
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

H. D. PANDE

Various projective invariants in a conformal Finsler space

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 44 (1968), n.3, p. 349–353.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1968_8_44_3_349_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Geometria differenziale. — *Various projective invariants in a conformal Finsler space.* Nota di H. D. PANDE, presentata (*) dal Socio E. BOMPIANI.

RIASSUNTO. — Si esaminano gli invarianti proiettivi di due spazi di Finsler in corrispondenza conforme.

I. CONFORMAL FINSLER SPACES.

Let two distinct metric functions $F(x, \dot{x})$ and $\bar{F}(x, \dot{x})$ be defined over an n -dimensional space F_n which satisfy the requisite conditions for a Finsler space [1] ⁽¹⁾. The two metrics resulting from them are called conformal if the corresponding metric tensors $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ and $\bar{g}_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ are proportional to each other. Knebelman [2] has shown that the factor of proportionality between them is at most a point function. Thus, we have [1].

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{g}_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) = e^{2\sigma} g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}), \quad (1.2) \quad \bar{g}^{ij}(x, \dot{x}) = e^{-2\sigma} g^{ij}(x, \dot{x}),$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{F}(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma F(x, \dot{x}),$$

where $\sigma = \sigma(x)$, $g^{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ being the contravariant components of the metric tensor of F_n . The space $\bar{F}_n$ with the entities $\bar{F}$, $\bar{g}_{ij}$, etc. is called a conformal Finsler space.

The covariant derivative of a vector $X^i(x, \dot{x})$, depending on the element of support, with respect to x^j in the sense of Cartan is given by [1]

$$(1.4) \quad X^i_{|j}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial_j X^i) - (\dot{\partial}_m X^i) G^m_j + X^m \Gamma^*_{mj}{}^i \quad (2),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad G^i_j(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G^i_{mj}(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^m = \Gamma^*_{mj}{}^i(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^m.$$

The functions $\Gamma^*_{mj}{}^i(x, \dot{x})$ and $G^i_{mj}(x, \dot{x})$ are the Cartan's and Berwald's connection coefficients respectively and are homogeneous of degree zero in their directional arguments. We have the following geometric entities in the conformal Finsler space: [3, 4]

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{G}^i(x, \dot{x}) = G^i(x, \dot{x}) - \sigma_m B^{im}(x, \dot{x}),$$

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{G}^i_j(x, \dot{x}) = G^i_j(x, \dot{x}) - \sigma_m \dot{\partial}_j B^{im}(x, \dot{x}),$$

$$(1.8) \quad \bar{G}^i_{jk}(x, \dot{x}) = G^i_{jk}(x, \dot{x}) - \sigma_m \dot{\partial}_k \dot{\partial}_j B^{im}(x, \dot{x}),$$

(*) Nella seduta del 9 marzo 1968.

(1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

(2) $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial x^i$, $\dot{\partial}_i \equiv \partial/\partial \dot{x}^i$.

$$(1.9) \quad \bar{A}_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma A_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}),$$

$$(1.10) \quad \bar{l}^i(x, \dot{x}) = \bar{e}^\sigma l^i(x, \dot{x}), \quad (1.11) \quad \bar{l}_i(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma l_i(x, \dot{x}),$$

$$(1.12) \quad \bar{\dot{x}}^i = \dot{x}^i, \quad (1.13) \quad \bar{C}_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}) = C_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}),$$

$$(1.14) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^{*i}(x, \dot{x}) = \Gamma_{jk}^{*i}(x, \dot{x}) + U_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}),$$

where we have

$$(1.15) \quad \sigma_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_m \sigma, \quad (1.16) \quad B^{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} F^2 g^{ij} - \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j,$$

$$(1.17) \quad l^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dot{x}^i | F(x, \dot{x}), \quad (1.18) \quad l_i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{ik}(x, \dot{x}) l^k(x, \dot{x}),$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad A_{mj}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(x, \dot{x}) C_{mj}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(x, \dot{x}) g^{ih}(x, \dot{x})$$

$$C_{mhj}(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2} F(x, \dot{x}) g^{ih}(x, \dot{x}) \partial_j g_{mh}(x, \dot{x}),$$

$$(1.20) \quad U_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2 \sigma_{(j} \delta_{k)}^i - \sigma_m \{ g^{im} g_{jk} - 2 C_{(j}^i \dot{\partial}_{k)} B^{rm} + g^{ir} C_{jks} \dot{\partial}_r B^{sm} \}.$$

Contracting (1.20) with respect to the indices i and j and using the homogeneity property of the function $C_{ijk}(x, \dot{x})$, we obtain

$$(1.21) \quad U_{rk}^r(x, \dot{x}) = n \sigma_k + \sigma_m C_{rn}^m \dot{\partial}_k B^{rm}.$$

The functions $B^{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ are homogeneous of degree two in $\dot{x}^i$ s.

