f(\mathrm{D}-\{\mathrm{o}\} \nsubseteq \mathrm{D}\}$, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I})=\{f+c\}_{f \in \mathrm{P}(0), c \in \mathrm{~A}\{0\}}$. Then $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}) \subseteq \operatorname{TPer}(\mathrm{A})$ (cf. proof of 4.5 ), $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I}) \subseteq \operatorname{TPer}(\mathrm{A}), \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}) \cap \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I})=\varnothing, \quad$ and $\quad|\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I})|=|\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o})|(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I})$ as above. Moreover $|\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o})|=|\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{A}-\{0\})|-|\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{D}-\{0\})| \cdot|\operatorname{Per}(\mathrm{U})|=$ $=(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I})!-(\delta-\mathrm{I})!u!$, so that $|\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}) \cup \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I})|=|\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o})| \mathrm{N}=$ $=\mathrm{N}!-(\delta-\mathrm{I})!u!\mathrm{N}=\sigma^{(1)}$, and $\rho_{\mathrm{T}} \geq \sigma^{(1)}$.

Remark. Equality may occur in 4.7 , because, for example, if A is a field, then $\delta=\mathrm{I}, u=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}, \lambda^{(1)}=\mathrm{o}$, and $\sigma^{(1)}=\mathrm{o}$; moreover $\tau=\mathrm{o}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{o}$, according to 4.4.

Let $h$ denote a positive integer, and consider the following
Condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$. There exist elements $d \in \mathrm{D}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{h} \in \mathrm{U}$ such that $d+u_{1}, d+u_{2}, \cdots, d+u_{k} \in \mathrm{D}$.

From ( $\mathrm{C}_{h}$ ) it follows that $d \neq 0$ and $d+u_{i} \neq d+u_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. We wish to find the maximum $h \geq \mathrm{I}$ for which $\left(\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$ holds.

Remart. ( $\mathrm{C}_{h}$ ) does not hold for any field A , nor, for example for $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Z}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Z}_{8}$; however $Z_{6}$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) (with $d=\overline{3}, u_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}, u_{2}=\overline{5}$ ); $\mathrm{Z}_{10}$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ ) (with $d=\overline{5}$, $\left.u_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{I}}, u_{2}=\overline{3}, u_{3}=\overline{7}, u_{4}=\overline{9}\right)$. More generally:
4.8. If $n=p^{t}$ ( $p$ prime), $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$ does not satisfy $\left(\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$. If $n=2^{t}(2 k+\mathrm{I})$ ( $t \geq \mathrm{I}$ ), $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{C}_{h}$ ) with $h=\varphi(n)$ ( $\varphi$ Euler function) and $d$ any fixed divisor ( $\neq \pm \mathrm{I}$ ) of $2 k+\mathrm{I}$.

Proof. In $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$, with $n=p^{t}$ ( $p$ prime), we have that $\bar{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ iff $d \equiv \mathrm{o} \bmod p$, and that $\bar{u} \in \mathrm{U}$ iff $u \neq 0 \bmod p$, so that $\bar{d} \in \mathrm{D}, \bar{u} \in \mathrm{U}$ implies $\bar{d}+\bar{u} \in \mathrm{U}$. In $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$, with $n=2^{t}(2 k+\mathrm{I})(t \geq \mathrm{I})$, we have that $\bar{u} \in \mathrm{U}$ implies $u \neq \mathrm{omod} 2$, so that for each fixed $\bar{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ such that $d \mid(2 k+\mathrm{I})$ and for each of the $\varphi(n)$ elements $\bar{u} \in \mathrm{U}$, we have that $\bar{d}+\bar{u} \in \mathrm{D}$.
4.9. $|\mathrm{U}|<|\mathrm{D}|$, then A satisfies $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$.

