
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

SIMEON REICH

Fixed points in complete metric spaces

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 51 (1971), n.5, p. 270–273.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1971_8_51_5_270_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Matematica. — *Fixed points in complete metric spaces* (*). Nota di SIMEON REICH, presentata (**) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Vengono stabilite varie proposizioni che forniscono condizioni sufficienti per l'esistenza di punti fissi, relativi a funzioni condensatrici a più valori su di uno spazio metrico, completo e limitato.

I. SOME DEFINITIONS

Let (X, d) be a metric space. In the sequel use will be made of the following notations:

- $P(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty subset of } X\},$
- $BN(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty bounded subset of } X\},$
- $CL(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty closed subset of } X\},$
- $CB(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty closed and bounded subset of } X\},$
- $C(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty compact subset of } X\}.$

A nonnegative function m defined on $BN(X)$ will be called a measure of noncompactness if it enjoys the following two properties:

- (i) $m(A) = 0 \iff A$ is totally bounded,
- (ii) $m(A) = 0 \Rightarrow m(A \cup B) = m(B),$

where A and B belong to $BN(X)$.

Here are three examples of such measures.

- (i) $m(A) = 0$ if A is totally bounded, $m(A) = 1$ otherwise,
- (ii) $a(A) = \inf \{r > 0 \mid A \text{ can be covered by a finite number of subsets of } X \text{ of diameter less than } r\}$ (see [4], p. 303),
- (iii) $b_x(A) = \inf \{r > 0 \mid A \text{ can be covered by a finite number of balls with centers in } X \text{ and radius } r\}.$

Here a ball with center y and radius r is the set

$$B_x(y; r) = \{x \in X \mid d(y, x) \leq r\}.$$

A real function q defined on $X \times X$ equipped with the cartesian product topology will be called a nearness function if it is lower semicontinuous.

Here are three examples of such functions.

- (i) $q(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$, $q(x, y) = 1$ otherwise,
- (ii) d , the given metric,
- (iii) any metric on X which induces a coarser topology than the one generated by d .

(*) This Note is a proper subset of the Author's M. Sc. thesis which is being written now under the supervision of Professors M. Reichaw and P. Saphar at the Department of Mathematics of the Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa.

(**) Nella seduta del 13 novembre 1971.

If A and B are nonempty subsets of X , we put

$$Q(A, B) = \inf \{ q(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B \},$$

$$H_q(A, B) = \max [\sup \{ Q(a, B) \mid a \in A \}, \sup \{ Q(A, b) \mid b \in B \}].$$

(In the last definition x is identified with $\{x\}$).

In general, both Q and H_q may take the values $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. If $q = d$ we shall write D and H for Q and H_q respectively. On $CB(X)$ H is actually a metric—the Hausdorff metric.

Let $F: X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a multi-valued function. We associate with it a function $G_F: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ by defining $G_F(A) = \bigcup \{ F(a) \mid a \in A \}$, where $A \in P(X)$.

F will be called m -condensing, m being a measure of noncompactness, if it satisfies

$$(1) \quad A \in BN(X) \wedge m(A) > 0 \Rightarrow m(G_F(A)) < m(A).$$

It will be called q -contractive, q being a nearness function, if it satisfies

$$(2) \quad x \neq y \Rightarrow H_q(F(x), F(y)) < q(x, y).$$

2. A FIXED POINT THEOREM

THEOREM 1 (Cf. [2], p. 506). *Let (X, d) be a bounded complete metric space. If a continuous $F: (X, d) \rightarrow (CB(X), H)$ is m -condensing as well as q -contractive, then it has a fixed point.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and consider $A = \{x\} \cup \{G_F^n(x) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Clearly $A = \{x\} \cup G_F(A)$. Hence $m(A) = G_F(A) = 0$. Since X is complete, the closure of A , which will be denoted by K , is compact. It is not difficult to see that the continuity of F implies the invariance of K under G_F . Define a real function p on K by $p(y) = Q(y, F(y))$, $y \in K$. p is lower semicontinuous. Therefore $p(z) = \inf \{ p(y) \mid y \in K \}$ for some $z \in K$. There exists $c \in F(z)$ such that $p(z) = q(z, c)$. If $c \neq z$, then $p(c) = Q(c, F(c)) \leq H_q(F(z), F(c)) < q(z, c)$ — a contradiction. Consequently, $c = z$ is a fixed point of F .

3. AN APPLICATION

In order to present an application of this Theorem we need several preliminary notions.

Let k be nonnegative. A multi-valued function $F: X \rightarrow P(X)$ will be called a k -set-contraction with respect to a measure of noncompactness m if it satisfies

$$(3) \quad m(G_F(A)) \leq km(A) \quad , \quad A \in BN(X).$$

Let m be fixed. If F is a k -set-contraction, we put $m(F) = \inf \{ k \mid F \text{ is a } k\text{-set-contraction} \}$.

Assume now that $F: X \rightarrow C(X)$. Then $G_F^n: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ for every $n \geq 1$ ([6], p. 157). Therefore we can define $F^n: X \rightarrow C(X)$ by $F^n(x) = G_F^n(x)$. Note that $G_{F^n} = G_F^n$. If, in addition, F is a k -set-contraction, F^n is a k^n -set-contraction. One can see that $r(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [m(F^n)]^{1/n}$ exists and equals $\inf \{ [m(F^n)]^{1/n} \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \}$ (Cf. [8], p. 476).