2. SOME ENTITIES OF THE CONFORMAL FINSLER SPACE.

If we denote the Cartan's covariant derivative given by (1.4) with respect to $\bar{g}_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ by putting a horizontal bar over the same notation of the covariant derivative, then we have [5]:

$$(2.1) \quad X_{|j}^i(x, \dot{x}) = (\partial_j X^i) - (\dot{\partial}_m X^i) \bar{G}_j^m + X^m \bar{\Gamma}_{mj}^{*i}.$$

With the help of equation (2.1) the covariant derivative of $\bar{l}^i(x, \dot{x})$ in the sense of Cartan is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{l}_{|j}^i(x, \dot{x}) = (\partial_j \bar{l}^i) - (\dot{\partial}_m \bar{l}^i) \bar{G}_j^m + \bar{l}^m \bar{\Gamma}_{mj}^{*i}.$$

Using equations (1.7), (1.10) and (1.14) and the relation $l_{|k}^i(x, \dot{x}) = 0$, in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{l}_{|j}^i(x, \dot{x}) = -\bar{e}^\sigma \{ l^i \sigma_j - (\dot{\partial}_m l^i) (\dot{\partial}_j B^{mn}) \sigma_n + l^m U_{mj}^i \}.$$

Again, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{l}_{i|j}(x, \dot{x}) = (\partial_j \bar{l}_i) - (\dot{\partial}_m \bar{l}_i) \bar{G}_j^m - \bar{l}_m \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{*m}.$$

In view of equations (1.7), (1.11) and (1.14) and the relation $l_{i|k}(x, \dot{x}) = 0$, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{l}_{i|k}(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma \{ l_i \sigma_k + (\dot{\partial}_m l_i) (\dot{\partial}_k B^{mn}) \sigma_n - l_m U_{ik}^m \}.$$

Similarly, we obtain the following relations:

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{F}_{|j}(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma \{ F \sigma_j + l_m \sigma_n \dot{\partial}_j B^{mn} \},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{C}_{jk|m}^i(x, \dot{x}) = C_{jk|m}^i + (\dot{\partial}_r C_{jk}^i) (\dot{\partial}_m B^{rn}) \sigma_n + C_{jk}^r U_{rm}^i - C_{rk}^i U_{jm}^r - C_{jr}^i U_{km}^r,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{A}_{kh|j}^i(x, \dot{x}) = \\ = e^\sigma [A_{kh|j}^i + A_{kh}^i \sigma_j + (\dot{\partial}_r A_{kh}^i) (\dot{\partial}_j B^{rn}) \sigma_n + A_{kh}^r U_{rj}^i - A_{rh}^i U_{kj}^r - A_{kr}^i U_{hj}^r]. \end{aligned}$$

3. PROJECTIVE INVARIANTS IN CONFORMAL FINSLER SPACE.

THEOREM 3.1. If $\bar{l}^i(x, \dot{x})$ and $\bar{l}_i(x, \dot{x})$ are the contravariant and covariant components of the unit tangent vector, in the conformal Finsler space, then we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{B}_k^i(x, \dot{x}) = \bar{e}^\sigma \left[B_k^i(x, \dot{x}) + l^i \sigma_k - (\dot{\partial}_m l^i) (\dot{\partial}_k B^{mn}) \sigma_n - l^m U_{mk}^i + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 2\delta_{(j}^i U_{k)r}^r + \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_j U_{rk}^r \} l^j - \frac{2}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_h l^i) \dot{x}^h \delta_{(r}^h U_{k)m}^m \right] (3), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^* \bar{B}_{ik}(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma \left[B_{ik}(x, \dot{x}) + l_i \sigma_k + (\dot{\partial}_m l_i) (\dot{\partial}_k B^{mn}) \sigma_n - l_m U_{ik}^m + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 2\delta_{(i}^j U_{k)r}^r + \dot{x}^j \dot{\partial}_i U_{rk}^r \} l_j + \frac{2}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_h l_i) \delta_{(r}^h U_{k)m}^m \dot{x}^r \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For a vector $V^i(x, \dot{x})$, depending on the element of support, we have the following projective invariant geometric entities [6]:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} B_k^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_{|k}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 2\delta_{(j}^i \Gamma_{k)r}^{*r} + \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{rk}^{*r} \} V^j + \\ + \frac{2}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_h V^i) \delta_{(r}^h \Gamma_{k)m}^{*m} \dot{x}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Under the conformal transformation the above projective invariant entities transform as follows for the unit tangent vector $\bar{l}^i(x, \dot{x})$ of the conformal Finsler space:

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{B}_k^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{l}_{|k}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 2\delta_{(j}^i \bar{\Gamma}_{k)r}^{*r} + \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_j \bar{\Gamma}_{rk}^{*r} \} \bar{l}^j + \frac{2}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_h \bar{l}^i) \delta_{(r}^h \bar{\Gamma}_{k)m}^{*m} \dot{x}^r.$$

(3) We denote the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of a geometric object A_{ij} with respect to the indices i, j by

$$A_{(ij)} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{ij} + A_{ji}) \text{ and } A_{[ij]} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{ij} - A_{ji}), \text{ respectively.}$$

Using relations (1.10), (1.12), (1.14) and (2.3) and the fact that the function σ is of points only, we obtain the result (3.1).

