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Geometria. - Algebraic Contractions and Complete Intersections. Nota di Lucian Badescu e Mihnea Moroianu, presentata (*) dal Socio B. Segre.

Riassunto. - Si studiano dilatazioni e contrazioni di varietà algebriche, con particolare riguardo. al caso in cui queste siano delle intersezioni complete.

In the following K will denote a commutative, algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. We shall consider only irreducible algebraic varieties over K.

Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a projective algebraic variety embedded in the projective space $\mathrm{P}_{n}$, such that it is a complete intersection in $\mathrm{P}_{n}$, i.e. its homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is generated by $n-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ independent elements (which are even homogeneous forms) of $K\left[T_{0}, \cdots, T_{n}\right] . \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})$ stands for the inverse image of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{n}}(\mathrm{I})$ by this embedding, while $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ represents the homogeneous coordinates ring of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, i.e. $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{T}_{0}, \cdots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right] / \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$.

We shall need the following results:
(A) $\left[\mathrm{FAC}, \S 78\right.$, Proposition 5]. - If $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ defined by the equations $f_{i}=\mathrm{o}, \quad i=\mathrm{I}, \cdots, n-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, of degrees $m_{i}$, then:
a) The canonical homomorphism of graded algebras:

$$
\alpha: S\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \underset{s \in \mathrm{Z}}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(s)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism;
b) $\mathrm{H}^{q}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(s)\right)=\mathrm{o}$ for every $s$ and $\mathrm{o}<q<\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$;
c) The vector space $\mathrm{H}^{d}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right.$ ) (with $d=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) is isomorphic with the dual of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{N}-s)\right)$, where $\mathrm{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} m_{i}-n-\mathrm{I}$.
(B) [SGA-1962, Corollaire (3.7)]. - Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be an algebraic variety of dimension $\geq 3$, which is embedded in $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ as a complete intersection. Then Pic $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ (the group equivalence classes of isomorphic invertible sheaves) is cyclic and generated by the class of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})$.

Remarks. - I) If $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=2$, and if $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathrm{P}_{n}$, Pic $X^{\prime}$ is finitely generated by a result of Hartshorne [6], but not in general cyclic. For instance $X^{\prime}=P_{1} \times P_{1}$ is the quadric $T_{0} T_{1}-T_{2} T_{3}=o$ in $P_{3}$ and Pic $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{Z}$, hence ( B ) does not hold in this case.
2) If $\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=1$, e.g. if $X^{\prime}$ is an elliptic curve, Pic $X^{\prime}$ has not a finite number of generators, though it is a plane curve.

Let Y be an algebraic variety, $y \in \mathrm{Y}$ a (closed) point, $\varphi: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ the blowing-up of Y at $y, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\varphi^{-1}(y)$ the exceptional locus, such that we have the cartesian diagram:

$j$ and $i$ being the corresponding closed immersions given by the ideals J and $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{I})$ respectively. We assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:
a) There exists an embedding of $\mathrm{X}!$ in a projective space $\mathrm{P}_{n}$, such that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is complete intersection in $\mathrm{P}_{n}$;
b) The conormal sheaf $i^{*} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in X is isomorphic to a strictly positive tensor power of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})$, i.e. $i^{*} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(s)$ with $s>0$.

Remark. - If $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y} \geq 4$, it follows from (B) that $b$ ) is a consequence of $a$ ) because $i^{*} \mathrm{I}$ is ample on $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

Proposition I. Let Y be an algebraic variety, $y \in \mathrm{Y}$ a normal point, $\varphi: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ the blowing-up of Y at $y, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\varphi^{-1}(y)$. Assume that the conditions a) and b) are fulfilled. Then:
i) $\mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right)=0$ if $q>0, q \neq \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{I}$, and $n \geq 0$;
ii) if further, $\mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right)=0$ for $n \geq \mathrm{I}$, then the canonical homomorphism

$$
\alpha: \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{~J}^{n} \longrightarrow \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. - The case $q>\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}$ - I is a consequence of EGA III (4.4.2), therefore we can assume that $\mathrm{o}<q<\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}$ - I. Since $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{I})$ is $\varphi$-very ample and $\varphi$ is a proper morphism, we have $\mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right)=0$ for $n \gg 0$. On the other hand, from b) we deduce the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{n} \longrightarrow i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{o}, \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives rise to the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n+1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n)\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n)\right)=j_{*} \mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n)=\mathrm{H}^{q}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n)\right)=0 \quad[\mathrm{by}(\mathrm{A})]$, consequently the canonical homomorphism:

$$
\mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n+1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right)
$$

is surjective and the required property follows by descending induction on $n$.