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, d) be a bounded complete metric space. Let a k -set-contraction $F: (X, d) \rightarrow (C(X), H)$ be continuous and q -contractive. If $r(F) < 1$, then F has a fixed point.*

Proof. For any $0 < z < 1/r(F)$ define a new measure of noncompactness by $m_z(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m(G_{F^i}(A)) z^i$, $A \subset X$. Since $m_z(G_F(A)) \leq m_z(A)/z$, F is m_z -condensing for z sufficiently near $1/r(F)$. The result now follows by Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. *Let (X, d) be a bounded complete metric space, and let $F: X \rightarrow C(X)$ be d -contractive. If for some natural n*

$$(4) \quad H(F^n(x), F^n(y)) \leq kd(x, y),$$

where $0 \leq k < 1$, then F has a fixed point.

This is a partial generalization of a result due to Nadler, Jr. ([7], p. 479).

4. ANOTHER FIXED POINT THEOREM

A generalized metric has all the properties of an ordinary metric except that it may be infinite (see [5], p. 541). For example, if (X, d) is a metric space, $(CL(X), H)$ is a generalized metric space.

THEOREM 3 (Cf. [3], p. 465). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let the continuous $F: (X, d) \rightarrow (CL(X), H)$ be b_X -condensing. If there exists a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that $D(x_n, F(x_n)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, then F has a fixed point.*

Proof. Put $A = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and consider, for any positive ε , the set $B = \{z \in X \mid D(z, G_F(A)) < \varepsilon\}$. There is a natural number N which satisfies $\{x_n\}_{n=N}^{\infty} \subset B$. It follows that $b_X(A) \leq b_X(B) \leq b_X(G_F(A)) + \varepsilon$. Hence $b_X(A) \leq b_X(G_F(A))$, so that the closure of A is compact. Let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a convergent subsequence with limit y . y is a fixed point of F because $D(y, F(y)) \leq d(y, y_n) + D(y_n, F(y)) \leq d(y, y_n) + D(y_n, F(y_n)) + H(F(y_n), F(y)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Observe that " b_X -condensing" can be replaced by " α -condensing" in the statement of the Theorem.

COROLLARY 2 (Cf. [7], p. 484). *Let (X, d) be a bounded complete metric space, let $F_0: (X, d) \rightarrow (CL(X), H)$ be continuous and b_X -condensing, and let $F_n: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ have a fixed point x_n for each $n \geq 1$. If the sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to F_0 , then a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a fixed point of F_0 .*

5. AN APPLICATION

THEOREM 4. Let (X, d) be a bounded complete metric space. Suppose that $k : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ and that for positive r $\limsup_{t \rightarrow r} k(t) < 1$. If $F : X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfies

$$(5) \quad H(F(x), F(y)) \leq k(d(x, y)) d(x, y),$$

where $x \neq y$, then it has a fixed point.

Proof. Consider an $A \subset X$ with $b_X(A) = R > 0$. Positive $e(R)$ and $S(R) < 1$ can be found such that $k(t) \leq S$ for all $R - e \leq t \leq R + e$ and $R - e \leq (R + e)S = r < R$. Since $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_X(x_i; R + e)$ where $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subset of X , this means that $G_F(A) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{C(X)}(F(x_i); r)$.

$F(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} B_X(y_j^{(i)}; 1/2(R - r))$ for some finite subset $\{y_j^{(i)}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ of X , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

It follows that $G_F(A) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} B_X(y_j^{(i)}; 1/2(R + r))$, so that F is b_X -condensing.

Let $x_0 \in X$ and let $x_1 \in F(x_0)$. We can assume that $x_1 \neq x_0$. Choose $x_2 \in F(x_1)$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq H(F(x_0), F(x_1)) \leq k(d(x_0, x_1)) d(x_0, x_1)$. In this manner, assuming that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$, we can construct inductively a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ which satisfies $x_n \in F(x_{n-1})$ and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Where $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ positive, one would obtain $L < \limsup_{t \rightarrow L} k(t) L < L$, an impossible situation. Hence $L = 0$. At this point, an appeal to Theorem 3 yields the desired conclusion.

This proposition can be considered a generalization of a result of Browder's ([1], p. 28).

REFERENCES

- [1] FELIX E. BROWDER, *On the convergence of successive approximations for nonlinear functional equations*, « Indag. Math. », 30, 27-35 (1968).
- [2] MASSIMO FURI and ALFONSO VIGNOLI, *A fixed point Theorem in complete metric spaces*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (4) 2, 505-509 (1969).
- [3] MASSIMO FURI and ALFONSO VIGNOLI, *Fixed points for densifying mappings*, « Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei », (8) 47, 465-467 (1969).
- [4] CASIMIR KURATOWSKI, *Sur les espaces complets*, « Fund. Math. », 15, 301-309 (1930).
- [5] W. A. J. LUXEMBURG, *On the convergence of successive approximations in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, « Indag. Math. », 20, 540-546 (1958).
- [6] ERNEST MICHAEL, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, « Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. », 71, 152-182 (1951).
- [7] SAM B. NÄDLER, Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, « Pacific J. Math. », 30, 475-488 (1969).
- [8] ROGER D. NUSSBAUM, *The radius of the essential spectrum*, « Duke Math. J. », 37, 473-478 (1970).