

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

MANJULA VERMA

**Union Curvature Tensors and Union Correspondence  
between two Hypersurfaces of a Finsler Space**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **51** (1971), n.5, p. 337–345.  
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei*

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1971\\_8\\_51\\_5\\_337\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1971_8_51_5_337_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1971.

**Geometria differenziale.** — *Union Curvature Tensors and Union Correspondence between two Hypersurfaces of a Finsler Space.* Nota (\*) di MANJULA VERMA, presentata dal Socio E. BOMPIANI.

**SUNTO.** — Si studiano le proprietà di una corrispondenza puntuale fra due ipersuperficie in uno spazio di Finsler in relazione alle loro «union curves».

### I. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider two hypersurfaces  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  immersed in an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Finsler space  $F_{n+1}$ . These hypersurfaces are associated with a coordinate system  $u^\alpha$ . The coordinates  $x^i$  of the space are such that  $x = x^i(u^\alpha)$  and  $\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(u^\alpha)$  (where,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ ;  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) hold for  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  respectively.

Consider a unit vector field  $\lambda^i$  tangential to a congruence of curves in  $F_{n+1}$ . At the points of  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  we may write

$$(1.1)a \quad \lambda^i(x, x') = t^{*\alpha}(u, u') X_\alpha^i + C^*(u, u') n^{*i}(u, u')$$

and

$$(1.1)b \quad \bar{\lambda}^i(x, x') = \bar{t}^{*\alpha}(u, u') \bar{X}_\alpha^i + \bar{C}^*(u, u') \bar{n}^{*i}(u, u'),$$

where

$$x'^i = \frac{dx^i}{ds}, \quad u'^\alpha = \frac{du^\alpha}{ds}, \quad X_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha}, \quad \bar{X}_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial u^\alpha}$$

and  $n^{*i}, \bar{n}^{*i}$  are the secondary normals to  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  respectively (Rund [2]).

### 2. UNION CURVES FOR A HYPERSURFACE $F_n$

The first curvature vectors  $q^i$  and  $p^\alpha$  of a curve  $C$  of  $F_n$  are such that

$$(2.1) \quad q^i = p^\alpha X_\alpha^i + \Omega_{\alpha\beta}^*(u, u') \frac{du^\alpha}{ds} \frac{du^\beta}{ds} n^{*i},$$

where  $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^*$  are the components of the second fundamental tensor of the hypersurface. The differential equations of the union curve of the hypersurface

(\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 12 ottobre 1971.

are given by

$$(2.2) \quad p^\gamma = \frac{\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^* \frac{du^\alpha}{ds} \frac{du^\beta}{ds}}{C^*} \left( t^{*\gamma} - t^* \frac{du^\gamma}{ds} \right),$$

where

$$t^* = g_{\alpha\beta}(u, u') t^{*\alpha} \frac{du^\beta}{ds},$$

since

$$(2.3) \quad p^\gamma = \frac{d^2 u^\gamma}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma}(u, u') \frac{du^\beta}{ds} \frac{du^\tau}{ds},$$

(where  $\Gamma_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma}$  is the induced connection parameter). Therefore union curve of the hypersurface  $F_n$  relative to  $\lambda^i$  can be written as

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d^2 u^\gamma}{ds^2} + U_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma} \frac{du^\beta}{ds} \frac{du^\tau}{ds} = 0$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad U_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma} = \Gamma_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} (\delta_\theta^\gamma \delta_\beta^\varepsilon - \delta_\beta^\gamma \delta_\theta^\varepsilon) t^{*\theta} g_{\tau\varepsilon}.$$

### 3. UNION CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN $F_n$ AND $\bar{F}_n$ .

**THEOREM (3.1).** *The differential equations of the union curve referred to an arbitrary parameter  $t$  are given by*

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{u}^\alpha \ddot{u}^\beta - \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta = (U_{\delta\theta}^{*\beta} \dot{u}^\alpha - U_{\delta\theta}^{*\alpha} \dot{u}^\beta) \dot{u}^\delta \dot{u}^\theta,$$

where

$$\dot{u}^\alpha = \frac{du^\alpha}{dt}, \quad \ddot{u}^\alpha = \frac{d^2 u^\alpha}{dt^2}.$$

*Proof.* It is easily seen that when we perform parametric transformation  $t = t(s)$  (with  $\frac{dt}{ds} \neq 0$ ) equation (2.4) becomes

$$(3.2) \quad \ddot{u}^\gamma + U_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma} \dot{u}^\beta \dot{u}^\tau - \dot{u}^\gamma \left( \frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} \right) / \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right) = 0$$

from which the equation (3.1) follows immediately.

