## ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

## CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

# RENDICONTI

LUDVIK JANOS

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **55** (1973), n.6, p. 639–644. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\_1973\_8\_55\_6\_639\_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica) SIMAI & UMI http://www.bdim.eu/

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1973.

# RENDICONTI

### DELLE SEDUTE

# DELLA ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

Classe di Scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali

Seduta del 15 dicembre 1973 Presiede il Presidente della Classe Beniamino Segre

## SEZIONE I

(Matematica, meccanica, astronomia, geodesia e geofisica)

**Matematica.** — Complementarity between nilpotent selfmappings and periodic autohomeomorphisms. Nota <sup>(\*)</sup> di LUDVIK JANOS, presentata dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Sia (X, f) una coppia formata da uno spazio di Hausdorff compatto e da una trasformazione continua  $f: X \to X$  tale che per qualche  $n \ge I$  l'iterata  $f^n$  è idempotente, ossia,  $f^{2n} = f^n$ . Si mostra che la categoria C di tali coppie può essere immessa naturalmente e fedelmente nel prodotto  $C_1 \times C_2$  delle due sotto-categorie piene  $C_1 \in C_2$  dove  $C_1$ consiste delle coppie nilpotenti  $(f^n$  è costante per qualche  $n \ge I)$  e  $C_2$  degli autoomeomorfismi periodici  $(f^n \in I'$ identità per qualche  $n \ge I$ ).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space (all spaces considered here are compact Hausdorff) and  $f: X \to X$  a continuous selfmapping of X. Considering f as an element of the topological semigroup  $X^X$  of all continuous selfmappings of X with respect to functional composition and compact open topology, we denote by  $\Gamma(f)$  the closed subsemigroup of  $X^X$  generated by f. This semigroup has been thoroughly investigated by A. D. Wallace ([I] and [2]) who obtained the following important result, (Swelling Lemma), concerning those selfmappings for which  $\Gamma(f)$  is compact:

THEOREM 1.1. (A. D. Wallace). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and  $f: X \to X$  a continuous selfmapping such that  $\Gamma(f)$  is compact. Denoting by A the intersection of all iterates  $f^{n}(X)$ , i.e.,  $A = \cap \{f^{n}(X) \mid n \ge 1\}$  the following statements hold:

- (i) The restriction f | A of f to A is a homeomorphism of A onto itself.
- (ii) There exists a unique idempotent  $r \in \Gamma(f)$  which is a retraction of X onto A.

(\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 22 settembre 1973.

43. - RENDICONTI 1973, Vol. LV, fasc. 6.

We shall apply this theorem to the case where  $\Gamma(f)$  is finite. In this case evidently the existing idempotent r is an iteration  $f^n$  of f for some  $n \ge 1$ . Let C denote the category whose objects Obj (C) consist of such pairs and whose morphisms  $\varphi \in \text{Morph}[(X, f), (Y, g)]$  are such continuous mappings  $\varphi : X \to Y$ for which  $g \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ f$  for (X, f) and  $(Y, g) \in \text{Obj}(C)$ .

We denote by  $C_1$  the full subcategory of C generated by nilpotent pairs  $(f \text{ is such that } f^n \text{ is constant for some } n \ge 1)$  and by  $C_2$  the full subcategory generated by pairs (X, f) where f is a periodic autohomeomorphism  $(f^n \text{ is the identity mapping for some } n \ge 1)$ .

Using Theorem 1.1. we shall construct functors  $F_1: C \to C_1$  and  $F_2: C \to C_2$  and using our previous results [3] we shall prove our main result:

THEOREM 1.2. The product functor  $F = F_1 \times F_2$  provides a faithful embedding of the category C into the product  $C_1 \times C_2$ .

Thus in this sense the subcategories  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  can be viewed as complementary in the category C.

### 2. Construction of functors $F_1$ and $F_2$

Let  $(X, f) \in \text{Obj}(C)$ , we consider the relation R on X defined by  $R = A \times A \cup \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$  with A defined as in Theorem I.I., and consider  $X^* = X/R$ . Thus the space  $X^*$  is obtained by shrinking A to a point and is obviously again compact Hausdorff. Observing that there is a unique continuous mapping  $f^* \colon X^* \to X^*$  rendering the following diagram



( $\pi$  being the natural projection), we obtain in this natural way a new pair  $(X^*, f^*)$  which is evidently nilpotent since  $f^{*^n}$  takes  $X^*$  to a point if  $f^n$  takes X onto A.

