

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

VITTORIO CANTONI

**Induction of representations of the generalized  
Bondi-Metzner group**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 43 (1967), n.1-2, p. 30-37.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1967\\_8\\_43\\_1-2\\_30\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1967_8_43_1-2_30_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Fisica matematica.** — *Induction of representations of the generalized Bondi-Metzner group* (\*). Nota (\*\*) di VITTORIO CANTONI, presentata dal Corrisp. C. CATTANEO.

RIASSUNTO. — Si costruisce una classe di rappresentazioni del gruppo di Bondi-Metzner mediante una generalizzazione del metodo di Wigner [8] per la costruzione delle rappresentazioni unitarie del gruppo di Poincaré. Si dimostra che le rappresentazioni ottenute sono equivalenti, a meno di un sistema di moltiplicatori, alle rappresentazioni unitarie ottenute precedentemente dall'autore [2] con procedimento diverso.

1. INTRODUCTION.—It is possible to characterize a class of “asymptotically flat” space-times, which can be expected to include plausible models for physical situations corresponding to bounded systems emitting gravitational radiation, by assuming, with Bondi-Metzner-van der Burg [1] and Sachs [6], that outside some region with finite spatial cross-section the space-time manifold can be covered by a single system of “generalized polar coordinates”  $(u, r, \theta, \varphi)$  such that:

a) the metric takes the form

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = -A du^2 - 2B du dr + r^2 \left( C d\theta^2 + 2D d\theta d\varphi + \frac{\sin^2 \theta + D^2}{C} d\varphi^2 + E du d\theta + F du d\varphi \right),$$

where  $A, B, C, D, E, F$  are functions of the coordinates, the ranges of the coordinates being  $-\infty < u < \infty$ ,  $r_0 \leq r < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$  and the points  $P(u, r, \theta, 0)$  and  $P(u, r, \theta, 2\pi)$  being coincident;

b) for sufficiently large values of  $r$  the functions  $A, B, C, D, E, F$  can be expanded in power series of  $r^{-1}$ , and for  $r \rightarrow \infty$  ( $u, \theta, \varphi$  constant), the limiting form of the metric is

$$(2) \quad ds^2 = -du^2 - 2du dr + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),$$

i.e. the flat-space metric expressed in polar coordinates and in terms of the retarded time  $u$ .

It can be shown [6] [7] that to each coordinate transformation preserving the conditions a) and b) there corresponds a well-defined “asymptotic transformation”, which can be regarded as a mapping of the cartesian product  $R \times S$  of the real line  $R$  with the two-dimensional sphere  $S$  onto itself. The set of such asymptotic transformations forms a group, which will be denoted

(\*) Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito dell'attività del Gruppo di Ricerca n. 36 del Comitato Nazionale per la Matematica del C.N.R. per l'anno 1966-67.

(\*\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 7 luglio 1967.

by  $\mathfrak{G}$  and called, with Sachs, the generalized Bondi–Metzner group (GBM group). If  $(u, \theta, \varphi)$  are regarded as coordinates in  $\mathbb{R} \times S$ , the generic GBM transformation has the form

$$(3) \quad u' = \frac{u + \alpha(\theta, \varphi)}{K(\theta, \varphi)}, \quad \theta' = H(\theta, \varphi), \quad \varphi' = I(\theta, \varphi),$$

where  $\alpha(\theta, \varphi)$  is an arbitrary  $C^2$  function on the two-sphere, while  $H, I$  and  $K$  are subjected to the condition

$$K^2(H, I) \cdot (dH^2 + \sin^2 H \cdot dI^2) = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \cdot d\varphi^2,$$

so that  $H$  and  $I$  represent a conformal mapping of the two-sphere onto itself, and  $K$  is given by

$$(4) \quad K(\theta, \varphi) = \sin^{1/2} \theta \cdot \sin^{-1/2} H \cdot [\partial(H, I)/\partial(\theta, \varphi)]^{-1/2}.$$

It can be shown [7] that the subgroup  $\mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  obtained by putting  $\alpha = 0$  is isomorphic, with the homogeneous orthochronous Lorentz group, while the subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  obtained by restricting  $\alpha$  to the form

$$(5) \quad \alpha(\theta, \varphi) = -a^0 + a^1 \sin \theta \cos \varphi + a^2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + a^3 \cos \theta,$$