**DEFINITION.** The hypersurfaces  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  with the metric tensors  $g_{\alpha\beta}(x, \dot{x})$ ,  $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}(x, \dot{x})$  are said to be in union correspondence (more precisely in  $u_\lambda$ -correspondence) if their union curves relative to the congruence  $\lambda^i$  are the same.

Putting

$$(3.3) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} (\delta_\theta^\alpha \delta_\beta^\varepsilon - \delta_\beta^\alpha \delta_\theta^\varepsilon) t^{*\theta} g_{\gamma\varepsilon}$$

we get after contraction

$$(3.4) \quad \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\beta\alpha}^{*\alpha} = (n-1) \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^*.$$

In order to study the properties of hypersurfaces in union correspondence we introduce

$$(3.5) \quad A_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \bar{U}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}.$$

Defining  $\Gamma_\beta^* = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{*\alpha}$  and using the equations (3.4) we get

$$(3.6) \quad A_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha = \bar{\Gamma}_\beta^* - \Gamma_\beta^* = \varphi_{(1)\beta} \quad (\text{suppose})$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad A_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha = \varphi_{(1)\beta} + (n-1) \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\beta^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \right) = \varphi_{(2)\beta} \quad (\text{suppose})$$

where  $g = |g_{\alpha\beta}|$ ,  $\bar{g} = |\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}|$  and  $K_n^*$ ,  $\bar{K}_n^*$  are normal curvatures to  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  in the direction of a curve  $C$ .

**THEOREM (3.2).** *The necessary and sufficient condition that the two hypersurfaces  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  ( $n \neq 3$ ), be in  $u_\lambda$ -correspondence is that*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{U}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} &+ \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\gamma} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\gamma^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\gamma^* \right) \right\} \delta_\beta^\alpha + \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\beta} - \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\beta^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \right) \right\} \delta_\gamma^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From (3.1), it is clear that the hypersurfaces are in  $u_\lambda$ -correspondence if and only if

$$(3.9) \quad (\bar{U}_{\delta\theta}^{*\alpha} - U_{\delta\theta}^{*\alpha}) u^\theta \dot{u}^\delta \dot{u}^\theta - (\bar{U}_{\delta\theta}^{*\beta} - U_{\delta\theta}^{*\beta}) u^\beta \dot{u}^\delta \dot{u}^\theta = 0,$$

which in view of (3.5) becomes

$$(3.10) \quad (A_{\delta\theta}^\alpha \delta_\gamma^\beta - A_{\delta\theta}^\beta \delta_\gamma^\alpha) u^\gamma \dot{u}^\delta \dot{u}^\theta = 0.$$

Since this equation holds for arbitrary  $\dot{u}^\gamma$ , we have

$$(3.11) \quad A_{\delta\theta}^\alpha \delta_\gamma^\beta + A_{\theta\gamma}^\delta \delta_\delta^\theta + A_{\gamma\delta}^\alpha \delta_\theta^\beta = A_{\delta\theta}^\beta \delta_\gamma^\alpha + A_{\theta\gamma}^\beta \delta_\delta^\alpha + A_{\gamma\delta}^\beta \delta_\theta^\alpha.$$

Contraction of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in (3.11) yields

$$(3.12) \quad (n-1) A_{\delta\theta}^\alpha + 2 A_{\theta\delta}^\beta = \varphi_{(1)\theta} \delta_\delta^\alpha + \varphi_{(2)\delta} \delta_\theta^\alpha.$$

Interchanging  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  in (3.12) and solving for  $A_{\delta\theta}^\alpha$  from the two equations we get

$$(3.13) \quad A_{\delta\theta}^\alpha = \frac{1}{(n-3)(n+1)} \{ \varphi_{(1)\theta} \delta_\delta^\alpha (n-1) - 2 \varphi_{(2)\theta} \delta_\theta^\alpha + (n-1) \varphi_{(2)\delta} \delta_\theta^\alpha - 2 \varphi_{(1)\delta} \delta_\theta^\alpha \}.$$

Simplifying (3.13) with the help of (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad A_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\gamma} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\gamma^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\gamma^* \right) \right\} \delta_\beta^\alpha + \\ + \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\beta} - \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\beta^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \right) \right\} \delta_\gamma^\alpha.$$

Substituting in (3.5) the value of  $A_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  from (3.14) we deduce the required equation (3.8) as required.

