
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

MEHMET NAMIK OĞUZTÖRELI, DEMETRIO MANGERON

On a class of integro-differential equations. Nota IV

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 48 (1970), n.4, p. 405–408.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1970_8_48_4_405_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi matematica. — *On a class of integro-differential equations.*

Nota IV di MEHMET NAMIK OĞUZTÖRELİ ^(*) e DEMETRIO MANGERON ^(**) ^(***) ⁽¹⁾, presentata ^(****) dal Socio M. PICONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si studia un problema al contorno per un'equazione integro-differenziale alle derivate parziali del secondo ordine incontratasi in un problema di controllo ottimale considerato in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION.

In the present article we consider the following integro-differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u(x, y)}{\partial x^2} - u(x, y) = f(x, y) + \mu \iint_R K(x, y; \xi, \eta) u(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta$$

for $(x, y) \in R$, subject to the boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u(0, y) = u(1, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq 1,$$

where $R = \{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq x, y \leq 1\}$, λ and μ are real parameters, $f(x, y)$ and $K(x, y; \xi, \eta)$ are given functions which are continuous on R and $R \times R$ respectively, and $u(x, y)$ is the unknown function. In the following we investigate continuous solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.2). This problem occurs in an optimization problem involving a distributed parameter control system considered in [1].

2. SOLUTION FOR SMALL μ .

In this section we seek a solution of the form

$$(2.1) \quad u(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^n u_n(x, y), \quad u_n(0, y) = u_n(1, y) = 0$$

for the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.2). The following recursive relation-

(*) Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton 7, Alberta – Canada.

(**) Polytechnic Institute of Jassy, Jassy – Romania. At present Visiting Professor in the Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton 7, Alberta – Canada.

(***) This author wishes to express his sincere thanks to the University of Alberta for the invaluable conditions provided for him to develop his work in the Department of Mathematics.

(****) Nella seduta del 10 gennaio 1970.

(1) This work was partly supported by the National Research Council of Canada by Grant NRC – A4345 through the University of Alberta.

ships can be easily established:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u_0(x, y)}{\partial x^2} - u_0(x, y) = f(x, y), \\ \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u_n(x, y)}{\partial x^2} - u_n(x, y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y; \xi, \eta) u_{n-1}(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

or, equivalently,

$$(2.3) \quad u_n(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi_n(x, y) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 G(x, \sigma) u_n(\sigma, y) d\sigma, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_0(x, y) = \int_0^1 G(x, \sigma) f(\sigma, y) d\sigma, \\ \varphi_n(x, y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} H(x, y; \xi, \eta) u_{n-1}(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} H(x, y; \xi, \eta) = \int_0^1 G(x, \sigma) K(\sigma, y; \xi, \eta) d\sigma \\ G(x, \sigma) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin k\pi x \sin k\pi \sigma}{k^2} = \begin{cases} \sigma(1-x) & 0 \leq \sigma \leq x \leq 1, \\ x(1-\sigma) & 0 \leq x \leq \sigma \leq 1. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the symmetric kernel $G(x, \sigma)$ are $\lambda_k = k^2 \pi^2$ and $\theta_k(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi x$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, respectively. Thus, if $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{k^2 \pi^2}$, then for each n , Eq. (2.3) admits a unique solution which is given by the formula

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} u_0(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi_0(x, y) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^1 \Gamma(x, \sigma; \frac{-1}{\lambda}) \varphi_0(\sigma, y) d\sigma \\ u_n(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \iint_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega(x, y; \xi, \eta; \frac{-1}{\lambda}) u_{n-1}(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad \Omega(x, y; \xi, \eta; \nu) = H(x, y; \xi, \eta) + \nu \int_0^1 \Gamma(x, \sigma; \nu) H(\sigma, y; \xi, \eta) d\sigma$$

with $\nu = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Writing $\|w\| = \max_R |w(x, y)|$ for any $w(x, y)$ defined on R , and putting

