

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

KURT KREITH

**Sturm Theory for Nonlinear Elliptic Equations**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8*, Vol. **48** (1970), n.2, p. 140–143.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1970\\_8\\_48\\_2\\_140\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1970_8_48_2_140_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Matematica.** — *Sturm Theory for Nonlinear Elliptic Equations.*  
 Nota di KURT KREITH, presentata (\*) dal Socio M. PICONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Viene impiegata la cosiddetta « Picone identity » per stabilire nuovi teoremi d'oscillazione concernenti le soluzioni di equazioni a derivate parziali del secondo ordine dotate di una certa *non* linearità.

Sturm's comparison theorem deals with functions  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  which are non-trivial solutions of

$$(1) \quad u'' + p(x)u = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad v'' + q(x)v = 0,$$

respectively. Given that  $p(x) \leq q(x)$ , Sturm's theorem asserts that " $v(x)$  oscillates faster than  $u(x)$ " in the sense that if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are zeros of  $u(x)$ , then  $v(x)$  has a zero in  $[x_1, x_2]$ .

In order to motivate a generalization to nonlinear equations, suppose that  $p(x) > 0$  so that  $u''$  has the opposite sign to  $u$ . In this situation, the larger  $p(x)$  the faster  $u(x)$  is driven back to the line  $u = 0$  due to the effect of the second derivative:  $u'' = -p(x)u$ .

This point of view suggests that there are ways of increasing the rate of oscillation of solutions of second order equations by including nonlinear terms. For example, if  $q(x) \geq p(x)$  and  $r(x)$  is positive, then it is reasonable to expect that solutions of  $v'' + q(x)v + r(x)v^3 = 0$  will oscillate faster than solutions of (1). More generally, if  $f(x, v)$  is any sufficiently regular function satisfying  $f(x, v) \geq 0$  for  $v \geq 0$  and  $f(x, v) \leq 0$  for  $v \leq 0$ , then one would expect that solutions of  $v'' + q(x)v + f(x, v) = 0$  will oscillate faster than solutions of (1), while solution of  $v'' + p(x)v - f(x, v) = 0$  will oscillate more slowly.

A comparison theorem along these lines was proven by Taam [1] for certain nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations. We shall prove a comparison theorem for nonlinear elliptic equations in  $R^n$  which includes Taam's comparison theorem as a special case when  $n = 1$ .

Our principal result deals with non-trivial solutions of sufficiently regular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems of the form

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + c(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } G$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + s(x)u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G$$

(\*) Nella seduta del 10 gennaio 1970.

and

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) + \gamma(x, v) = 0 \quad \text{in } G$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + \sigma(x) v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G.$$

Here  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \sum a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_j},$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = \sum \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_j},$$

where  $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_j}$  denotes the cosine of the angle between the exterior normal  $\nu$  and the positive  $x_j$ -axis. We follow the convention of allowing  $s(x) = +\infty$  to denote the boundary condition  $u(x) = 0$ . It is assumed that  $\partial G$  has a piecewise smooth normal and that the  $a_{ij}$  and  $\alpha_{ij}$  are of class  $C'$  in  $\bar{G}$ . The functions  $c(x, u)$  and  $\gamma(x, v)$  are to be continuous in  $G \times (-\infty, \infty)$  and satisfy

$$c(x, 0) = \gamma(x, 0) = 0.$$

Furthermore the following four limits

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \frac{c(x, u)}{u}, \quad \lim_{u \uparrow 0} \frac{c(x, u)}{u}, \quad \lim_{v \downarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x, v)}{v}, \quad \lim_{v \uparrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x, v)}{v}$$

are assumed to exist for every  $x \in \bar{G}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  are solutions of (3) and (4), respectively. If*

- (i)  $\sum a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \sum \alpha_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j > 0$  for every  $x \in G$  and all real  $n$ -tuples  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ ;
- (ii)  $\frac{\gamma(x, v)}{v} \geq \frac{c(x, u)}{u}$  for every  $x \in G$  and all  $u, v$  in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ;
- (iii)  $s(x) \geq \sigma(x)$  on  $\partial G$ ,

then  $v(x)$  has a zero in  $\bar{G}$  or else  $v(x)$  is a scalar multiple of  $u(x)$ .

