
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

ALEXANDRU BREZULEANU

**About dual categories and representation theorems
for some categories of lattices**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 53 (1972), n.5, p. 410–413.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1972_8_53_5_410_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Logica matematica. — *About dual categories and representation theorems for some categories of lattices.* Nota di ALEXANDRU BREZULEANU, presentata (*) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si ottengono risultati sulle algebre di Lukasiewicz, mediante teoremi di rappresentazione che forniscono una relazione di dualità fra categorie di natura algebrica (insiemi, gruppi, anelli, reticoli, ecc.) e certe categorie topologiche.

The most important structures of the mathematics, the topological (i.e. continuous) and algebraic ones are dual, i.e. categories of algebraic nature (sets, groups, rings, lattices, etc.) have as duals either categories of some topological spaces or topological spaces with some sheaves [9].

The Note [1] and the present Note prove this result. (We do not know whether and how the notion of Grothendieck topology would explain this duality).

We consider the following categories:

$Ld(0, 1)$ -distributive lattices with a first and a last element; Lrl -linear residuated lattices [7], [8] named L -algebras in [3]; Luk_0 — θ valent Lukasiewicz algebras [6]; P_0 — θ -valent Post algebras [2]; B -boolean algebras. A lattice is called local if it has a unique maximal ideal (or filter).

We shall give a representation theorem valid in $Ld(0, 1)$ which has as particular cases the known representation theorems in Lrl , B , Luk_0 , P_0 . That theorem shows that the models of $Ld(0, 1)$, $\dots$, B are the local lattices. Hence a central problem is to know the local objects of the category. They are more interesting if the respective category has as dual a category of affine schemes of lattices since the stalks are local lattices and they transmit their properties to the whole category.

1. Let $L \in ob Ld(0, 1)$ and $Specm L$ be the set of the maximal ideals of L . Let p be a prime ideal in L and $f = L - p$. The localisation L_p of L in p coincides with the factor lattice L/f [1]; L_p has a unique maximal ideal.

1.1. LEMMA. *The homomorphism $i: L \rightarrow \prod_{p \in Specm L} L_p$, given by the canonical maps $i_p: L \rightarrow L_p$, is injective.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in L$ with $i_p(a) = i_p(b)$ for any $p \in Specm L$. Hence for any p , there is $c_p \notin p$ with $a \wedge c_p = b \wedge c_p$. The ideal α generated by $I = \{c_p \mid p \in Specm L\}$ coincides with L (if $\alpha \neq L$, there is $q \in Specm L$ with $\alpha \subset q$, hence $c_q \in q$). Then there are $c_1, \dots, c_n \in I$ with $c_1 \vee \dots \vee c_n = 1$. Hence $a = \bigvee_1^n (a \wedge c_i) = \bigvee_1^n (b \wedge c_i) = b$.

(*) Nella seduta dell'11 novembre 1972.

1.2. REMARKS. i) For Lrl , $\mathbf{B}$ and Luk_0 , $\mathbf{P}_0$ (with θ -ideals and θ -filters instead of ideals, filters) they can prove 1.1 by the fact that the intersection of the minimal filters is reduced to $\{1\}$.

ii) In 1.1 we use only the element 1 of L ; obviously, we can enounce 1.1 for maximal filters and use $0 \in L$.

iii) The Lemma 1.1 is a type of representation theorems for different categories of lattices. The problem is if $i_p: L \rightarrow L_p$ (or $i_f: L \rightarrow L_f$, for f a filter) is a morphism in the given category; eventually they consider some special ideals or filters, for instance θ -ideals and θ -filters for Luk_0 and $\mathbf{P}_0$.

Lemma 1.1 shows the importance of local objects, since a formula is true iff it is true in the local lattices. For $Ld(0, 1)$ we do not know a description of the local lattices; but in Lrl , $\mathbf{B}$, Luk_0 , $\mathbf{P}_0$ they are well determined.