For  $n = 3$ , the equation (3.12) determines only the symmetric part of  $A_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ . However, we shall assume that  $n \neq 3$ .

Suppose, in particular, that the congruence  $\lambda^i$  is normal to the subspace  $\bar{F}_n$ . We have then  $\Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{**\alpha} = 0$  and the union curve of  $\bar{F}_n$  is a geodesic of the hypersurface (Mishra and Singh [1]). The Theorem (3.2) will, therefore, take the form,

**THEOREM (3.3).** *A union curve of the hypersurface  $F_n$  will correspond to a geodesic of  $\bar{F}_n$  if*

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} + \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\gamma} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\gamma^* \right\} \delta_\beta^\alpha + \\ + \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\beta} + \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \right\} \delta_\gamma^\alpha.$$

Proceeding as in the case of Theorem (3.2), we can deduce that in order that the spaces  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  may be in geodesic correspondence (that is, a geodesic of  $F_n$  may correspond to geodesic of  $\bar{F}_n$ ) it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(3.16) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} + \frac{1}{(n+1)} \{ \varphi_{(1)\gamma} \delta_\beta^\alpha + \varphi_{(1)\beta} \delta_\gamma^\alpha \}.$$

Putting

$$U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} + \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}$$

and corresponding equation for  $\bar{F}_n$  in (3.8) we get

$$(3.17) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} (\varphi_{(1)\gamma} \delta_\beta^\alpha + \varphi_{(1)\beta} \delta_\gamma^\alpha) \\ = \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - \bar{\Lambda}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} + \frac{(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left\{ (n-1) \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\gamma^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\gamma^* \right) \delta_\beta^\alpha - \right. \\ \left. - 2 \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_\beta^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \right) \delta_\gamma^\alpha \right\}.$$

**THEOREM (3.4) a.** A necessary and sufficient condition that the  $u_\lambda$ -correspondence between the hypersurfaces  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  may yield the geodesic correspondence between the spaces is that the tensor

$$B_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\gamma^* \delta_\beta^\alpha + \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \delta_\gamma^\alpha,$$

is invariant relative to  $u_\lambda$ -correspondence.

The following Theorem is an immediate consequence of equation (3.8).

**THEOREM (3.4) b.** If the hypersurfaces  $F_n$  and  $\bar{F}_n$  are in  $u_\lambda$ -correspondence then the connection parameter

$$V_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} = U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - \frac{1}{(n+1)} \left[ \left( \Gamma_\gamma^* + \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\gamma^* \right) \delta_\beta^\alpha - \left( \Gamma_\beta^* + \frac{2(n-1)}{(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_\beta^* \right) \delta_\gamma^\alpha \right]$$

is invariant.

#### 4. UNION CURVATURE TENSOR

It is obvious from (2.5) that  $U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}$  is an asymmetric connection, called union connection parameter. The connection  $U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}$  will be used in defining the following two methods of covariant differentiation of a tensor of the type  $T_\beta^\alpha(u, u')$  (Verma [3]).

$$(4.1) \quad T_{\beta,\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{\partial T_\beta^\alpha}{\partial u^\gamma} + \frac{\partial T_\beta^\alpha}{\partial u'^\delta} \frac{\partial u'^\delta}{\partial u^\gamma} + T_\beta^\rho U_{\rho\gamma}^{*\alpha} - T_\rho^\alpha U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad T_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{\partial T_\beta^\alpha}{\partial u^\gamma} + \frac{\partial T_\beta^\alpha}{\partial u'^\delta} \frac{\partial u'^\delta}{\partial u^\gamma} + T_\beta^\rho U_{\gamma\rho}^{*\alpha} - T_\rho^\alpha U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}.$$

