

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

RUDOLF KURTH

**A Generalization of Bendixson's Negative Criterion**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 54 (1973), n.4, p. 533–535.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1973\\_8\\_54\\_4\\_533\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1973_8_54_4_533_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1973.

**Equazioni differenziali.** — *A Generalization of Bendixson's Negative Criterion.* Nota di RUDOLF KURTH, presentata (\*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

**Riassunto.** — Sia  $G$  un dominio di  $E^n$ ,  $f: G \rightarrow E^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(\operatorname{div} f)(a) \neq 0$  per  $x \in G$ , e sia  $P$  l'insieme di tutti i punti  $a$  di  $G$  per i quali la soluzione  $\Phi(a, t)$  del sistema differenziale  $dx/dt = f(x)$ ,  $x = a$  se  $t = 0$  è periodica e inoltre  $(\Phi(a, t)/t \in E^1)$  è un sottoinsieme di  $G$ . In queste ipotesi l'insieme  $P$  è misurabile secondo Lebesgue e  $\mu(P) = 0$ .

Bendixon's Negative Criterion reads: Let  $G$  be a domain in the euclidean plane  $E^2$ , and  $f: G \rightarrow E^2$  be a vector function which has continuous partial derivatives of the first order in  $G$  such that, for any point  $x$  of  $G$ ,

$$(\operatorname{div} f)(x) \neq 0.$$

Then the differential equation

$$dx/dt = f(x),$$

where  $t$  denotes a real variable, has no proper (i.e. non-constant) periodic solution whose solution path (i.e. its range) lies entirely in  $G$ . (See, e.g., [2]).

For the proof the hypothesis that  $G$  is 2-dimensional appears indispensable. But a somewhat weaker version of the theorem can be generalized to euclidean spaces of more than two dimensions in the following fashion:

(i) *Let  $G$  be a domain in the  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space  $E^n$ , and  $f: G \rightarrow E^n$  be a vector function which has continuous partial derivatives of the first order in  $G$  such that, for any point  $x$  of  $G$ ,*

$$(\operatorname{div} f)(x) > 0.$$

*Further, let  $P$  be the set of all those points  $a$  of  $G$  for which the solution  $\Phi(a, t)$  of the initial-value problem*

$$\begin{cases} dx/dt = f(x), \\ x = a \text{ if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

*is periodic and the corresponding solution path  $\{\Phi(a, t) | t \in E^1\}$  is a subset of the domain  $G$ . Then  $P$  is a Lebesgue-measurable set of measure  $\mu P = 0$*

The assumption that  $\operatorname{div} f$  is positive does not restrict the generality of the assertion. Note that the set  $P$  also contains the starting points of the constant solutions, whereas in Bendixson's theorem these points are excluded.

*Proof.* There is an increasing sequence  $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of compact subsets  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  of  $G$  such that

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$$

(\*) Nella seduta del 14 aprile 1973.

and, for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , the boundaries of  $C_i$  and  $G$  have a distance  $\geq 1/i$ . Let  $P_i$  be the set of all those starting points of periodic solutions whose paths belong entirely to  $C_i$ . Then,

$$P \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i.$$

An indirect argument, using the compactness of the solution paths, shows that even

$$P = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i.$$

Hence it suffices to prove that, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\mu P_i = 0.$$

The closure  $\bar{P}_i$  of  $P_i$  is a measurable set, and so is  $\Phi(\bar{P}_i, t)$  for any  $t \in E^1$ . By Liouville's Theorem,

$$\mu \Phi(\bar{P}_i, t) = \int_{\bar{P}_i} \exp \left\{ \int_0^t (\operatorname{div} f)(\Phi(\alpha, \tau)) d\tau \right\} d\alpha.$$

(See, e.g., [1]). Let

$$\alpha_i = \min \{ (\operatorname{div} f)(x) \mid x \in C_i \}.$$

By the hypotheses made,  $\alpha_i > 0$ . It follows that

$$\mu \Phi(\bar{P}_i, t) \geq e^{\alpha_i t} \cdot \mu \bar{P}_i.$$

On the other hand, since  $\alpha \in P_i$  implies that  $\Phi(\alpha, t) \in P_i$  for all  $t$ , the set  $P_i$  is invariant under the flow  $\Phi$ , and so is its closure  $\bar{P}_i$ :

$$\Phi(\bar{P}_i, t) = \bar{P}_i \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Hence, by the preceding inequality,

$$\mu \bar{P}_i \geq e^{\alpha_i t} \cdot \mu \bar{P}_i \quad \text{for all } t.$$

With  $P_i$  also  $\bar{P}_i$  is a subset of the bounded measurable set  $C_i$ ; therefore,  $\mu \bar{P}_i < \infty$ . It follows, because  $\alpha_i > 0$ , that

$$\mu \bar{P}_i = 0.$$

$P_i$ , as a subset of a null-set, is measurable, and

$$\mu P_i = 0,$$

as has been asserted.

The decisive point of the proof is that, for any point  $\alpha$  of  $P$ , the solution path (or, rather, its closure) is a compact subset of the domain  $G$ . Hence

the following generalization of the above proposition holds under the same hypotheses:

(2) Let  $Q^+$  be the set of all those points  $b$  of  $G$  for which the solution  $\Phi(b, t)$  is defined for all positive real  $t$  and the closure of the solution path  $\{\Phi(b, t) \mid t \geq 0\}$  is a compact subset of  $G$ . Then  $Q^+$  is a null-set.

There is a similar proposition holding for negative real  $t$  if  $\operatorname{div} f$  is negative in  $G$ .

A point  $c$  of  $G$  is said to be a recurrence point of the flow with respect to the future if

$$c \in \overline{\{\Phi(c, k) \mid k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}}.$$

The following specialization of (2) is still a generalization of (1) and, therefore, of Bendixson's Negative Criterion:

(3) Let  $R^+$  be the set of all those recurrence points  $c$  with respect to the future for which  $\{\Phi(c, t) \mid t \geq 0\}$  is a compact subset of  $G$ . Then  $R^+$  is measurable and has measure 0.

Again, there is a similar proposition for the past, i.e.  $t < 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] KURTH R., *Axiomatics of Classical Statistical Mechanics*. Pergamon Press, 1960, p. 55.
- [2] WILSON H. K., *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Addison-Wesley, 1971, p. 276.