

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

MANOUG N. MANOUGIAN, WAYNE M. WANAMAKER

**On Volterra's integral equation**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **50** (1971), n.2, p. 104–107.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1971\\_8\\_50\\_2\\_104\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1971_8_50_2_104_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

**Equazioni integrali.** — *On Volterra's integral equation.* Nota di MANOUG N. MANOUGIAN e WAYNE M. WANAMAKER, presentata (\*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

**RIASSUNTO.** — Se in un'equazione integrale di Volterra l'integrazione è considerata nel senso di Perron, e se è soddisfatta una condizione generalizzata di Lipschitz, allora condizione necessaria e sufficiente perché valga il teorema di esistenza e di unicità è che la successione delle approssimazioni successive di Picard sia equiassolutamente continua.

### I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the Volterra integral equation

$$(1) \quad y(x) = (P) \int_0^x F(x, t, y(t)) dt.$$

where  $x \in I = \{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  and  $(P) \int$  denotes integration in the Perron sense. We show, using the Perron definition of the integral and a generalized Lipschitz condition, that a Picard sequence yields a unique solution of equation (1) if and only if a sequence is EAC (equi-absolutely continuous) on I. It has been shown (see for example, Bauer [1], McShane [4], or Saks [5]) that the Perron definition of the integral leads to a generalization of the Lebesgue integral. Manouelian in [2] used the Perron integral and established an existence and uniqueness theorem for a nonlinear differential equation.

We assume the basic properties of the Perron integral (see [4] or [5]). In particular, we state

**THEOREM 1.** — *If  $g \in P$  (Perron integrable) on I, then  $G(x)$  is continuous and LAC (locally absolutely continuous) on I where*

$$G(x) = (P) \int_0^x g \quad \text{for } x \in I.$$

**THEOREM 2.** — *If  $g \in P$  on I and  $g(x) \geq 0$  a.e. (almost everywhere) on I, then  $g \in \mathcal{L}$  (Lebesgue integrable) on I and*

$$(P) \int_0^x g = (\mathcal{L}) \int_0^x g \quad \text{for } x \in I$$

where  $(\mathcal{L}) \int$  denotes integration in the Lebesgue sense.

(\*) Nella seduta del 9 gennaio 1971.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

*Definition.* – Suppose  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence of functions defined on I. Then for each  $x$  on I we define

$$F_n(t) = F(x, t, y_n(t)).$$

The following lemma is used in the proof of the main theorem.

LEMMA: *Suppose*

- (i)  $f_n \in P$  on I for each positive integer  $n$
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  a.e. on I
- (iii)  $f_n(x) \geq g(x)$  a.e. on I for each  $n$ , where  $g \in P$  on I.

Then,  $f \in P$  on I, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P) \int_0^x f_n = (P) \int_0^x f$  if and only if the sequence  $\left\{ (P) \int_0^x (f_n - g) \right\}$  is EAC on I.

The proof of this lemma (see Manougian [3]) is based on a corresponding theorem by Vitali [6] for a sequence of functions integrable in the Lebesgue sense.

We now prove the following theorem:

MAIN THEOREM. – *Suppose*

- (H1)  $F(x, t, y)$  is a function continuous in  $y$  for  $x \in I$  and  $t \in I$ .
- (H2)  $F(x, t, y(t)) \in P$  in  $t$  on I for  $y$  continuous on I.
- (H3)  $F(x, t, y(t)) \geq g(t)$  a.e. on I for each  $x$  on I, where  $g \in P$  on I.
- (H4)  $|F(x, t, y(t)) - F(x, t, y^*(t))| \leq v(t) |y(t) - y^*(t)|$  a.e. on I where  $v \in P$  on I.

Then, there exists a Picard sequence yielding a solution,  $\varphi(x)$ , for equation

(1), which is continuous and LAC on I, only if the sequence  $\left\{ (P) \int_0^x [F_n - g] \right\}$  is EAC on I.