In order to prove ii) we remark that from the normality of $y$ on $Y$ it follows $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y}}$. In fact, consider Stein's factorisation

where $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ is a coherent $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-algebra and, therefore, $\varphi_{1}$ is a finite morphism [EGA III (3.2.1) and II (6.I.3)]; we conclude remarking that $\varphi_{1}$, in view of Zariski Main's Theorem, is an isomorphism, since it establishes an isomorphism between $Y_{1}-\varphi_{1}^{-1}(y)$ and $\mathrm{Y}-y$.

Next we prove that $\varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J}$; this follows from the commutative diagram
(4)

in which the first vertical arrow is the isomorphism established above, $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=y$, the second one is the isomorphism which follows from $\varphi_{*} i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}=i_{*} \varphi_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}=$ $=j_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}$ and the kernels of the horizontal arrows are J and $\varphi_{*}(\mathrm{I})$ respectively.

It remains to be proved that $\alpha_{n}$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 2$. From the commutative diagram
(5)

we deduce that $\alpha_{n}$ is injective. From the exact sequence (I), and since $\mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n+1}=\mathrm{o}$, one can obtain the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n} \longrightarrow \varphi_{*} i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\varphi_{*} I^{n} / \varphi_{*} I^{n+1} \cong \varphi_{*} i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s)=j_{*} \varphi_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s)$; we get the commutative diagram

in which $\alpha_{n}^{\prime}$ is the canonical homomorphism [EGA II (3.3.2)] and all the involved sheaves are concentrated in $y$. But $\bar{\alpha}_{1}$ is a surjection, since $\alpha_{1}$ is an
isomorphism; hence $\alpha_{n}^{\prime}$ is a surjection too, and therefore $\alpha_{n}$ is surjective for every $n \geq$ I [because of (A) which implies that the graded algebra $\underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \varphi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(n s)\right)$ is generated by its component of degree one]. In this way, $\bar{\alpha}_{n}$ is an isomorphism and then $\alpha_{n}$ is an isomorphism too, using the fact that this is true for $n \gg 0$ [EGA III (2.3.1)].

It is useful to remark that the additional hypothesis $\mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n}=\mathrm{o}$ is always fulfilled if $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq 3$ [by (i)].

Corollary. - In the above conditions we have:
(i) The canonical homomorphism

$$
\alpha^{\prime}: \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{~J}^{n} / \mathrm{J}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \varphi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism;
(ii) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime}: \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}^{n} / \mathrm{J}^{n+1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{(s)}
$$

where $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is the graded K -algebra such that $\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{(s)}\right)_{n}=\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{n s}$ [see EGA II].

Proof. - (i) follows considering again the commutative diagram (7) in which $\bar{\alpha}_{n}$ is this time an isomorphism, since this is true for $\alpha_{n}$; (ii) follows from (i) and from (A).

Remark. - Using the same notations as in Proposition I, if $\mathrm{O}_{y}$ is the local ring of $y$ on Y and $m_{y}$ its maximal ideal, the above corollary points out the existence of a canonical isomorphism

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime}: \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} m_{y}^{n} / m_{y}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{(s)}
$$

In particular, the dimension of Zariski's tangent space of Y at $y$ is exactly $\operatorname{dim}_{K} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{s}$. [the dimension of the $s$-th component of the graded algebra $\left.S\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right]$.

If $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}_{r-1}$, then $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~T}_{r}\right]$ and $\operatorname{dim} m_{y}^{n} / m_{y}^{n+1}=\binom{n s+r-\mathrm{I}}{r-\mathrm{I}}$, while the multiplicity of the local ring $\mathrm{O}_{y}$ is $s^{r-1}$ (see [3]).

On the other hand, if $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ satisfies $a$ ) and $b$ ) with $s=\mathrm{I}$, then $g r_{\mathrm{O}_{y}}\left(m_{y}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$; in other words, the dimension of Zariski's tangent space of $Y$ at $y$ and the multiplicity of $\mathrm{O}_{y}$ respectively coincide with the dimension of Zariski's tangent space of the affine cone defined by $S\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ at its vertex and the multiplicity of the local ring corresponding to the vertex of this cone.