Similarly, for a covariant vector $V_i(x, \dot{x})$, depending on the element of support, we have the following projective invariant geometric entities [6]

$$(3.5) \quad {}^*B_{ik}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_{i|k} + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 2\delta_{(i}^j \Gamma_{k)r}^{*r} + \dot{x}^j \dot{\partial}_i \Gamma_{rk}^{*r} \} V_j + \\ + \frac{2}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_h V_i) \delta_{(r}^h \Gamma_{k)m}^{*m} \dot{x}^r.$$

Under the conformal transformation (1.1) the above projective invariant entities transform as follows for the covariant component $\bar{l}_i(x, \dot{x})$ of the conformal Finsler space:

$$(3.6) \quad {}^*\bar{B}_{ik}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{l}_{i|k} + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 2\delta_{(i}^j \bar{\Gamma}_{k)r}^{*r} + \dot{x}^j \dot{\partial}_i \bar{\Gamma}_{rk}^{*r} \} \bar{l}_j + \\ + \frac{2}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_h \bar{l}_i) \delta_{(r}^h \bar{\Gamma}_{k)m}^{*m} \dot{x}^r.$$

With the help of equations (1.11), (1.12), (1.14) and (2.5) the above relation gives the result (3.2).

THEOREM 3.2. - If $\bar{l}^i(x, \dot{x})$ and $\bar{l}_i(x, \dot{x})$ are the contravariant and covariant components of the unit tangent vector of the conformal Finsler space, then we have

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{T}^i(x, \dot{x}) = \bar{e}^\sigma [T^i(x, \dot{x}) + 2B^{mn} \sigma_n (\dot{\partial}_m \bar{l}^i) - \{ l^i \sigma_k + l^m U_{mk}^i \} \dot{x}^k + \\ + \frac{2}{n+1} l^{[i} \dot{x}^{r]} U_{mr}^m],$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad {}^*\bar{T}_i(x, \dot{x}) = e^\sigma [T_i(x, \dot{x}) + 2B^{mn} \sigma_n (\dot{\partial}_m l_i) + \{ l_i \sigma_k - l_m U_{ik}^m \} \dot{x}^k + \\ + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 3l_i U_{mr}^m + l_r U_{mi}^m \} \dot{x}^r].$$

Proof. - We have the following projective invariant entities for a vector $V^i(x, \dot{x})$, depending on the element of support, in Finsler space [6]:

$$(3.9) \quad T^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V^i_{|k} \dot{x}^k + \frac{2}{n+1} V^{[i} \dot{x}^{r]} \Gamma_{mr}^{*m}.$$

Under the conformal change (1.1) the above equation transforms as follows for the contravariant component of the unit tangent vector of the conformal Finsler space:

$$(3.10) \quad \bar{T}^i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{l}^i_{|k} \dot{x}^k + \frac{2}{n+1} \bar{l}^{[i} \dot{x}^{r]} \bar{\Gamma}_{mr}^{*m}.$$

Using equations (1.10), (1.12), (1.14) and (2.3) and the Euler's equation for the homogeneous function $B^{\dot{y}}(x, \dot{x})$, we obtain the result (3.7).

Again, we have

$$(3.11) \quad {}^*T_i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_{i|k} \dot{x}^k + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 3 V_i \Gamma_{mr}^{*m} + V_r \Gamma_{mi}^{*m} \} \dot{x}^r,$$

projectively invariant in Finsler space [6].

The projective invariant entities (3.11) transform as follows in the conformal Finsler space for the covariant component of the unit tangent vector $\bar{l}_i(x, \dot{x})$:

$$(3.12) \quad {}^*\bar{T}_i(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{l}_{i|k} \dot{x}^k + \frac{1}{n+1} \{ 3 \bar{l}_i \bar{\Gamma}_{mr}^{*m} + \bar{l}_r \bar{\Gamma}_{mi}^{*m} \} \dot{x}^r.$$

In view of equations (1.11), (1.12), (1.14) and (2.5) and the relation $\partial_k B^{\dot{j}}(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^k = 2 B^{\dot{j}}(x, \dot{x})$, in (3.12) we get (3.8).

I wish to thank prof. R. S. Mishra for his encouragement and prof. H. Rund for his kind interest and helpful advice. I am also thankful to prof. A. L. Blakers for his hospitality at the University of Western Australia.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- [1] H. RUND, *The Differential Geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer - Verlag, Berlin 1959.
- [2] M. S. KNEBELMAN, *Conformal geometry of generalised metric spaces*, «Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.», 15, 376-379 (1929).
- [3] H. D. PANDE, *The conformal transformation in a Finsler space*, «Math. Nach.» (Under publication).
- [4] H. HOMBU, *Konforme Invarianten im Finslerschen Räume*, «J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ.», Ser. I Math., 2, 157-168 (1934).
- [5] H. D. PANDE, *Various commutation formulae in conformal Finsler space*, «Prog. Math.», 1, No. 2 (1967).
- [6] H. D. PANDE, *Projective invariants in Finsler space* (To appear in the «Bulletin Mathématique»).
- [7] H. D. PANDE, *The projective transformation in a Finsler space*, «Atti della Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rendiconti.», XLIII, No. 6 (1967).