1.3. Any local boolean algebra is isomorphic to $L_2 = \{0, 1\}$. Hence, for $L \in ob \mathbf{B}$, the Lemma 1.1 gives the representation theorem of Stone: Any $L \in ob \mathbf{B}$ is a subdirect product of algebras L_2 . We conjecture that: *if $L \in ob Ld(0, 1)$ and is a subdirect product of algebras L_2 , then $L \in ob \mathbf{B}$.*

1.4. The L -algebras are the algebraic correspondent for the special modal logic [5]. The local L -algebras are exactly the chains (= totally ordered sets with a first and a last element). And Lemma 1.1 is the representation theorem for Lrl , given independently by A. Monteiro [8], Gr. C. Moisil [7], and later by A. Horn [3]: Any $L \in ob Lrl$ is a subdirect product of chains. We think the following converse of this is true:

CONJECTURE. *Let $L \in ob Ld(0, 1)$. If L' is a direct product of chains, L is a subdirect product in L' and L and L' have the same stalks in $Spec L$, then $L \in ob Lrl$.*

1.5. Let J be a set of ordinal type θ . We need the functors $Luk_0 \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{D} Luk_0$ given by: for $L \in ob Luk_0$, $CL \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in L \mid \text{there is } x \in L \text{ with } a \wedge x = 0, a \vee x = 1\}$; for $B \in ob \mathbf{B}$, $DB \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f: J \rightarrow B \mid f \text{ isotone function}\}$. It is known that: D is right adjoint to C ; $L \rightarrow DCL$ is a monomorphism; $B \rightarrow CDB$ is an isomorphism [6], [2].

1.5.1. PROPOSITION. *Let $L \in ob Luk_0$; L is local if and only if it is a subalgebra of DL_2 . Let $L \in ob \mathbf{P}_0$; L is local if and only if $L = DL_2$.*

Proof. Let L be a local algebra, i.e. $\text{Max } L \{= \text{the set of the prime } \theta\text{-ideals of } L\}$ has a unique element. From $\text{Max } L = \text{Spec } CL$, it results that $CL = L_2$. Since $L \rightarrow DCL$ is a monomorphism it follows that $L \subset DL_2$. Now let $L \subset DL_2$; then $CL \subset CDL_2 = L_2$, hence L is local, since $\text{Max } L = \text{Spec } CL$.

For $L \in ob \mathbf{P}_0$, we have $L \simeq DCL$ and this proves the last statement.

This proposition and the Lemma 1.1 (in which we consider θ -ideals and θ -filters) give in a more precise form the representation theorem for Luk_θ and $\mathbf{P}_\theta$ [6]:

Any $L \in ob Luk_\theta$ (resp. any $L \in ob \mathbf{P}_\theta$) is a subdirect product in a direct product L' of subalgebras of DL_2 (resp. of algebras DL_2) L and L' having the same stalks in $Max L$.

I suppose the converse of it is also true, for $L \in ob Ld(o, 1)$. Particularly, I conjecture that: *if $L \in ob Luk_\theta$ and for any $m \in Max L$, $L/m = DL_2$, then $L \in ob \mathbf{P}_\theta$.*

1.5.2. It is known that for $L \in ob Ld(o, 1)$ and L infinite, $card L \leq card Spec L$ [4].

If $L \in ob Luk_\theta$ and L is infinite, this is not true in the form $card L \leq card Max L$, for instance taking $L = DL_2$. However, *if $L \in ob Luk_\theta$ CL is infinite and θ is finite, then $card L \leq card Max L$. Indeed, $card L \leq card DCL = card CL$ for θ finite and CL infinite, and also $card CL \leq card Spec CL$ by [4], and $Spec CL = Max L$.*

2. By Stone-Nerode it is known that the dual of $Ld(o, 1)$ is the category of Stone spaces with compact continuous maps. By [2], the dual of Luk_θ is the category of Lukasiewicz spaces with compact continuous maps commuting with the Lukasiewicz endomorphisms. It seems that an analogous duality holds for Lrl . The dual of $\mathbf{B}$ is the category of boolean spaces with continuous maps. The inconvenient of the first dualities is that they do not take into account the local objects, i.e. the models. As for $\mathbf{B}$ (and also for $\mathbf{P}_\theta$ which is equivalent to $\mathbf{B}$), the local objects are all isomorphic to L_2 (resp. DL_2), and so the topological space of the θ -prime ideals preserves and can give all information.