Next we make some remarks concerning the blowing-up of an algebraic surface $Y$ at a normal point, $y$, whose exceptional locus is an elliptic curve. In this case the condition a) fulfilled because $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is a plane curve of degree 3 and the condition $b$ ) can be stated in the form:
$\left.b^{\prime}\right) \quad \operatorname{deg} i(\mathrm{I})=3 s$ with $s$ an integer, $s>0$.

In fact it is sufficient to observe that $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})=3$ on an elliptic curve; conversely, every invertible sheaf of degree 3 is very ample and gives 1 ise to an imbedding of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in the projective plane (straightforward consequence of Riemann-Roch's theorem).

Proposition 2. - Let Y be an algebraic surface, $y \in \mathrm{Y}$ a normal point, $\varphi: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ the blowing-up of Y at $y$. Assume that the exceptional locus is an elliptic curve and that $\operatorname{deg} i^{*} \mathrm{I}=3$ s with $s$ a strictly positive integer. Then the canonical homomorphism

$$
\alpha: \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{~J}^{n} \longrightarrow \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, and $\mathrm{R}^{q} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right)=\mathrm{o}$ for $q \geq 2$ or for $q=\mathrm{I}$ and $n \geq \mathrm{I}$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{I}$.

Proof. - By Proposition I and the remark made above, we have only to prove that $\mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n}=\mathrm{o}$ if $n \geq \mathrm{I}$; but we are sure that $\mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*} \mathrm{I}^{n}=\mathrm{o}$ for $n \gg 0$. From the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{n} \longrightarrow i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{o},
$$

we deduce the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n+1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{1} \varphi_{*}\left(i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s)\right) .
$$

In view of (A) (in which we take $d=\mathrm{I}, m_{1}=3, \mathrm{~N}=0$ ), $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(n s)\right.$ ) is the dual of the vector space $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(-n s)\right)$, which is zero if $n>0$ and isomorphic with K if $n=0$. This proves our proposition.

We wish to investigate now the following problem: let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a projective variety, $i: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} C \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ a closed immersion given by an invertible ideal, I , such that the conditions $a$ ) and $b$ ) are fulfilled; when does exist an algebraic variety Y with a proper morphism $\varphi: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ such that X coincides with the blowingup of Y at some normal point $y$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ with the exceptional locus of $\varphi$ ? When such a variety exists we say that X is contractible along $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and Y will be referred to the contraction of X along $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. It follows immediately that this contraction is unique up to an isomorphism, if it exists.

Proposition 3. - Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a projective variety, $i: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}$ a closed immersion given by an invertible sheaf of ideals I , such that X is normal in every point of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. Assume that $\mathrm{R}^{1} \psi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{o}$, where $\psi: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ is the canonical continuous map into the quotient space T obtained by identifying the points of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. Then, if the conditions a) and b) are fulfilled, X is contractable along $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

First of all we prove the following:
Lemma. - In the hypotheses stated above, there exists an open neighbourhood U of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in X such that the following conditions are fulfilled:
i) The homomorphism of restriction

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{I}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)
$$

is surjective;
ii) $p \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{I}$, where $p=\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{I})$.

Proof. - Consider the commutative diagram (in the category of topological spaces):

and the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I} \longrightarrow i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

which gives rise to the exact sequence

$$
\psi_{*}(\mathrm{I}) \longrightarrow \psi_{*} i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{1} \psi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

In particular, we get the surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\psi_{*}(\mathrm{I})\right)_{y} \longrightarrow\left(\psi_{*} i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)_{y^{\prime}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\left(\psi_{*}(\mathrm{I})\right)_{y}=\underset{\mathrm{V} \exists y}{\lim } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}, \psi_{*}(\mathrm{I})\right)=\underset{\mathrm{U} \supset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}}{\lim } \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{I})$ and $\left(\psi_{*} i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)_{y}=\left(j_{*}^{\prime} \varphi_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)_{y}=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\{y\}, \varphi_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)$.

Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over K , the surjectivity of (*) and the definition of the direct limit show the existence of a neighbourhood $U$ satisfying i).