( $a^0, a^1, a^2, a^3$  constants) is isomorphic with the inhomogeneous orthochronous Lorentz group (Poincaré group). The transformations obtained by putting  $H = \theta, I = \varphi$  are called “supertranslations” and constitute an abelian normal subgroup  $\{s\}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ; the translations are special supertranslations satisfying condition (5), and constitute a four-parameter abelian normal subgroup  $\{t\}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . The generic GBM transformation (3) can be regarded as the product of the supertranslation defined by the function  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $(I, \alpha)$ , followed by the “homogeneous Lorentz transformation” defined by  $H$  and  $I$ , and denoted by  $(\Lambda, o)$ : it will be denoted by  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ , so that  $(\Lambda, \alpha) = (\Lambda, o)(I, \alpha)$ .

It has been shown in a previous paper [2] that with any element  $(\Lambda, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{G}$  and any pair of values  $(\theta, \varphi)$  of the angular coordinates one can associate a well defined element  $(\Lambda, a_{\theta\varphi}) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , referred to as “the inhomogeneous Lorentz transformation asymptotically tangent to  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$  in the ray direction  $(\theta, \varphi)$ ”, (briefly  $AT(\theta, \varphi)$  to  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ ); an element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is completely determined by the set of its  $AT$  transformations, and the latter can be exploited to construct, from each unitary representation of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , a unitary representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

In this paper it is shown that the same representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$  can be obtained by an alternative procedure which is a generalization of Wigner’s method for the construction of the unitary representations of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , [4] [8]. The representations of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$ , respectively, associated with a given “momentum vector” and a given representation of the corresponding “little group”, are shown to be such that the latter can be obtained from the former, up to a system of multipliers, by the procedure described in ref. [2].

2. INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS [4].—Let  $G$  be a group of transformations, assumed to act transitively on a space  $M$ . Denote by  $\overset{\circ}{p}$  a fixed element of  $M$ , and by  $G_0$  the isotropy group of  $G$  at  $\overset{\circ}{p}$ , i.e. the subgroup of  $G$  constituted of all the elements  $h$  such that  $h\overset{\circ}{p} = \overset{\circ}{p}$ . It is well-known that  $M$  can be identified with the homogeneous space  $G/G_0$ : in fact, the generic left coset  $\bar{g}G_0$ , ( $\bar{g} \in G$ ), can be associated with the element  $p = g'\overset{\circ}{p}$ , ( $g' \in \bar{g}G_0$ ), which is clearly independent from the particular choice of  $g'$  in the coset; conversely, on account of the assumed transitivity of  $G$  on  $M$ , each element  $p$  of  $M$  can be obtained from  $\overset{\circ}{p}$  by acting on it with some element  $\bar{g} \in G$ , or with any other element of the coset  $\bar{g}G_0$ , so that one has a one-to-one correspondence between elements of  $M$  and elements of  $G/G_0$ ; moreover, such a correspondence is preserved by the action of  $G$ , i.e.  $g_2(g_1G_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (g_2g_1)G_0$  corresponds to  $g_2p$  whenever  $g_1G_0$  corresponds to  $p$ .

Consider a linear representation  $\sigma$  of  $G_0$ : denote by  $U$  the representation space, and by  $\sigma(h)$  the operator corresponding to the element  $h$  of  $G_0$ . The aim is to construct, starting from  $\sigma$ , a linear representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  acting on a suitably defined representation space  $\hat{U}$ .

Define  $\hat{U}$  as the set of all maps  $\hat{\Phi}: g \rightarrow \hat{\Phi}(g)$  of  $G$  into  $U$  which satisfy the condition

$$(6) \quad \hat{\Phi}(gh) = \sigma(h^{-1})\hat{\Phi}(g)$$

for all  $g \in G$  and all  $h \in G_0$ . In order to assign one of such maps it is sufficient to assign arbitrarily the vector  $\hat{\Phi}(e) \in U$  corresponding to the identity of  $G$ , (then (6) determines  $\hat{\Phi}(h)$  for all  $h \in G_0$ ), and to assign, for each left coset  $p = \bar{g}G_0$ , an arbitrary vector  $\hat{\Phi}(\bar{g}) \in U$  corresponding to a fixed representative  $\bar{g}$  of the coset, (then (6) determines  $\hat{\Phi}(g)$  for any other element  $g \in \bar{g}G_0$ ). The space  $\hat{U}$  can be regarded as a linear space with the following definition of addition and multiplication with scalars:

$$a\hat{\Phi} + b\hat{\psi}: g \rightarrow a\hat{\Phi}(g) + b\hat{\psi}(g),$$

and it is immediately verified that  $a\hat{\Phi} + b\hat{\psi}$  actually belongs to  $\hat{U}$ , (i.e. satisfies (6)), whenever  $\hat{\Phi}$  and  $\hat{\psi}$  belong to  $\hat{U}$ .

