
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

UDAI PRATAP SINGH, SHRI KRISHNA DEO DUBEY

**Induced and intrinsic derivatives on the subspace of
special Kawaguchi space**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 54 (1973), n.5, p. 724–729.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1973_8_54_5_724_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Geometria differenziale. — *Induced and intrinsic derivatives on the subspace of special Kawaguchi space.* Nota di UDAI PRATAP SINGH e SHRI KRISHNA DEO DUBEY, presentata (*) dal Socio E. BOMPIANI.

RIASSUNTO. — Nella teoria degli spazi speciali di Kawaguchi esistono due tipi di connessione (indotta e intrinseca) su una varietà immersa (come nella geometria di Finsler). La loro differenza è stata determinata da Yoshida [2] (1).

In questa Nota si definiscono e studiano due tipi di vettori normali di curvatura. Si discutono inoltre i due tipi di parallelismo di un campo vettoriale.

1. INTRODUCTION

We shall consider an n -dimensional metric space K_n in which the arc length of a curve $x^i = x^i(t)$ (2) is given by following integral:

$$(1.1) \quad S = \int [A_i(x^i, \dot{x}^i) \ddot{x}^i + B(x^i, \dot{x}^i)]^{1/p} dt,$$

where

$$\dot{x}^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}, \quad \ddot{x}^i = \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2}$$

and A_i, B are differentiable functions of x^i and \dot{x}^i . If $p = 3$, n is even then the space K_n is called an n -dimensional special Kawaguchi space of order 2. The theory of such a space was established by A. Kawaguchi [1] and was studied by several authors.

In order that the arc length in the space should remain unaltered under any transformation of the parameter t , we must have the so-called Zermelo's conditions,

$$(1.2) \quad A_i \dot{x}^i = 0, \quad A_{i(j)} \dot{x}^j = (p-2) A_i, \quad B_{(i)} \dot{x}^i = pB,$$

where

$$A_{i(j)} = \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial \dot{x}^j}, \quad B_{(i)} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial \dot{x}^i}.$$

And, since (1.1) is scalar, it follows that A_i is a vector.

Let us consider an m -dimensional subspace K_m of K_n represented by the equations $x^i = x^i(u^\alpha)$ and the matrix of the projection factors $p_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha}$ has rank m . If we denote a_α and b the quantities in K_m corresponding to A_i ,

(*) Nella seduta del 12 maggio 1973.

(1) Numbers in the brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

(2) Throughout this paper, Latin indices run from 1 to n , Greek ones $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ from 1 to m and μ, ν from $m+1$ to n .

and B in Kn respectively, it follows that the same equations concerning a_α and b as (1.2) hold.

Putting

$$(1.3) \quad G_{ij} = 2 A_{i(j)} - A_{j(i)} \quad , \quad G_{\alpha\beta} = 2 a_{\alpha(\beta)} - a_{\beta(\alpha)} \quad ,$$

it has been shown ([2]),

$$(1.4) \quad G_{ij} p_\alpha^i p_\beta^j = G_{\alpha\beta} \quad .$$

Suppose $G^{\alpha\beta}$ is the tensor reciprocal to $G_{\alpha\beta}$ (i.e. $G^{\beta\alpha} G_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha$) Yoshida [2] defines

$$(1.5) \quad p_i^\alpha = G^{\alpha\beta} G_{ij} p_\beta^j$$

on the assumption that n, m are both even and $\det(G_{ij}) \{ \det(G_{\alpha\beta}) \}$ does not vanish identically. It is easy to show that

$$p_i^\alpha p_\beta^i = \delta_\beta^\alpha \quad .$$

The connections Γ^i of Kn and Γ^α of Km are given as

$$(1.6) \quad 2 \Gamma^i = (2 A_{lm} x'^m - B_{(l)}) G^{li} \quad , \quad 2 \Gamma^\alpha = (2 a_{\beta\gamma} u'^\gamma - b_{(\beta)}) G^{\beta\alpha} \quad .$$

The covariant differential of a contravariant vector field $v^i(x^i, x^i)$ homogeneous of degree zero with respect to the x^i is defined by Kawaguchi [1]

$$(1.7) \quad \delta v^i = dv^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i v^j dx^k$$

where

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^i}{\partial x'^j \partial x'^k} = \Gamma_{kj}^i \quad .$$

If v^α is a vector field in Km such that $v^i = p_\alpha^i v^\alpha$, then the induced covariant differential $\tilde{\delta} v^\alpha$ is defined as (Yoshida [2])

$$(1.8) \quad \tilde{\delta} v^\alpha = p_i^\alpha \delta v^i \quad .$$

Putting

$$\tilde{\delta} v^\alpha = dv^\alpha + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha v^\beta du^\gamma \quad ,$$

it has been shown in [2]

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = p_i^\alpha (p_{\beta\gamma}^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i p_\beta^j p_\gamma^k) \quad .$$

Yoshida ([3]) defines for the projection parameters p_α^i ,

$$(1.10) \quad \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overset{\circ}{D}_\beta p_\alpha^i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_{\alpha\beta}^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i p_\beta^j p_\alpha^k - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma p_\gamma^i \quad .$$

Using (1.9) and normal vector n_μ^i of Kn , (1.10) can be written as

$$(1.11) \quad \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i = H_{\beta\alpha}^\mu n_\mu^i$$

from which it follows

$$(1.12) \quad H_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu} = G^{\nu\mu} G_{ij} n_{\nu}^i \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^j = G^{\mu\nu} n_{\nu}^i \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i,$$

where $G^{\nu\mu}$ has the same meaning as in [2].