Proof. For each $u \in \mathrm{U}$, the map $\sigma_{u}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ defined by $\sigma_{u}: d \rightarrow u+d$. is injective; therefore $|\mathrm{U}|<|\mathrm{D}|$ implies $\sigma_{u}(\mathrm{D}) \nsubseteq \mathrm{U}$, i.e. there exists $d \in \mathrm{D}$ such that $u+d \in \mathrm{D}$.
4.Io. If A satisfies $\left(\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$, then $\tau \geq \lambda^{(2)}=\lambda^{(1)}+h \mathrm{~N}^{\mu} \delta^{\delta-2}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\delta^{\delta-2} \mathrm{~N}(\delta \mathrm{~N}-h)$, i.e. $\pi \leq \delta^{\delta-2} \mathrm{~N}^{u}(\delta \mathrm{~N}-h)$.

Proof. Let F (0) and F (i) be the subsets of $\operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ as in 4.7, and let $d \in \mathrm{D}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{h} \in \mathrm{U}$ satisfy $\left(\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$. For each $u_{j}$ put

$$
\mathrm{F}^{0}\left(d, u_{j}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathrm{~F}(0) \mid f(d)=u_{j} ; d^{\prime} \in \mathrm{D}-\{0, d\} \Leftrightarrow f\left(d^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{D}-d^{\prime}\right\}
$$

and define

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right)=\left\{f+x \mid f \in \mathrm{~F}^{0}\left(d, u_{j}\right)\right\} \quad \text { where } \quad x=\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{A}} .
$$

Because $\mathrm{F}(0) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ we have $f+x \in \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$ for all $f \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o})$, so that $\mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$. Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right)= & \left\{f \in \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \mid f(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}, f(d)=d+u_{j} ;\right. \\
& \left.d^{\prime} \in \mathrm{D}-\{o, d\} \Leftrightarrow f\left(d^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{D}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left|\mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right)\right|=\left|\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{D}-\{0, d\}}\right| \quad\left|\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{U}}\right|=\delta^{\delta-2} \mathrm{~N}^{u}$. Now

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})=\varnothing \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})=\varnothing
$$

because

$$
f \in \mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{j}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(\mathrm{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{D} \quad, \quad f \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o}) \Leftrightarrow f(\mathrm{D}) \nsubseteq \mathrm{D}
$$

and

$$
f \in \mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{j}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(\mathrm{o})=0 \quad, \quad f \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{I}) \Leftrightarrow f(\mathrm{o}) \neq 0
$$

Finally

$$
i \neq j \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{i}\right) \cap \mathrm{F}\left(d, u_{j}\right)=\varnothing, \quad \text { because } \quad i \neq j \Leftrightarrow u_{i} \neq u_{j}
$$

and

$$
f \in \mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{i}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(d)=u_{i}+d, \quad f \in \mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{j}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(d)=u_{j}+d
$$

Therefore, for the set $\mathrm{F}(d)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{h} \mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{j}\right)$, we obtain $|\mathrm{F}(d)|=h\left|\mathrm{~F}\left(d, u_{j}\right)\right|=$ $=h \delta^{\delta-2} \mathrm{~N}^{u}, \mathrm{~F}(d) \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}), \mathrm{F}(d) \cap(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \cup \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}))=\varnothing$, so that $\tau \geq \mid \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o}) \cup$ $\cup \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})\left|+|\mathrm{F}(d)|=\lambda^{(1)}+h \delta^{\delta-2} \mathrm{~N}^{n}\right.$, as required.
4.II. Suppose that $\mathrm{D} \neq\{0\}$ and that there exist $h \geq \mathrm{I}$ elements of U pairwise incongruent mod D. Then

$$
\tau \geq \lambda^{(3)}=[h /(h+1)] \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}, \quad \text { i.e. } \pi \leq(h+\mathrm{I})^{-1} \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{N}}
$$