The linear representation  $\rho$  of  $G$ , acting on the vector space  $\hat{U}$ , can be defined as follows:

$$(7) \quad \rho(g_0)\hat{\Phi}: g \rightarrow \hat{\Phi}(g_0^{-1}g) \quad , \quad (g_0, g \in G; \hat{\Phi} \in \hat{U}).$$

The mapping  $\hat{\psi} \equiv \rho(g_0)\hat{\Phi}$  of  $G$  into  $U$  satisfies (6) and therefore belongs to  $\hat{U}$ , since one has:

$$\hat{\psi}(gh) = \hat{\Phi}(g_0^{-1}gh) = \sigma(h^{-1})\hat{\Phi}(g_0^{-1}g) = \sigma(h^{-1})\hat{\psi}(g).$$

It is also easily verified that the transformations  $\rho$  are linear, and that  $\rho(g_1g_0) = \rho(g_1)\rho(g_0)$ .

$\rho$  is called the representation of  $G$  induced by  $\sigma$ .

Since the assignment of a mapping of  $G$  into  $U$  satisfying (6) is equivalent to the assignment of an arbitrary mapping of a set  $\{\bar{g}\}$  of representatives of the left cosets of  $G_0$  into  $U$ , for a fixed choice of the set  $\{\bar{g}\}$  one can associate with each element  $\hat{\Phi} \in \hat{U}$  the mapping of  $M$  into  $U$  defined by

$$\Phi : p \rightarrow \hat{\Phi}(\bar{g}) \quad , \quad (p = \bar{g} G_0).$$

With the help of the mappings  $\Phi$  one can establish a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of  $\hat{U}$  and the cross-sections of the product bundle  $M \times U$  of  $M$  with  $U$ , ( $M$  being the base space and  $U$  the fibre). In fact, with the element  $\hat{\Phi} \in \hat{U}$  one can associate the cross-section  $\hat{\Phi}^*$  defined by

$$(8) \quad \hat{\Phi}^* : p \rightarrow \hat{\Phi}^*(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p \times \Phi(p) \equiv p \times \hat{\Phi}(\bar{g}).$$

Since  $U$  is a vector space,  $M \times U$  is a vector bundle, so that the space  $\hat{U}^*$  of its cross-sections has a natural linear structure, and it is an immediate consequence of (8) that the correspondence just defined between  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{U}^*$  is an isomorphism between vector spaces. Therefore one can define a representation  $\rho^*$  of  $G$ , equivalent to the representation  $\rho$  and acting on the representation space  $\hat{U}^*$ . Since the action of  $\rho(g_0)$  on an element  $\hat{\Phi} \in \hat{U}$  is given by (7),  $\rho^*(g_0)$  must transform the generic element  $\hat{\Phi}^* \in \hat{U}^*$  into the element  $\hat{\psi}^* \in \hat{U}^*$  such that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}^*(p) &\equiv p \times \hat{\psi}(\bar{g}) = p \times [\rho(g_0) \hat{\Phi}](\bar{g}) = p \times \hat{\Phi}(g_0^{-1} \bar{g}) = \\ &= p \times \hat{\Phi}(\overline{g_0^{-1} g} h_{g_0, p}^{-1}) = p \times \sigma(h_{g_0, p}) \hat{\Phi}(\overline{g_0^{-1} g}) = \\ &= p \times \sigma(h_{g_0, p}) \hat{\Phi}(g_0^{-1} p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\overline{g_0^{-1} g}$  is the representative of the coset  $g_0^{-1} \bar{g} G_0$ ,  $h_{g_0, p}$  is the element of  $G_0$  such that  $g_0^{-1} \bar{g} = \overline{g_0^{-1} g} h_{g_0, p}^{-1}$ , and (6) has been taken into account.