2. DIFFERENCE BETWEEN INDUCED AND INTRINSIC CONNECTIONS

The connection coefficients of the imbedding space Kn are denoted by Γ_{jk}^i and the induced connection coefficients of subspace Km have been given by (1.9). With the help of (1.5) and (1.9) we can write,

$$(2.1) \quad G_{\alpha\delta} \check{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = G_{ij} p_{\delta}^j (p_{\beta\gamma}^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i p_{\beta}^j p_{\gamma}^k).$$

The most significant motivation for the definition (1.9) is in the fact that it leads directly to the fundamentally important induced normal curvature vector $\overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i$, given by (1.10), which derives its name from the circumstance that it is normal to Km , i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad G_{ij} p_{\delta}^j \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i = 0$$

as is immediately evident by multiplication of (1.10) by $G_{ij} p_{\delta}^j$ and subsequent application of (1.4) and (2.1).

The intrinsic connection coefficients $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^{\alpha}}{\partial u^{\beta} \partial u^{\gamma}}$ have been defined as ([2])

$$(2.3) \quad \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \check{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{(\beta}^{*i} p_{i(\gamma)}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{(\gamma)}^{*i} p_{i(\beta)}^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{*i} p_{i(\beta)(\gamma)}^{\alpha},$$

where

$$\Gamma^{*i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma^i + \frac{1}{2} p_{\alpha\beta}^i u^{\alpha} u^{\beta}.$$

In analogy with (1.10) we construct the intrinsic curvature vector

$$(2.4) \quad \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^{*i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_{\alpha\beta}^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i p_{\beta}^j p_{\alpha}^k - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} p_{\gamma}^i.$$

Let us define the form,

$$(2.5) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\epsilon\gamma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{\beta\epsilon\gamma}^{\alpha} - \check{\Gamma}_{\beta\epsilon\gamma}^{\alpha} = G_{\alpha\epsilon} (\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} - \check{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}).$$

This equation and (2.3) yield

$$(2.6) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = p_{i(\gamma)}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{(\beta)}^{*i} + p_{i(\beta)}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{(\gamma)}^{*i} + \Gamma^{*i} p_{i(\beta)(\gamma)}^{\alpha}.$$

In [2] Γ^{α} has been written in the form,

$$2 \Gamma^{\alpha} = [2 \Gamma^i + p_{\beta\gamma}^i u^{\beta} u^{\gamma}] p_i^{\alpha}$$

which gives

$$(2.7) \quad p_{\alpha}^i \Gamma^{\alpha} = \Gamma^i + \frac{1}{2} p_{\beta\gamma}^i u^{\beta} u^{\gamma} = \Gamma^{*i}.$$

If we use (2.7), then the equation (2.6) can be expressed as

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} &= \Gamma_{(\beta)(\gamma)}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{(\beta)(\gamma)}^{*i} p_i^{\alpha} \\ &= \Gamma_{(\beta)(\gamma)}^{\alpha} - (p_{\alpha}^i \Gamma^{\alpha})_{(\beta)(\gamma)} p_i^{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

since $p_{\alpha}^i \Gamma^{\alpha} = \Gamma^{*i}$, the above equation becomes

$$(2.9) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{*i} p_i^{\alpha}.$$

If $A_{i(j)(k)} = 0$ and m, n are both even, then it has been proved in [2] that the intrinsic and induced connection parameters coincide, i.e.

$$(2.10) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = 0.$$

Now substituting (1.10) from (2.4) and using (2.5), we get

$$(2.11) \quad \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^{*i} = \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i - p_{\delta}^i \Lambda_{\beta\alpha}^{\delta}.$$

Hence, we get

THEOREM (2.1). *The intrinsic curvature vector differs from induced normal curvature vector merely by a tangential component.*

Further the equation (2.11) proves:

COROLLARY (2.1). *The vector $\overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^{*i}$ is not, in general, normal to the subspace.*

If in analogy to equation (1.12) we define

$$(2.12) \quad \overset{*}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu} = \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^{*i} G_{ij} n_v^j G^{\mu\nu}$$

then in view of the equations (2.11) and (2.12) we obtain,

$$(2.13) \quad \overset{*}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu} = H_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu}.$$

Therefore,

THEOREM (2.2). *The same second fundamental tensor can be used in both the induced and intrinsic theories.*