Suppose  $\vartheta^\alpha$  are the contravariant components of a vector field of the hypersurface. The above two methods of covariant differentiation yield the commutative formulae

$$(4.3) \quad \vartheta_{;\beta\gamma}^\alpha - \vartheta_{;\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \vartheta^\delta R_{\delta\gamma\beta}^\alpha + \vartheta_{;\theta}^\alpha (U_{\gamma\beta}^{*\theta} - U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\theta})$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \vartheta_{;\beta\gamma}^\alpha - \vartheta_{;\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \vartheta^\delta R_{\delta\gamma\beta}^\alpha + \vartheta_{;\theta}^\alpha (U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\theta} - U_{\gamma\beta}^{*\theta})$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\tau\alpha\beta}^\sigma &= \left( \frac{\partial U_{\tau\alpha}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u^\beta} + \frac{\partial U_{\tau\alpha}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u'^\delta} \frac{\partial u'^\delta}{\partial u^\beta} \right) - \\ &- \left( \frac{\partial U_{\tau\beta}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u^\alpha} + \frac{\partial U_{\tau\beta}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u'^\delta} \frac{\partial u'^\delta}{\partial u^\alpha} \right) + U_{\gamma\beta}^{*\sigma} U_{\tau\alpha}^{*\gamma} - U_{\gamma\alpha}^{*\sigma} U_{\tau\beta}^{*\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} &= \left( \frac{\partial U_{\alpha\tau}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial U_{\alpha\tau}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u'^{\delta}} \frac{\partial u'^{\delta}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \right) - \\ &- \left( \frac{\partial U_{\beta\tau}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial U_{\beta\tau}^{*\sigma}}{\partial u'^{\delta}} \frac{\partial u'^{\delta}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \right) + U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} U_{\alpha\tau}^{*\gamma} - U_{\alpha\gamma}^{*\sigma} U_{\beta\tau}^{*\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

are called the union curvature tensors of the first and second kinds of the hypersurface.

Let us suppose that

$$(4.7)a \quad \mu_{\beta} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\beta} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} t_{\beta}^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_{\beta}^* \right)$$

and

$$(4.7)b \quad v_{\tau} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \varphi_{(1)\tau} - \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \left( \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} t_{\tau}^* - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_{\tau}^* \right).$$

Thus the equation (3.8) can be written in the following form —

$$(4.8) \quad \bar{U}_{\tau\beta}^{*\sigma} = U_{\tau\beta}^{*\sigma} + \mu_{\beta} \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} + v_{\tau} \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma}.$$

Using the expression for  $R_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma}$  and substituting the value of  $\bar{U}_{\tau\beta}^{*\sigma}$  from (4.8) in the corresponding expression for  $\bar{R}_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma}$  (the union curvature tensor of the first kind of  $\bar{F}_n$ ) and noting the fact that

$$(4.9) \quad U_{\tau\beta}^{*\sigma} - U_{\beta\tau}^{*\sigma} = \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} (\delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} t_{\tau}^* - \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} t_{\beta}^*)$$

we obtain after some simplifications

$$(4.10) \quad \bar{R}_{\tau\alpha\sigma}^{\sigma} = R_{\tau\alpha\sigma}^{\sigma} + \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} (M_{\beta\alpha} - M_{\alpha\beta}) - \delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma} N_{\tau\beta} + \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} N_{\tau\alpha},$$

where

$$(4.10)a \quad M_{\alpha\beta} = \mu_{\alpha,\beta} - \mu_{\alpha} \mu_{\beta} - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} \mu_{\alpha} t_{\beta}^*$$

and

$$(4.10)b \quad N_{\tau\beta} = v_{\tau,\beta} - v_{\tau} v_{\beta} - \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} v_{\tau} t_{\beta}^*$$

and where we have also used the fact

$$U_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - U_{\gamma\beta}^{*\alpha} = \Lambda_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} - \Lambda_{\gamma\beta}^{*\alpha} = \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} (\delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} t_{\beta}^* - \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} t_{\gamma}^*).$$

Further we have

$$(4.11) \quad \bar{R}_{\tau\alpha\sigma}^{\sigma} = \bar{R}_{\tau\alpha} = R_{\tau\alpha} + (M_{\tau\alpha} - M_{\alpha\tau}) + (n-1) N_{\tau\alpha}.$$

**THEOREM (4.1).** *The union curvature tensors of the first kind for the spaces  $\bar{F}_n$ ,  $F_n$  are given by (4.10) and the union Ricci tensors for the two spaces satisfy (4.4).*

A similar calculation based on the equations (4.6) and (4.8) yields the following Theorem.