Whenever two representations  $\rho_1^*$  and  $\rho_2^*$  of  $G$  act on the same representation space  $\hat{U}^*$ , (the space of cross-sections of the bundle  $M \times U$ ), and there exists a set  $\{m_{g, p}\}$  of linear transformations whose generic element depends on  $g$  and  $p$ , acting on the fibre over  $p$ , and such that

$$(\rho_2^*(g) \hat{\Phi}^*)(p) = m_{g, p}(\rho_1^*(g) \hat{\Phi}^*)(p)$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $p \in M$  and  $\hat{\Phi}^* \in \hat{U}^*$ , the two representations  $\rho_1^*$  and  $\rho_2^*$  will be said to coincide up to the system of multipliers  $\{m_{g, p}\}$ . (In particular, it is not hard to see that two distinct choices of the set  $\{\bar{g}\}$  of representatives of the left cosets lead to two representations which coincide up to a system of multipliers).

3. THE REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUPS  $\mathfrak{S}$  AND  $\mathfrak{S}$  ASSOCIATED WITH A GIVEN MOMENTUM VECTOR AND A GIVEN REPRESENTATION OF THE "LITTLE GROUP".—The application of the method just described to the construction

of a representation of any specific group  $G$  requires:

a) the interpretation of  $G$  as a transitive group of transformations on some space  $M$ ;

b) the determination of a representation of the isotropy group  $G_0$  of  $G$  at any point  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$  of  $M$ ; (on account of the transitivity of action of  $G$  on  $M$ , the isotropy group is the same at all points of  $M$ , up to isomorphisms).

In the case of the inhomogeneous orthochronous Lorentz group, ( $G \equiv \mathfrak{S}$ ),  $M$  is defined as the set of all vectors  $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3)$  in Minkowski space which can be obtained from a given *momentum vector*  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$  by means of an homogeneous orthochronous Lorentz transformation (thus, all the vectors  $\mathbf{p}$  of  $M$  have the same norm, and the same orientation in time whenever they are timelike or null). The homogeneous orthochronous Lorentz group  $\mathfrak{L}$  acts transitively on  $M$ , the action  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathbf{p}$  of an element  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{L}$  on  $\mathbf{p} \in M$  being defined in the obvious way. If the action of any translation on  $M$  is taken to be the identity transformation, then  $\mathfrak{S}$  also acts transitively on  $M$ , and the action of the generic element  $(\Lambda, \mathbf{a}) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , (i.e. the translation defined by the four-vector  $\mathbf{a}$  followed by the homogeneous transformation  $\Lambda$ ), is the same as the action of its homogeneous part alone. The isotropy subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  at  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$  is the product of the isotropy subgroup  $\mathfrak{L}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  at  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$  (the “little group” corresponding to the momentum vector  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$ ), with the subgroup  $\{t\}$  of translations.

If  $\bar{\sigma}$  denotes a linear representation of the little group, acting on a complex vector space  $U$ , then the mapping

$$(10) \quad \sigma : (\Lambda_0, \mathbf{a}) \rightarrow \exp(i \mathbf{a} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}) \cdot \bar{\sigma}(\Lambda_0),$$

( $\Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{L}_0$ ;  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}} \equiv a^i \dot{p}_i$ ), is a linear representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ , acting on  $U$ , and the corresponding induced representation  $\rho$  (or  $\rho^*$ ) of  $\mathfrak{S}$  can be constructed. The corresponding representation space will be denoted by  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  (or  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$ ). It can be shown that with this procedure all the irreducible unitary representations of  $\mathfrak{S}$  can be obtained, starting from all possible momentum vectors and all possible unitary representations of the corresponding little groups.

Without changing the definition of  $M$ , consider now the GBM group ( $G \equiv \mathfrak{G}$ ), and define the action of any supertranslation  $(I, \alpha)$  as the identity transformation. Then  $\mathfrak{G}$  acts transitively on  $M$ , the action of its generic element  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$  being the same as the action of the transformation  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{L}$  alone. Denote by  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  the product  $\mathfrak{L}_0 \{s\}$  of the little group  $\mathfrak{L}$ : with the supertranslation subgroup  $\{s\}$ , so that  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  is the isotropy group of  $\mathfrak{G}$  at  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$ . If  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{L}_0$ , the mapping

$$(11) \quad \tilde{\sigma} : h \equiv (\Lambda_0, \alpha) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}(h) \equiv \exp(i \mathbf{a}_{\Lambda_0^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}) \bar{\sigma}(\Lambda_0),$$