Proof. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{k} \in \mathrm{U}$ be elements such that $u_{i} \equiv u_{j} \bmod \mathrm{D}$ (i.e. $u_{i}-u_{j} \in \mathrm{U}$ ) for $i \neq j$. Since $\mathrm{D} \neq\{0\}$, we can fix an element $d \in \mathrm{D}, d \neq \mathrm{o}$. If $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{o})$ is the set introduced in the proof of 4.7 , pick an element

$$
f_{u_{i}}^{d} \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o}) \quad \text { such that } \quad f_{u_{i}}^{d}(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}, f_{u_{i}}^{d}(d)=u_{i} \quad(\mathrm{I} \leq i \leq h) .
$$

If $i \neq j$, then $u_{i}-u_{j} \in \mathrm{U}$, so that
$f_{u_{i}}^{d}-f_{u_{j}}^{d} \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{o}) \quad$ which implies $\quad f_{u_{i}}^{d} \equiv f_{u_{j}}^{d} \bmod \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$.
Thus there are at least $h+1$ classes in the factorial additive group $\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{Pol}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$.

Let us now discuss the case $n \geq 1$.
4.12. $\tau_{(n)} \geq\left(\mathrm{N}^{n \mathrm{~N}}-\delta^{(\delta-1) n} \mathrm{~N}^{n(u+1)}\right) / \mathrm{N}^{n-1}$. Further if $\left(\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$ holds for A , then

$$
\tau_{(n)} \geq\left(\mathrm{N}^{n \mathrm{~N}}-\delta^{n(\delta-2)}(\delta \mathrm{N}-h)^{n}\right) / \mathrm{N}^{n-1}
$$

and, if A satisfies the condition mentioned in 4.II, then

$$
\tau_{(n)} \geq \mathrm{N}^{n \mathrm{~N}}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} /(h+\mathrm{I})^{n}\right) / \mathrm{N}^{n-1} .
$$

(Note that, for $n=\mathrm{I}, 4.12$ yields $4.7,4$.1o and 4.11).
Proof. Let $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ be such that (i) $f_{j}(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}$ for $\mathrm{I} \leq j \leq n$, (ii) $f_{j} \in \operatorname{Trs}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$ for at least one $j$. Define the subset M of $\operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Map}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})\left(n\right.$ times) by $\mathrm{M}=\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \mid\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}\right.$ satisfies (i), (ii) $\}$ and consider the map $\varphi: M \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ defined by $\varphi:\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} p r_{i}$. It is easy to check that $\varphi$ acts as an injection from $M$ to $\operatorname{Trs}\left(A^{n}, A\right)$. For the set $M^{\prime}=\varphi(M)+(A-\{0\})$ we have that $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right),\left|\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right|=|\mathrm{M}|(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I})$, and $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \cap \varphi(\mathrm{M})=\varnothing$, so that $\varphi(\mathrm{M}) \cup \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Trs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ and

$$
\tau_{(n)} \geq\left|\varphi(\mathrm{M}) \cup \mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right|=|\mathrm{M}|+\left|\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right|=|\mathrm{M}| \cdot \mathrm{N} .
$$

For computing $|\mathrm{M}|$ consider the negation of (ii), i.e. the following condition (iii) $f_{j} \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})$ for all $j$. Clearly $\mathrm{M}=\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \mid\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}\right.$ satisfies (i) $\}-\left\{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \mid\left\{f_{1}, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}\right.$ satisfies (i), (iii) $\}=\mathrm{M}_{1}-\mathrm{M}_{2}$, say, so that $|\mathrm{M}|=\left|\mathrm{M}_{1}\right|-\left|\mathrm{M}_{2}\right|$, and using (a) of 3.r for calculating $\left|\mathrm{M}_{2}\right|$, we obtain

$$
|\mathrm{M}|=\mathrm{N}^{(\mathrm{N}-1) n}-(\pi / \mathrm{N})^{n}=\mathrm{N}^{n(\mathrm{~N}-1)}-\left(\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\tau\right) / \mathrm{N}\right)^{n}
$$

so that

$$
\tau_{(n)} \geq|\mathrm{M}| \mathrm{N}=\left[\mathrm{N}^{n \mathrm{~N}}-\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\tau\right)^{n}\right] / \mathrm{N}^{n-1}
$$

replacing $\tau$ by the expression occurring in either 4.7 , or 4.10 , or 4.12 (according to the hypothesis of the theorem) leads to the required formulae.
4.13. $\quad \pi_{(n)} \geq \pi^{n} / \mathrm{N}^{n-1}$.