**THEOREM (4.2).** *The union curvature tensors of the second kind for the spaces  $\bar{F}_n$ ,  $F_n$  are given by*

$$(4.12) \quad \bar{R}_{\tau\alpha\sigma}^{\sigma} = R_{\tau\alpha\sigma}^{\sigma} + \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} (N_{\beta\alpha} - N_{\alpha\beta}) - \delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma} M_{\tau\beta} + \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} M_{\tau\alpha}.$$

where

$$(4.13)a \quad M_{\alpha\beta} = \mu_{\alpha;\beta} - \mu_{\alpha} \mu_{\beta} + \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} \mu_{\alpha} t_{\beta}^*$$

and

$$(4.13)b \quad N_{\tau\beta} = v_{\tau;\beta} - v_{\tau} v_{\beta} + \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} v_{\tau} t_{\beta}^*,$$

and the union Ricci tensors of the second kind for the two spaces satisfy

$$(4.13) \quad \bar{R}_{\tau\alpha} = \bar{R}_{\tau\alpha\sigma}^{\sigma} = R_{\tau\alpha} + (N_{\tau\alpha} - N_{\alpha\tau}) + (n-1) M_{\tau\alpha}.$$

The proof of this Theorem is similar to that of the Theorem (4.2).

## 5. INVARIANTS ARISING OUT OF $u_{\lambda}$ -CORRESPONDENCE

In order to obtain an invariant involving  $R_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma}$  and  $R_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma}$  several relations which are given below will be used.

Let us suppose that

$$(5.1)a \quad \lambda_{(1)\beta} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \Gamma_{\beta}^* + \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_{\beta}^*$$

and

$$(5.1)b \quad \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\beta} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta}^* + \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{\bar{C}^*} \bar{t}_{\beta}^*.$$

Subtracting (5.1)a from (5.1)b and making use of the equations (3.6) and (4.7)a we get

$$(5.2) \quad \mu_{\beta} = \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\beta} - \lambda_{(1)\beta}.$$

Further suppose that

$$(5.3)a \quad \lambda_{(2)\beta} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \Gamma_{\beta}^* - \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_{\beta}^*$$

and

$$(5.3)b \quad \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\beta} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \bar{U}_{\beta}^* - \frac{2(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-3)} \frac{\bar{K}_n^*}{C^*} \bar{t}_{\beta}^*.$$

Subtracting (5.3)a from (5.3)b and using the equations (3.6) and (4.7)b we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad v_{\beta} = \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\beta} - \lambda_{(2)\beta}.$$

Using the equations of the type (2.5) we get (after some simplifications)

$$(5.5) \quad \mu_{\gamma} (U_{\alpha\beta}^* - U_{\beta\alpha}^*) = \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} (\mu_{\beta} t_{\alpha}^* - \mu_{\alpha} t_{\beta}^*)$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{K_n^*}{C^*} t_{\beta}^* = \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} (\lambda_{(1)\beta} - \lambda_{(2)\beta}).$$

Using the equation (4.8) and denoting by  $\bar{\lambda}_{(1)\alpha\tau\beta}$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_{(2)\alpha\tau\beta}$  the covariant differentiations of the type (4.1) with respect to the connection parameter  $\bar{U}_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}$ , we get after some simplifications

$$(5.7)a \quad \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\alpha\tau\beta} = \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\alpha,\beta} - \mu_{\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\alpha} + v_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\beta}$$

and

$$(5.7)b \quad \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau\alpha\beta} = \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau,\alpha\beta} - \mu_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau\beta} + v_{\tau} \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\alpha\beta}.$$

**THEOREM (5.1).** *The tensor  $S_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma}$  defined by*

$$(5.8) \quad S_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} = \underset{1}{R}_{\tau\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} + \left\{ \lambda_{(1)\alpha,\beta} - \lambda_{(1)\beta,\alpha} + \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} (\lambda_{(1)\alpha} \lambda_{(2)\beta} - \lambda_{(1)\beta} \lambda_{(2)\alpha}) \right\} \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} - \\ - \left\{ \lambda_{(2)\tau,\alpha} + \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha} - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(1)\alpha} \right\} \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} + \\ + \left\{ \lambda_{(2)\tau,\beta} + \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\beta} - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(1)\beta} \right\} \delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma},$$

is an invariant with respect to  $u_{\lambda}$ -correspondence.