In order to prove ii), we remark that this assertion is equivalent to the one that the invertible sheaf I is generated by its sections on $U$, i.e. $\mathrm{U}=\cup_{f} \mathrm{U}_{f}\left(f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{I})\right)$, where $\mathrm{U}_{f}=\{x \in \mathrm{U} / f(x) \neq \mathrm{o}\}$. But since $i^{*} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)$, we have $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\cup_{f^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left[f^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right)\right]$. Let $x \in \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a point and $f^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}(s)\right)$ a section such that $x \in \mathrm{X}_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime} ;$ by i$)$, there exists a section $f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I})$ such that $f^{\prime}=i^{*}(f)$. Then $x \in \mathrm{X}_{f}$, which implies that $\mathrm{X}_{f}$ contains a whole neighbourhood $\mathrm{U}_{x}$ of $x$. We finish the proof of ii) by taking for U the union of $\mathrm{U}_{x}$ with $x \in \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

Proof of Proposition 3. - We can now assume that the conditions of the lemma are fulfilled for X , replacing, if necessary, X by U , since the problem is local along $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. Consider the commutative diagram

where $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right), \varphi_{1}$ corresponds to the identity of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$, and the closed immersion $j_{1}$ to the surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$
[whose kernel is $p=\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I})$ ]. Let $\pi: \mathrm{X}_{1}=\operatorname{Proj} \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} p^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ be the blowing-up of Y at $y$. Since $\mathrm{I}=\varphi_{1}^{-1}(p)=p \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is invertible, by the universal property of the blowing-up, we get a unique Y -morphism $\varepsilon: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{1}$, which corresponds to the canonical inclusion $\underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} p^{n} \rightarrow \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{n}\right)$, such that $\varepsilon^{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{I}$. In order to prove the required result, it is sufficient to show that $\varepsilon\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\pi^{-1}(y)$ and that $\varepsilon$ is an open immersion in a certain open neighbourhood of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

The composed homomorphism of graded algebras

$$
\underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} p^{n} \longrightarrow \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n)\right)
$$

(which corresponds to the morphism $\varepsilon_{\circ} i$ ) is surjective, since its first component is so [condition i) of the lemma] and the graded algebra $\underset{n \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s n)\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(s)\right)$ [by (A)]. It follows that the morphism $\varepsilon \circ i: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is a closed immersion, consequently the inclusion $\varepsilon \circ i\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right) \subset$ $C \pi^{-1}(y)$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{I} \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{I}$, or $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X} \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{1}$; hence $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{1}, \varphi_{1}$ being dominating. From the assumption that X is a birational morphism, therefore $\varepsilon$ is birational too.

Since $\varepsilon \circ i$ is an immersion and $\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\pi^{-1}(y)\right)=\varphi_{1}^{-1}(y)=\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, we have $\varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon(x))=\{x\}$ for every $x \in \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$; hence every $x \in \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is isolated in its fibre with respect to $\varepsilon$. By Zariski's Main Theorem, there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{0}$ of $X^{\prime}$ in $X$ and an open immersion $\eta: U_{0} \subset \longrightarrow Z$, with $Z$ the normalisation of X , such that the following diagram

is commutative ( $\xi$ is the canonical finite morphism). It is easily seen that $\eta\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a connected component of $(\pi \circ \xi)^{-1}(y)$ and, since $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ is normal, Zariski's Connectedness Theorem shows that $\eta\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)=(\pi \circ \xi)^{-1}(y)$, i.e. $\pi^{-1}(y)=$ $=\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$. Consequently $\xi / \eta\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a bijection and, since it is a finite morphism, for every $z \in \eta\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ the homomorphism of local rings

$$
\xi_{z}^{*}: \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \xi(z)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{z}, z}
$$

is finite; hence, for every $x \in \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, the homomorphism

$$
\varepsilon_{x}^{*}: \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \varepsilon(x)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{Z, z}
$$

is finite. Finally, the commutative diagram

in which the lines are exact and the last vertical arrow is surjective, together with the assumption $p \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{I}$, show that

$$
m_{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \varepsilon(x)} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}=m_{\mathrm{X}, x}
$$

Therefore $\varepsilon_{x}^{*}$ is an isomorphism, because the local rings $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \varepsilon(x)}$ have the same residue fields and one can apply Nakayama's lemma. Hence $\varepsilon$ is biregular in every point of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, which completes the proof.