Proof. Let P be the set defined as $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ in 4.12. The map $\psi: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$ defined by $\psi:\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f=\Sigma f_{i} p r_{i}$ acts as an injection from P to $\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right)$. Further the set $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}=\psi(\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{A}-\{\mathrm{o}\})$ satisfies the following conditions

$$
\psi(\mathrm{P}) \cup \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathrm{A}^{n}, \mathrm{~A}\right) \quad, \quad \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \cap \psi(\mathrm{P})=\varnothing, \quad\left|\mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right|=|\mathrm{P}|(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I})
$$

so that $\pi_{(n)} \geq|\psi(P)|+\left|P^{\prime}\right|=|\mathrm{P}| \cdot \mathrm{N}=(\pi / \mathrm{N})^{n} \mathrm{~N}$, where we have used (a) of 3.1 for calculating $|\mathrm{P}|$.

## 5. Minimal binomials in I (A)

5.I. In the ideal $I(A)$ of $A[X]$ there exist binomials of the type $X^{E}-X^{e}$. Each such pair ( $\mathrm{E}, e$ ) will be called a "couple of exponents for A".

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{i}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ be any fixed ordered N -tuple containing all the N elements of A. Put $\boldsymbol{a}^{k}=\left(a_{i}^{k}\right)$; the set $\left\{\boldsymbol{a}^{k}\right\}_{k=1,2, \ldots}$ contains at most $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}$ elements, so that there are integers, E and $e$, with $\mathrm{I} \leq e<\mathrm{E} \leq \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}$, such that $\boldsymbol{a}^{\mathrm{E}}=\boldsymbol{a}^{e}$, i.e. such that $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{E}}-\mathrm{X}^{e} \sim \mathrm{o}$.

Consider the set $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A})=\{(\mathrm{E}, e) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \mid(\mathrm{E}, e)$ is a couple of exponents for A$\}$ with the partial ordering

$$
(\mathrm{E}, e)<\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { iff either } \mathrm{E}<\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \quad \text { or } \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \& e<e^{\prime} \text {. }
$$