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} K = \left\| \iint_R |K(x, y; \xi, \eta)| d\xi d\eta \right\|, & H = \left\| \iint_R |H(x, y; \xi, \eta)| d\xi d\eta \right\| \\ \Gamma_\nu = \|\Gamma(x, \sigma; \nu)\|, & \nu = -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \end{cases}$$

and remembering that $H \leq \frac{K}{8}$, we easily show that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \|u_n\| \leq \left(\frac{K}{8}\right)^n (1 + |\nu| \Gamma_\nu)^n \|u_0\|, \\ \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x^2} \right\| \leq \left(\frac{K}{8}\right)^n (9 + |\nu| \Gamma_\nu)^n [\|u_0\| + \|f\|]. \end{cases}$$

Hence by the M-test of Weierstrass, both of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^n u_n(x, y)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^n \frac{\partial^2 u_n(x, y)}{\partial x^2}$ are absolutely and uniformly convergent on the square R if

$$(2.10) \quad |\mu| < \frac{8}{(9 + |\nu| \Gamma_\nu) K},$$

where $\nu = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$ (2). Thus, we have

THEOREM 1. For $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{k^2 \pi^2}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and for μ satisfying the inequality (2.10) with $\nu = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$, the boundary value problem (I.1)–(I.2) admits a unique continuous solution $u(x, y)$ which is given by the formulas (2.1) and (2.6).

3. SOLUTION FOR LARGE λ AND ARBITRARY μ .

In this section we establish a solution of the form

$$(3.1) \quad u(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} u_n(x, y), \quad u_n(0, y) = u_n(1, y) = 0$$

for the boundary value problem (I.1)–(I.2). We can easily show that the functions $u_n(x, y)$ satisfy the following equations:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u_1(x, y)}{\partial x^2} = f(x, y) \\ \frac{\partial^2 u_n(x, y)}{\partial x^2} = u_{n-1}(x, y) + \mu \iint_R K(x, y; \xi, \eta) u_{n-1}(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \\ n = 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

(2) The same conclusions are valid for the first derivatives series.

Thus, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_1(x, y) = - \int_0^1 G(x, \sigma) f(\sigma, y) d\sigma, \\ u_n(x, y) = - \int_0^1 G(x, \sigma) u_{n-1}(\sigma, y) d\sigma - \mu \iint_R H(x, y; \xi, \eta) u_{n-1}(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \end{cases} \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

As in § 2, we can easily establish the following inequalities:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \|u_n\| < \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1 + |\mu| K}{8} \right)^{n-1} \|f\| \\ \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x^2} \right\| < \left(\frac{1 + |\mu| K}{8} \right)^{n-1} \|f\| \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Thus, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} u_n(x, y)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} \frac{\partial^2 u_n(x, y)}{\partial x^2}$ are absolutely and uniformly convergent on the square R for λ satisfying the inequality

$$(3.5) \quad |\lambda| > \frac{8}{1 + |\mu| K}$$

where μ is arbitrary ⁽³⁾. Hence, we may state the following

THEOREM 2. *The boundary value problem (I.1)–(I.2) admits a unique continuous solution for λ satisfying the inequality (3.5) and for arbitrary μ , and this solution is given by the formulas (3.1) and (3.3).*

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- [1] M. N. OĞUZTÖRELI, *On the Optimization in Distributed Parameter Control Systems* (in press).
- [2] M. N. OĞUZTÖRELI and D. MANGERON, *On a Class of Integro-Differential Equations. V* (in press).
- [3] M. PICONE - T. VIOLA, *Lezioni Sulla Teoria Moderna dell'Integrazione*, Edizioni Scientifiche Einaudi, Roma 1952.
- [4] V. I. SMIRNOV, *Integral Equations and Partial Differential Equations*, Volume IV. *A Course of Higher Mathematics*. Pergamon Press, London 1964.

(3) One obtains similarly the same conclusions concerning the first derivatives series.