On the other hand Theorem 1.1. says that the restriction f|A is a homeomorphism of A onto itself which in our case is evidently periodic. Denoting A by X<sup>\*\*</sup> and f|A by  $f^{**}$  we just found two objects,  $(X^*, f^*) \in Obj(C_1)$  and  $(X^{**}, f^{**}) \in Obj(C_2)$  assigned in natural way to the object  $(X, f) \in Obj(C)$ . These objects are the values on Obj(C) of the functors  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  to be defined now. In order to extend their definition to morphisms of C let (Y, g) be another object in C and  $\varphi: (X, f) \rightarrow (Y, g)$  a morphism from (X, f) to (Y, g)and let us denote by  $\varphi^*$  the mapping from X<sup>\*</sup> into Y<sup>\*</sup> induced by  $\varphi$ , i.e. the mapping  $\varphi^*: X^* \to Y^*$  for which the diagram:



where  $\pi_f$  and  $\pi_g$  are the natural projections associated with the pairs (X, f) and (Y, g).

In order to prove that  $\varphi^*$  is a morphism we need the following.

LEMMA 2.1. Let (X, f) and (Y, g) be objects in C and  $\varphi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ a morphism in C. Then the mapping  $\varphi^*$  constructed above is a morphism, i.e.  $\varphi^* : (X^*, f^*) \to (Y^*, g^*)$ .

*Proof.* We have to show that  $g^* \circ \varphi = \varphi^* \circ f^*$ . To this end observe the following four commutative diagrams labelled by (**I**), (**2**), (**3**), (**4**) as indicated:



Let  $x^* \in X^*$ , then there is  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_f(x) = x^*$  and by (**1**) we have  $f^*(x^*) = f \circ \pi_f(x) = \pi_f \circ f(x)$ . Applying  $\varphi^*$  we have by (**2**)  $\varphi^* \circ f^*(x^*) = \varphi^* \circ \pi_f \circ f(x) = \pi_g \circ \varphi \circ f(x)$  and by (**3**) we have  $\pi_g \circ \varphi \circ f(x) = \pi_g \circ g \circ \varphi(x)$ and finally by (**4**) we get  $\pi_g \circ g \circ \varphi(x) = g^* \circ \pi_g \circ \varphi(x)$  which by (**2**) equals  $g^* \circ \varphi^*(x^*)$ . Thus  $\varphi^* \circ f^*(x^*) = g^* \circ \varphi^*(x^*)$  q.e.d.

The functor  $F_1: C \to C_1$  is now defined simply by putting  $F_1(X, f) = (X^*, f^*)$  and  $F(\varphi) = \varphi^*$  for  $(X, f), (Y, g) \in Obj(C)$  and  $\varphi \in Morph[(X, f), (Y, g)]$ .

Similarly in order to define the functor  $F_2$  we need the following.

LEMMA 2.2. With (X, f), (Y, g) and  $\varphi$  as in Lemma 2.1. we have  $\varphi(X^{**}) \subset Y^{**}$  where the meaning of  $X^{**}$  and  $Y^{**}$  was defined above.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X^{**} = \cap \{f^n(X) \mid n \ge I\}$ . Since  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \varphi$  it follows that  $\varphi \circ f^n = g^n \circ \varphi$  for every  $n \ge I$ . Since  $f \mid X^{**}$  is a homeomorphism onto,

there exists for every  $n \ge 1$  an element  $x_1 \in X^{**}$  such that  $x = f^n(x_1)$ . Applying  $\varphi$  we get  $\varphi(x) = \varphi \circ f^n(x_1) = g^n \circ \varphi(x_1) \in g^n(Y)$ . Thus, since *n* is arbitrary we conclude that  $\varphi(x) \in Y^{**}$ , q.e.d.

This lemma finally shows that the assignment  $F_2(X, f) = (X^{**}, f^{**})$ and  $F_2(\varphi) = \varphi^{**} = \varphi | X^{**}$  is a functor from the category C into the category C<sub>2</sub>.