Another way to obtain dual categories is to consider on the topological spaces some structural sheaves of lattices.

In [1] it is proved that $Ld(o, 1)$ has as dual the category Sal of affine schemes of lattices.

THEOREM. *The dual category of Lrl is the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of Sal consisting of those objects for which the stalks of the structural sheaf are chains.*

Proof. Let $(X, L_X) \in ob \mathcal{C}$. It is known that $L = \Gamma(X, L_X) \in ob Ld(o, 1)$. We must show that $L \in ob Lrl$.

The residuation “:” can be defined in terms of equations (the equalities: $((a : b) \wedge b) \vee a = a$; if $(c \wedge b) \vee a = a$ then $(a : b) \vee c = a : b$, determine uniquely the element $a : b$). Therefore, for $a, b \in L$ it suffices to define the element $a : b$ in every stalk. Since, for $p \in Spec L = X$ the element $a : b \in L_p$ can be lifted to a neighbourhood U of p preserving the above equalities, hence it gives the residuation of a and b in every L_q for $q \in U$.

In order to obtain $a : b$ in L they glue together the elements so obtained on U 's. The residuation is linear since it is linear in every stalk.

If $g: (X, L_X) \rightarrow (X', L_{X'})$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ then $f = \Gamma'_i(X, g): L = \Gamma(X, L_X) \rightarrow L' = \Gamma(X', L_{X'})$ is a morphism in $Ld(0, 1)$ and, moreover, it commutes with the residuation, since it does so on stalks (a morphism from $Ld(0, 1)$ between chains is a fortiori isotone).

COROLLARY. *If $L, L' \in ob Lrl$ and $f: L \rightarrow L'$ is a morphism in $Ld(0, 1)$ then it is also in Lrl , i.e. commutes with residuation.*

REMARKS i). Analogously, they can describe the dual of the category Lr of residuated lattices; but in this case the local objects are not known.

ii) It would be interesting to obtain a similar theorem for Luk_0 using $Max L$ instead of $Spec L$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. BREZULEANU and R. DIACONESCU, *Sur la duale de la catégorie $Ld(0, 1)$* , « Revue Roum. Math. pures et appl. », 14 (3) (1969).
- [2] G. GEORGESCU, *Thesis*, Bucharest 1972, or « C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris », 272 (416–419) 1971.
- [3] A. HORN, *Logic with truth values in a linearly ordered Heyting Algebras*, « J. Symb. Logic », 34 (3), 395–408, Sept. 1969.
- [4] L. ITURIOZ and D. MAKINSON, *Les filtres premiers et les sous-algèbres d'un treillis distributif*, « C. Rendus », 271 (1970).
- [5] GR. C. MOISIL, *Logique modale*, « Disquisitiones mathematicae et physicae », Bucarest, 2, p. 3 et [5'], pp. 341–431 (1944).
- [5'] GR. C. MOISIL, *Essais sur les logiques nonchrysippiennes*, Editions de l'Académie de la République de Roumanie (1972).
- [6] GR. C. MOISIL, *L'algebra e la logica*, Atti del Convegno matematico tenuto a Roma dall'8 al 12 novembre 1942, Roma, Istituto di alta matematica, 1945, p. 143.
- [7] GR. C. MOISIL, *Notes* (Cours non publié tenu à l'Université de Bucarest en 1969).
- [8] A. MONTEIRO, *Linéarisation de la logique positive de Hilbert-Bernays*, « Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina », 20, 308–309 (1962).
- [9] N. POPESCU (unpublished).