We shall denote the minimal couple of exponents for A by $\left(\mathrm{E}^{*}, e^{*}\right)=\min \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A})$.
5.2. Let $\mathrm{A}=\underset{1=0}{\oplus} \mathrm{~A}_{i}$ the standard decomposition of A as in 2.I, $(j)$, and let us denote: $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathrm{A}_{i}, \mathrm{U}_{i}=\mathrm{A}_{i}-\mathrm{D}_{i}$, $I_{i}$ the unit of $U_{i}$ and $o_{i}$ the zero of $A_{i}$. Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{i}=\min \left\{t \in \mathbf{N} \mid a \in \mathrm{U}_{i} \Leftrightarrow a^{t}=\mathrm{I}_{i}\right\} & \text { (note that } \left.\lambda_{i} \leq\left|\mathrm{U}_{i}\right|\right) \\
\left.\rho_{i}=\min \left\{r \in \mathbf{N} \mid d \in \mathrm{D}_{i} \Leftrightarrow d^{r}=\mathrm{o}_{i}\right\} \quad \text { (note that } \rho_{i} \leq\left|\mathrm{D}_{i}\right|\right) \\
\rho=\max \left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{s}\right\} \quad, \quad \lambda=\left[\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{s}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\lambda=\min \left\{t \in \mathbf{N} \mid a \in \mathrm{U} \Leftrightarrow a^{t}=\mathrm{I}\right\}, \quad$ and $\mathrm{E}^{*}=\lambda+\rho, \quad e^{*}=\rho$.
Proof. There exists an element $d \in \mathrm{D}$ such that $d, d^{2}, \cdots, d^{\rho-1}$ are non-zero (and distinct) elements, and $d^{\rho}=d^{\rho+1}=\cdots=0$. It follows that $e^{*} \geq \rho$. For each $a \in \mathrm{U}$, we have $a^{\lambda}=\mathrm{I}$, so that $a^{\lambda+k}=a^{k}$ for each $a \in \mathrm{U}$. It follows that $e^{*}=\rho$ and $\mathrm{E}^{*}=\lambda+\rho$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $\mathrm{A}=\mathbf{Z}_{m}$, with $m=2^{\gamma_{0}} p_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots p_{s}^{r_{s}} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $2, p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{s}$ distinct primes (so that $\mathbf{Z}_{m}=\mathbf{Z}_{2^{0}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{p_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{p_{s}}$ ). Then, using the notation of 5.2, we have
$\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}\left(2^{r_{0}}\right)=\mathrm{I}$ if $r_{0}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I} ; \quad \lambda_{0}\left(2^{r_{0}}\right)=2$ if $r_{0}=2 ; \quad \lambda_{0}\left(2^{r_{0}}\right)=2^{r_{0}-2}$ if $r_{0} \geq 3$;
$\lambda_{i}=\varphi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)=\left(p_{i}-1\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}-1} \quad$ for $\mathrm{I} \leq i \leq s ; \quad \rho_{i}=r_{i} \quad$ for $\quad 0 \leq i \leq s ;$
$\lambda=\lambda(m)=\left[\lambda_{0}\left(2^{r_{0}}\right), \varphi\left(p_{1}^{\gamma_{1}}\right), \cdots, \varphi\left(p_{s}^{\gamma_{s}}\right)\right]$ and $\rho=\rho(m)=\max \left\{r_{0}, \cdots, r_{s}\right\}$. In conclusion $\mathrm{E}^{*}=\lambda(m)+\rho(m), e^{*}=\rho(m)$.

Proof. Define $\lambda_{0}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{I} ; \lambda_{0}(2)=\mathrm{I}$ because $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=\{\mathrm{I}\} ; \lambda_{0}(4)=2$ because $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{4}\right)=\{\mathrm{I}, 3\}$. If $r_{0} \geq 3$ we have the well-known isomorphism $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2^{r_{0}}}\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{(+)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{r_{0}-2}}^{(+)}$, so that each element of $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2^{r_{0}}}\right)$ has a period which is a divisor of $2^{r_{0}-2}$ and the element (I, I) $\in \mathrm{U}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2^{r_{0}}}\right)$ has precisely period $2^{r_{0}-2} . \quad \lambda_{i}=\varphi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)(\mathrm{I} \leq i \leq s)$ because the groups $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p_{i}^{r}}\right)$ are cyclic with $\varphi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)$ elements. $\rho_{i}=r_{i}(0 \leq i \leq s)$ because $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p_{i} r_{i}}\right)=\left(\bar{p}_{i}\right)$ and $\bar{p}_{i}^{h}=\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{p_{i}} r_{i}$ iff $h \geq r_{i}$. By 5.2, the corollary now follows immediately.

It is easy to prove that
5.4. If $(\mathrm{E}, e) \in \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{E}<\mathrm{N}$, then $\pi \leq \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{E}}$, i.e. $\tau \geq \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{E}}$. More generally, $\pi_{(n)} \leq \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{E}^{n}}$, i.e. $\tau_{(n)} \geq \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}^{n}}-\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{E}^{n}}$.

Applying 5.2 and 5.4 leads to other bounds for $\pi_{(n)}$ and for $\tau_{(n)}$, which can be compared with those obtained in 4.7-4.13.

Note that if $A$ is a field, then $I(A)=\left(X^{N}-X\right)$. In [6], $I\left(Z_{m}\right)$ was determined. The problem of determining $I\left(\mathrm{~A}^{n}\right)$ in the general case will be studied in a future paper by the author.
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