Having defined the functors  $F_1: C \to C_1$  and  $F_2: C \to C_2$  we observe the trivial fact that both are onto (projecting the category C onto the subcategories  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  respectively). Next we shall show that the product functor  $F = F_1 \times F_2: C \to C_1 \times C_2$  which takes the category C into the cartesian product  $C_1 \times C_2$  is faithful. To achieve this we define the functor  $P: C_1 \times C_2 \to C$ by putting  $P[(X_1, f_1), (X_2, f_2)] = [(X_1 \times X_2), f_1 \times f_2]$  and  $P[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ where  $(X_1, f_1), (Y_1, g_1) \in Obj(C_1)$   $(X_2, f_2), (Y_2, g_2) \in Obj(C_2)$  and  $\varphi_1: (X_1, f_1) \to (Y_1, g_1) = \varphi_2: (X_2, f_2) \to (Y_2, g_2).$ 

The functor P is simply the cartesian product of pairs and morphisms between them. It is easy to verify that its values are in C. Considering the composite functor  $S = P \circ F : C \to C$  we shall exhibit a natural transformation  $\tau : I_C \to S$  from the identity functor  $I_C$  to the functor S, and using our result [3] we will show that this natural transformation  $\tau$  which assigns to each object (X, f) in C a morphism  $\tau(X, f)$  from (X, f) to  $S(X, f) = (X^* \times X^{**}, f^* \times f^{**})$ , provides a topological embedding  $\tau : X \to X^* \times X^{**}$ . This means that two morphisms  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  which are distinct and going from (X, f) remain distinct when transformed under S. But this means that S is faithful and a fortiori F itself is faithful.

#### 3. The natural transformation from the identity functor to S

LEMMA 3.1. Let (X, f) and (Y, g) be objects in C and  $\varphi$  a morphism from (X, f) to (Y, g). Denoting by  $r_f$  and  $r_g$  the corresponding idempotents in  $\Gamma(f)$  and  $\Gamma(g)$  respectively we claim that the following diagram



*Proof.* We know that  $r_f = f^n$  and  $r_g = g^m$  for some n and  $m \ge 1$ . Since  $r_f^2 = r_f$  and  $r_g^2 = r_g$  we can write  $r_f = f^k$ ,  $r_g = g^k$  where k = mm, and since  $g \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ f$  our assertion follows.

Now we give the promised definition of the natural transformation  $\tau \colon \, I_C \to S.$ 

If (X, f) is an object in C, then  $S(X, f) = P \circ F(X, f)$  is the pair  $(X^* \times X^{**}, f^* \times f^{**})$ . Defining  $\tau : X \to X^* \times X^{**}$  by  $\tau(x) = (\pi_f(x), r_f(x))$  where  $\pi_f$  is the natural projection  $\pi_f : X \to X^*$  and  $r_f$  the abovementioned idempotent element in  $\Gamma(f)$ , the Theorem 2.1. of the paper [3] says that  $\tau$  is a topological embedding of X into  $X^* \times X^{**}$  and at the same time a morphism from (X, f) to S(X, f). To show that  $\tau$  is a natural transformation it remains to verify that for any  $(X, f), (Y, g) \in Obj(C)$  and any  $\varphi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$  the following diagram commutes:



But this diagram, written in explicit form is



and its commutativity follows easily from Lemma 3.1.

From what has been said at the end of section 2, this implies that F is faithful, proving thus our Theorem 1.2.

*Remark.* The functor  $F: C \to C_1 \times C_2$  is not full. To show it, consider the finite pair  $(X, f) \in Obj(C)$  consisting of three objects, say  $\{a, b, c\}$  and the selfmapping f defined by arrows as follows:



There are exactly three morphisms from (X, f) to (X, f), namely the identity  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  defined by:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a , b , c \\ b , c , b \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} a , b , c \\ c , b , c \end{pmatrix}.$$

The value of  $F_1$  on (X, f) can be similarly represented by the graph



and the value of  $F_2$  on (X, f) by the graph



Since the number of morphisms in  $C_1$  going from  $F_1(X, f)$  into itself is two (one except the identity) and the number of morphisms in  $C_2$  going from  $F_2(X, f)$  into itself is also two (also one except the identity) it follows that the total number of morphisms in  $C_1 \times C_2$  going from  $F_1 \times F_2(X, f)$ into itself is four, showing that the functor  $F = F_1 \times F_2$  is not full.

#### References

- [1] A. D. WALLACE, Inverses in Euclidean mobs, "Math. J. Okayama Univ.", 3, 23-28, MR 15, 933 (1953).
- [2] A. D. WALLACE, The Gebietstreue in semigroups, «Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Pros. Ser. A 59 Indag. Math. », 18, 271-274, MR 18, 14 (1956).
- [3] L. JANOS, On representations of selfmappings, « Proceedings of A.M.S. », 529-533, November 1970.