*Proof.* The proof will depend on the following generalized Picone identity [2], [3]: If  $v(x) \neq 0$  in  $\bar{G}$ , then

$$(5) \quad \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \frac{u}{v} \left( v \sum_i a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - u \sum_i \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] = u \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ + \frac{u^2}{v} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i,j} (a_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \\ + \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \frac{u}{v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{u}{v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

where the last two terms in (5) are non-negative by (i). Using the differential equations in (3) and (4) to simplify the first two terms on the right side of (5), integrating over  $G$ , and applying Green's theorem yields

$$\int_{\partial G} \left[ \frac{u}{v} \left( v \sum_i a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - u \sum_i \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} ds \geq \int_G u^2 \left[ \frac{\gamma(x, v)}{v} - \frac{c(x, u)}{u} \right] dx$$

with equality if and only if  $v(x)$  is a scalar multiple of  $u(x)$ . Using the boundary condition in (3) and (4) we get <sup>(1)</sup>

$$(6) \quad - \int_{\partial G} [s(x) - \sigma(x)] u^2 ds \geq u^2 \left[ \frac{\gamma(x, v)}{v} - \frac{c(x, u)}{u} \right].$$

However by (ii) the right side of (6) is non-negative while by (iii) the left side is non-positive. Therefore the assumption  $v(x) \neq 0$  in  $\bar{G}$  is tenable only if  $v(x)$  is a scalar multiple of  $u(x)$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  are as in Theorem 1 and that conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. If  $G$  is a nodal domain for  $u(x)$ , then  $v(x)$  has a zero in  $\bar{G}$ .*

*Proof.* This is the situation in which " $s(x) \equiv +\infty$  on  $\partial G$ " so that (iii) is automatically satisfied. More precisely, since  $u(x) \equiv 0$  on  $\partial G$ , the boundary integral in (6) vanishes and the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid.

The following are examples of pairs of functions  $c(x, u)$  and  $\gamma(x, v)$  which satisfy condition (ii) of Theorem 1.

$$1) \quad c(x, u) = u - u^5,$$

$$\gamma(x, v) = 2v + v^3.$$

$$2) \quad c(x, u) = \sum_{k=1}^M p_k(x) u^{2k-1},$$

$$\gamma(x, v) = \sum_{k=1}^N q_k(x) v^{2k-1},$$

where  $q_1(x) \geq p_1(x)$ ,  $p_k(x) \leq 0$  for  $k \geq 2$  and  $q_k(x) \geq 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

$$3) \quad c(x, u) = -\sinh u,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x, v) &= -v & \text{for } v \leq 0, \\ &= v^3 & \text{for } v > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Oscillation theorems for nonlinear singular elliptic equations of the form

$$(7) \quad \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) + \gamma(x, v) = 0; \quad x \in G$$

(1) In case  $s(x) = \infty$  on part of  $\partial G$ , we are justified in omitting that portion of  $\partial G$  in the boundary integral below.

follow readily from the Corollary by comparing (7) with the singular linear equation

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left( a(x_n) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + c(x_n) u = 0; \quad x \in G$$

under the assumption that some solution of (8) has a sequence of nodal domains accumulating at a singular surface  $S \subset \partial G$ . By assuming further that hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied near  $S$ , one can conclude that (7) is "oscillatory at  $S$ ". This procedure is exactly analogous to that used by the author in [4] to derive oscillation theorems for linear elliptic equations and will not be repeated here. Rather we shall simply state the results (using the terminology introduced in [4]). It is assumed that  $G \subset \{x \mid x_n > 0\}$  and that the singular part of  $\partial G$  satisfies  $S \subset \{x \mid x_n = 0\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  are solutions of (7) and (8), respectively, and that*

- (i)  $\Sigma a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \Sigma \alpha_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j > 0$  for all  $x$  near  $S$ ,
- (ii)  $\frac{\gamma(x, v)}{v} \geq c(x_n)$  for all  $x$  near  $S$  and  $-\infty < v < \infty$ .

*If for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  the equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( a(t) \frac{dw}{dt} \right) + [c(t) - (\mu_0 + \varepsilon)] w$$

*is oscillatory at  $t = 0$ , then every solution of (7) is weakly oscillatory at  $S$  (in the sense that if  $H$  is an open set containing  $S$ , then  $u(x)$  has a zero in  $H \cap G$ ).*

**THEOREM 3.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, if*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( a(t) \frac{dw}{dt} \right) + [c(t) + M] w = 0$$

*is oscillatory at  $t = 0$  for every real number  $M$ , then every solution of (7) is strongly oscillatory at  $S$  (in the sense that if  $x_0 \in S$  and  $H$  is a neighborhood of  $x_0$ , then  $u(x)$  has a zero in  $H \cap G$ ).*

#### REFERENCES.

- [1] C. T. TAAM, *An extension of Osgood's oscillation theorem for a nonlinear differential equation*, « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 5, 705-715 (1954).
- [2] M. PICONE, *Un teorema sulle soluzioni delle equazioni lineari ellittiche autoaggiunte alle derivate parziali del secondo-ordine*, « Atti Accad. Lincei », 20, 213-219 (1911).
- [3] K. KREITH, *A generalized Picone identity*, « Atti Accad. Lincei », 45, 217-220 (1968).
- [4] K. KREITH, *Oscillation theorems for elliptic equations*, « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 15, 341-344 (1964).