*Proof:* Define

$$(2) \quad y_0(x) = 0$$

and

$$(3) \quad y_n(x) = (P) \int_0^x F(x, t, y_{n-1}(t)) dt$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We note that by Theorem 1,  $y_1(x)$  is continuous and LAC on I. By induction,  $y_n(x)$  is continuous and LAC on I for each positive integer  $n$ .

Let

$$(4) \quad u_{n-1}(x) = y_n(x) - y_{n-1}(x) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

Then,

$$u_0(x) = (P) \int_0^x F(x, t, o) dt$$

and

$$(5) \quad u_n(x) = (P) \int_0^x [F_n(t) - F_{n-1}(t)] dt.$$

By hypothesis (H4) and Theorem 2 the integral in (5) may be taken in the Lebesgue sense. Also,  $u_0(x)$  is continuous on I, so that there exists a number  $k$  such that for  $x$  on I we have

$$|u_0(x)| < k$$

and

$$|u_1(x)| < k (\mathcal{L}) \int_0^x v$$

and in general, since  $v(t) \geq 0$  a.e. on I we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n(x)| &< \frac{k}{n!} \left[ (\mathcal{L}) \int_0^x v \right]^n \\ &\leq \frac{k}{n!} \left[ (\mathcal{L}) \int_0^1 v \right]^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |u_i(x)|$  converges uniformly on I.

But,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n u_i(x) = y_{n+1}(x).$$

Hence, there exists a function  $\varphi(x)$  which is continuous and LAC on I such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(x) = \varphi(x) \text{ on I.}$$

Now consider,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P) \int_0^x F_n(t) dt.$$

By hypothesis (H1) and the Lemma above, we have

$$\varphi(x) = (P) \int_0^x F(x, t, \varphi(t)) dt$$

only if the sequence  $\left\{ (P) \int_0^x [F_n(t) - g(t)] dt \right\}$  is EAC on I. Thus the existence of solution of equation (1) is established.

To prove uniqueness, let  $\varphi^*(x)$  be another solution of (1) and let

$$Y(x) = \varphi^*(x) - \varphi(x) \quad \text{for } x \text{ on I}$$

Then,

$$Y(x) = (P) \int_0^x [F(x, t, \varphi^*(t)) - F(x, t, \varphi(t))] dt$$

and

$$0 \leq |Y(x)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n!} \left[ (\mathfrak{L}) \int_0^1 v^n \right],$$

Hence,  $Y(x) \equiv 0$  on I and uniqueness of the solution of (1) follows.

### 3. REMARK

Suppose  $x$  and  $t$  are real numbers on I. Let  $\bar{y}(x)$  be a vector function,  $\bar{y}(x) = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m]$ , in the space of  $m$ -dimensions, and  $\bar{F}(x, t, \bar{y}(t))$  be a vector function,  $\bar{F}(x, t, \bar{y}(t)) = [F_1(x, t, y_1(t)), F_2(x, t, y_2(t)), \dots, F_m(x, t, y_m(t))]$ . Then, the results of the theorem above still hold.

### REFERENCES

- [1] H. BAUER, *Der Perronsche integralbegriff und seine Beziehung zum Lebesgueschen*, «Monats. Math. und Physik», 26, 153–198 (1915).
- [2] M. N. MANOUGIAN, *An existence and uniqueness theorem for a nonlinear differential equation*, «J. Diff. Equat.», 8, 367–373 (1970).
- [3] M. N. MANOUGIAN, *On the convergence of a sequence of Perron integrals*, «Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.», 23, 320–322 (1969).
- [4] E. J. MCSHANE, *Integration*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1944.
- [5] S. SAKS, *Theory of the Integral*, 2nd Rev. Ed., Dover, N.Y., 1964.
- [6] G. VITALI, *Sull'integrazione per serie*, «Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo», 23, 137–155 (1907).