*Proof.* Using the equation (5.5), (5.7)a and (5.7)b and (4.8) we deduce

$$(5.9) \quad \underset{1}{M}_{\alpha\beta} - \underset{1}{M}_{\beta\alpha} = (\bar{\lambda}_{(1)\alpha\tau\beta} - \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\beta\tau\alpha}) - (\lambda_{(1)\alpha,\beta} - \lambda_{(1)\beta,\alpha}) + \\ + \bar{\lambda}_{(1)\gamma} (\bar{U}_{\beta\alpha}^{*\gamma} - \bar{U}_{\alpha\beta}^{*\gamma}) - \lambda_{(1)\gamma} (U_{\beta\alpha}^{*\gamma} - U_{\alpha\beta}^{*\gamma})$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad \underset{1}{N}_{\tau\alpha} \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} - \underset{1}{N}_{\tau\beta} \delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma} = \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} (\bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau\alpha\beta} - \lambda_{(2)\tau\alpha\beta}) + (\bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau} \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\alpha} - \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha}) - \\ - (\bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau\alpha\beta} - \lambda_{(2)\tau\alpha\beta} + \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau} \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\alpha\beta} - \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha\beta}) - \bar{\lambda}_{(2)\tau} (\bar{U}_{\alpha\beta}^{*\sigma} - \bar{U}_{\beta\alpha}^{*\sigma}) + \\ + \lambda_{(2)\tau} (U_{\alpha\beta}^{*\sigma} - U_{\beta\alpha}^{*\sigma}).$$

Substituting the expressions (5.9) and (5.10) in (4.10) and using (4.9), we get

$$\underset{1}{S}^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\beta} = S^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\beta},$$

which proves the Theorem.

**THEOREM (5.2).** *The tensor  $\underset{1}{S}_{\tau\alpha}$  defined by*

$$(5.11) \quad \underset{1}{S}_{\tau\alpha} = \underset{1}{R}_{\tau\alpha} + \lambda_{(1)\alpha,\tau} - \lambda_{(1)\tau,\alpha} + \frac{n(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\alpha} \lambda_{(2)\tau} - \\ - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha} - (n-1) \lambda_{(2)\tau,\alpha} - 2(n-2) \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha},$$

*is an invariant with respect to  $u_{\lambda}$ -correspondence.*

*Proof.* In the above  $\underset{1}{R}_{\tau\alpha} = \underset{1}{R}^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\sigma}$  is the union Ricci tensor of the first kind.

Contracting  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  in (5.8) we get the equation (5.11).

A similar calculation based on the equations (5.2), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6), (4.8), (5.7)a and (5.7)b yields the following Theorems.

**THEOREM (5.3).** *The tensors  $\underset{2}{S}^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\beta}$  and  $\underset{2}{S}_{\tau\alpha}$  defined by*

$$(5.12) \quad \underset{2}{S}^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\beta} = \underset{2}{R}^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\tau}^{\sigma} \left\{ \lambda_{(2)\alpha;\beta} - \lambda_{(2)\beta;\alpha} - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} (\lambda_{(1)\alpha} \lambda_{(2)\beta} - \lambda_{(1)\beta} \lambda_{(2)\alpha}) \right\} + \\ + \delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \left\{ \lambda_{(1)\tau;\beta} + \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(1)\beta} - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\beta} \right\} - \\ - \delta_{\beta}^{\sigma} \left\{ \lambda_{(1)\tau;\alpha} + \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(1)\alpha} - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha} \right\}$$

and

$$(5.13) \quad \underset{2}{S}_{\tau\alpha} = \underset{2}{R}_{\tau\alpha} + \lambda_{(2)\alpha;\tau} - \lambda_{(2)\tau;\alpha} - \frac{(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(2)\tau} \lambda_{(1)\alpha} + \\ + \frac{n(n-3)}{(n-1)} \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(2)\alpha} - (n-1) \lambda_{(1)\tau;\alpha} - 2(n-2) \lambda_{(1)\tau} \lambda_{(1)\alpha}.$$

*are invariant with respect to  $u_{\lambda}$ -correspondence.*

$\underset{2}{R}_{\tau\alpha} = \underset{2}{R}^{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\sigma}$  is the union Ricci tensor of the second kind in the above Theorem.

The Author is thankful to Dr. U. P. Singh for his encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. S. MISHRA and U. P. SINGH, *On union curvature of a curve of a Finsler space*, «Tensor, N. S.», 17, 205-211 (1966).
- [2] H. RUND, *Hypersurfaces of a Finsler space*, «Can. J. Math.», 8, 487-503 (1956).
- [3] MANJULA VERMA, *Union Gaussian Curvature in Finsler spaces*, «Rev. Mat. Y. Fis. Teo», 19, 147-150 (1969).