( $\Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\alpha \in \{s\}$ ), where  $\mathbf{a}_p$  denotes the vector of the translation AT  $(\theta, \varphi)$  to  $(I, \alpha)$ , (see ref. [2]), defines a linear representation of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  acting on the

tensor product  $V = F \otimes U$  of the space  $F$  of all  $C^2$  functions on the sphere with the representation space  $U$  of  $\bar{\sigma}$ , the action of  $\bar{\sigma}(\hbar)$  on  $V$  being such that

$$(12) \quad \bar{\sigma}(\hbar) \cdot f(\theta, \varphi) \otimes \varphi = \exp(i \mathbf{a}_{\Lambda_0^{-1} \mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) f(\Lambda_0^{-1} \theta, \Lambda_0^{-1} \varphi) \otimes \bar{\sigma}(\Lambda_0) \varphi,$$

( $f \in F$ ,  $\varphi \in U$ ,  $\hbar \equiv (\Lambda_0, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$ ). Hence one can construct the representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  (or  $\tilde{\rho}^*$ ) of  $\mathfrak{G}$  induced by  $\bar{\sigma}$ . The corresponding representation space will be denoted by  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{G}}$  (or  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{G}}^*$ ).

4. RELATION BETWEEN THE REPRESENTATIONS  $\rho^*$  AND  $\tilde{\rho}^*$ .—It will now be shown that *whenever the representations  $\rho^*$  and  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  respectively are constructed from the same momentum vector  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  and the same representation  $\bar{\sigma}$  of the corresponding little group  $\mathfrak{L}_0$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  coincides, up to a system of multipliers, with the representation of  $G$  obtained from  $\rho^*$  by the procedure described in reference [2].*

Consider, first, the representation  $\rho^*$ . Denote by  $\{\varphi_{\zeta}\}$  a basis in  $U$ ; (it is convenient to regard  $\zeta$  as a discrete index, as in fact it is whenever  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  is timelike, in which case the little group is the three-dimensional rotation group. However the assumption that  $\zeta$  be discrete is not essential). With respect to this basis the generic element of  $U$  is described by a real-valued function  $f(\zeta)$ ; a cross-section  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$  of the product bundle  $M \times U$  is therefore described by a function  $f(\mathbf{p}, \zeta)$  of  $\zeta$  and the three independent components ( $p_1, p_2, p_3$ ) of  $\mathbf{p}$ . The operator  $\rho^*(a)$  corresponding to the translation  $a$  defined by the vector  $\mathbf{a}$  transforms the cross-section  $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^* \in \hat{U}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$ , according to (9) and (10), into the cross-section  $\hat{\psi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$  such that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(\mathbf{p}) &= \mathbf{p} \times \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}(a^{-1} \bar{g}) = \mathbf{p} \times \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\bar{g} \bar{g}^{-1} a^{-1} \bar{g}) = \\ &= \mathbf{p} \times \sigma(\bar{g}^{-1} a \bar{g}) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\bar{g}) = \mathbf{p} \times \exp(i \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\bar{g}) = \\ &= \mathbf{p} \times \exp(i \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\bar{g}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda$  denotes the homogeneous part of the inhomogeneous transformation  $\bar{g}$ , and the fact that  $\bar{g}^{-1} a \bar{g}$  is the translation represented by the vector  $\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{a}$  has been taken into account. Clearly the function  $f'$  which represents  $\hat{\psi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$  is given by  $f'(\mathbf{p}, \zeta) = \exp(i \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}) f(\mathbf{p}, \zeta)$ . Similarly, the operator  $\rho^*(\Lambda)$  corresponding to a homogeneous Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda$  transforms  $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$ , according to (9), into the cross-section  $\hat{\psi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$  such that

$$\hat{\psi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} \times Q(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}) \Phi_{\mathfrak{S}}(\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}),$$

where  $Q(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})$  is a linear transformation of  $U$  depending on  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{p}$ , so that the function  $f'$  associated with  $\hat{\psi}_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$  has the form

$$(14) \quad f'(\mathbf{p}, \zeta) = \sum_{\eta} Q(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})_{\zeta\eta} f(\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}, \eta),$$

where  $Q(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})_{\zeta\eta}$  is the matrix of the transformation  $Q(\Lambda, \mathbf{p})$  in the basis  $\{\varphi_{\zeta}\}$ .