Theorem. - Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a projective variety, and $i: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}$ a closed immersion given by an invertible sheaf of ideals I , such that X is projective and normal at every point of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. Assume that there exists a suitable immersion $h: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \subset \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{n}$ such that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ and the Picard group of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is generated by the class of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})=h^{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{n}}(\mathrm{I})$. Then X is contractible along $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ if, and only if, $i^{*} \mathrm{I}$ is ample on $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and, in this case, the contraction Y (which is unique) is also projective.

Remarks. - a) The condition that Pic $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is generated by the class of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})$ is always fulfilled if $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \geq 3$ [by (B)], as well as for $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=2$ or $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{I}$ if $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}_{1}$ respectively.
b) In [ I ] a more general criterion for contractibility is given (and, in particular, a more general criterion for contractibility to a point): but the contraction is there only an algebraic space. On the contrary, the previous theorem gives sufficient conditions in order that the contraction is in fact an algebraic variety.

Proof. - We recall that, in the proof of Proposition 3, the cohomological condition $\mathrm{R}^{1} \psi_{*}\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{o}$ has been used only for deducing the condition i) of the lemma [from which condition ii) follows restraining again X along $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ].

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the condition " $i^{*} \mathrm{I}$ is ample on $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ " can be replaced by " $i^{*} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)$ where $s>0$ ".

We shall prove that the condition i) of the lemma is a consequence of the hypothesis of projectivity of X . Let then H be a hyperplane section on X (i.e. a very ample invertible sheaf on X ) and therefore $i^{*} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(m)$, where $m>0$, since Pic $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is generated by $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{I})$; further we can suppose $m$ a multiple of $s$, replacing, if necessary, H by $\mathrm{H}^{s}$ : hence $m=s t$ with $t \in Z$. For every integer $N$, we have the exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{o} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{n} \longrightarrow i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s \mathrm{~N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

[because $\imath^{*} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)$ ]. If we choose a positive integer $n$ sufficiently large such that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}(n))=0$, where $\mathrm{I}(n)=\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{\otimes n}$, we get by tensorising with $\mathrm{I}(n)$ the exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{O} \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}+2}(n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}+1}(n) \longrightarrow i_{*} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s(\mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{I}+t n)) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which gives rise to the exact sequence of cohomology:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}+2}(n)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}+1}(n)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s(\mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{I}+t n))\right.
$$
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But $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(a)\right)=\mathrm{o}$ if $a \geq 0$ since either $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}_{1}$ or, if $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \geq 2$, one can apply (A); hence $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}(n))=0$ implies (by taking inductively $\mathrm{N}=-\mathrm{I},-2, \cdots,-t n-\mathrm{I})$ that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n+2}(n)\right)=\mathrm{o}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n+1}(n)\right)=\mathrm{o}$. In other words, the homomorphisms of restriction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n+1}(n)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}(s)\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n}(n)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are surjective. From the second surjection it follows the existence of a section $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n}(n)\right)$ such that $i^{*}(\alpha)=\mathrm{I}$, and, if D is the Cartier divisor of $\alpha$, we have $X^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{Supp} D=\varnothing$, i.e., $X^{\prime} \subset U=X-\operatorname{Supp} D$; then $D / U$ is linearly equivalent with the zero divisor and therefore $\mathrm{I}^{-t n+1}(n) / \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{U}$. The first surjection and the commutative diagram

prove the existence of the contraction Y (which is unique).
We have now only to prove that Y is projective. But $\mathrm{I}^{-n t}(n) / \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is very ample on $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, since $\mathrm{I}^{-t n}(n) / \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}^{\otimes n} / \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

On the other hand, if $\alpha$ is the section considered above, the canonical rational map $u: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n}(n)\right)\right)$ is every where defined and it is an isomorphism between $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and $u\left(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n}(n)\right)\right)$ is the projective space associated to the finite dimensional vector space $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}^{-t n}(n)\right)$. But $u\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a single point, since, if one chooses a basis $\alpha_{1}=\alpha, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}$ of this vector space such that $i^{*}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=0$ for $i \geq 2$, then $u\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)=(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}, \cdots, \mathrm{o})=y^{\prime}$. The projectivity of X implies that $u(\mathrm{X})$ is also projective. One can suppose $u(\mathrm{X})$ normal in $y^{\prime}$, replacing, if necessary, $u(\mathrm{X})$ by its normalisation (which remains projective). Then the contraction must be isomorphic with $u(\mathrm{X})$, and so Y is projective, which completes the proof of the theorem.
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