3. DERIVATIVES OF A VECTOR FIELD

For the curve $C: x^i = x^i(t)$ [or $u^a = u^a(t)$] of the subspace Km , the unit tangent vectors $\dot{x}^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$ and $\dot{u}^a = \frac{du^a}{dt}$ are related by

$$\dot{x}^i = p_{\alpha}^i \dot{u}^{\alpha}.$$

Let

$$(3.1) \quad X^i(t) = p_\alpha^i U^\alpha(t)$$

be a vector field tangent to Km . It is supposed that X^i is a differentiable function of (x^j, \dot{x}^j) . We shall now obtain a relation between the covariant derivative $\delta X^i / \delta t$ (with respect to the connection of the imbedding space Kn) and the intrinsic covariant derivative $\delta U^\alpha / \delta t$ (with respect to the intrinsic connection of Km). The latter is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t} = \frac{dU^\varepsilon}{dt} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta.$$

The equation (3.1), when differentiated with respect to t along the curve C , yields,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{dX^i}{dt} = p_{\alpha\beta}^i U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \frac{dU^\varepsilon}{dt}.$$

Also, due to (1.7) and (3.1), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta t} = \frac{dX^i}{dt} + \Gamma_{jk}^i U^\alpha p_\alpha^j \dot{U}^\beta p_\beta^k.$$

The elimination of $p_{\alpha\beta}^i$ from (3.3) and (2.4) gives

$$\frac{dX^i}{dt} = \left[\overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i - \Gamma_{jk}^i p_\beta^j p_\alpha^k + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma p_\gamma^i \right] U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \frac{dU^\varepsilon}{dt}.$$

After simplifying this equation with the help of (3.2) and (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta t} = \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \left(\frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t} \right)$$

which in view of (2.11) and (1.11) takes the form,

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta t} = H_{\beta\alpha}^\mu n_\mu^i U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \left(\frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t} - \Lambda_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta \right).$$

This is the required result.

The following theorems are immediate from equation (3.6):

THEOREM (3.1). *If the vector field X^i tangential to Km is parallel along a curve (of Km) with respect to Kn , it is not necessary that the vector field U^α is parallel along the curve with respect to intrinsic connection of Km . Under these circumstances, we have*

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t} = \Lambda_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon U^\alpha \dot{U}^\beta.$$

THEOREM (3.2). *If the induced and intrinsic connection parameters coincide then the parallelism (along a curve of Km) of the vector field X^i in Kn implies the parallelism along the curve of the vector field U^α in Km and vice versa.*

4. INDUCED DERIVATIVES

In analogy to article 3, we shall derive an expression involving the covariant derivative $\delta X^i/\delta t$ of a vector field X^i and induced covariant derivative $\delta U^\alpha/\delta t$. The latter is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t} = \frac{dU^\varepsilon}{dt} + \check{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon U^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta.$$

On eliminating $p_{\alpha\beta}^i$ from (1.10) and (3.3), we get

$$\frac{dX^i}{dt} = \left[\overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i - \Gamma_{jk}^i p_\beta^j p_\alpha^k + \check{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma p_\gamma^i \right] U^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \frac{dU^\varepsilon}{dt}.$$

Taking the help of equations (3.4) and (4.1) this equation becomes,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta t} = \overset{\circ}{H}_{\beta\alpha}^i U^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t}.$$

Using (1.11), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta t} = n_\mu^i H_{\beta\alpha}^\mu U^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta + p_\varepsilon^i \frac{\delta U^\varepsilon}{\delta t}.$$

This yields the following:

THEOREM (4.1). *The necessary and sufficient condition that a vector field of the subspace be parallel along a curve in Kn is that it is parallel along the curve with respect to induced connection of Km .*

Now we suppose that $U^\alpha = \dot{u}^\alpha$. On the assumption that Γ^i are homogeneous of degree two with respect to the x , it has been shown (Yoshida [2]),

$$(4.4) \quad \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon \dot{u}^\beta \dot{u}^\alpha = \check{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon \dot{u}^\beta \dot{u}^\alpha.$$

Hence, by (2.5) we get

$$(4.5) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon \dot{u}^\beta \dot{u}^\alpha = 0.$$

Thus, Theorem (3.1) takes the form:

THEOREM (4.2). *The necessary and sufficient condition that a curve of the subspace be auto parallel in Kn , is that, it is auto parallel in Km with respect to the intrinsic connection parameter.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. KAWAGUCHI, *Geometry in an n-dimensional space with the arc length $s = \int (A_i \ddot{x}^i + B)^{1/2} dt$* , « Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. », 44, 153-167 (1938).
- [2] M. YOSHIDA, *On the connections in a subspace of the special Kawaguchi space*, « Tensor (N.S.) », 17 (1), 49-52 (1966).
- [3] M. YOSHIDA, *The equations of Gauss and Codazzi in the special Kawaguchi Geometry*, « Tensor (N.S.) », 18 (1), 13-17 (1967).