Consider now the representation  $\tilde{\rho}^*$ . The representation space  $V$  of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  admits the basis  $\{\mathbf{Y}_{lm} \otimes \varphi_{\zeta}\}$ , where the  $Y_{lm}$ 's denote the associated Legendre polynomials, and the generic element of  $V$  is described by a function  $f(\zeta, l, m)$ . A cross-section  $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \in \hat{U}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  can be associated with a function  $f(p, \zeta, l, m)$ , or, equivalently, with the function  $f(p, \zeta; \theta, \varphi) \equiv \sum_{l, m} f(p, \zeta, l, m) \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$  which can be regarded as defining a continuous mapping

$$(\theta, \varphi) \rightarrow \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\theta, \varphi) \quad , \quad (\hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\theta, \varphi) \in \hat{U}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*),$$

of the sphere into the space of cross-sections of the bundle  $M \times U$ : this shows that the representation space of  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  is identical with the representation space  $\mathfrak{H}$  described in ref. [2], provided that the representation  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  on which the construction of  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  is based is taken to be identical with  $\rho^*$ .

According to (9) and (11), taking the linearity of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  into account, one has, for a supertranslation  $\zeta \equiv (1, \alpha)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^*(s) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)(p) &= p \times \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(s^{-1} \bar{g}) = p \times \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\bar{g} \bar{g}^{-1} s^{-1} \bar{g}) = \\ &= p \times \tilde{\sigma}(\bar{g}^{-1} s \bar{g}) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\bar{g}) = p \times \sum_{\zeta} \{[\exp(i \Lambda^{-1} a_P \cdot \hat{p}) \cdot \\ &\cdot f(p, \zeta; \theta, \varphi)] \otimes \varphi_{\zeta}\} = p \times \sum_{\zeta} [\exp(i a_P \cdot p) f(p, \zeta; \theta, \varphi) \otimes \varphi_{\zeta}] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda$  denotes the homogeneous part of the GBM transformation  $\bar{g}$  representing the left coset associated with  $p$ , and the fact that  $\bar{g}^{-1} a_P \bar{g}$  is the translation represented by the vector  $\Lambda^{-1} a_P$  has been taken into account. Regarding  $\rho^*(s) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  as a map of the sphere into  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ , the last result can be read as follows:

$$\rho^*(s) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*: (\theta, \varphi) \rightarrow \exp(i a_P \cdot p) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\theta, \varphi) \equiv \rho^*(a_P) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\theta, \varphi).$$

This shows that, for supertranslations, the operators of the representation  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  described in ref. [2] are identical with the corresponding operators of  $\rho^*$ , provided that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is taken to be identical with  $\rho^*$ .

Finally, if  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{L}$  is a homogeneous Lorentz transformation, one has, from (9)

$$(\tilde{\rho}^*(\Sigma) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)(p) = p \times \tilde{\sigma}(h_{\Sigma, p}) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Sigma^{-1} p),$$

where  $h_{\Sigma, p}$  is an element of  $G_0$  depending on  $\Sigma$  and  $p$ , for a given choice of the representatives of the cosets. If the choice of the representatives is the same as for the representation  $\rho^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , one can write, taking (9), (12) and (14) into account:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\rho}^*(\Sigma) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)(p) &= p \times \left[ \sum_{\zeta} f(\Sigma^{-1} p, \zeta; \Lambda_0^{-1} \theta, \Lambda_0^{-1} \varphi) \otimes \tilde{\sigma}(h_{\Sigma, p}) \cdot \varphi_{\zeta} \right] = \\ &= p \times \left[ \sum_{\zeta \eta} Q(\Sigma, p)_{\zeta \eta} R_{\Sigma, p} f(\Sigma^{-1} p, \eta; \Sigma^{-1} \theta, \Sigma^{-1} \varphi) \otimes \varphi_{\zeta} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda_0$  denotes the homogeneous part of the transformation  $h_{\Sigma, p}$ , and  $R_{\Sigma, p}$  is a linear transformation on the space  $F$ , depending on  $\Sigma$  and  $p$ . Regarding



the elements of  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  as mappings of the sphere into  $\hat{U}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ , the last result can be read as follows:

$$(\tilde{\rho}^*(\Sigma) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)(p) : (\theta, \varphi) \rightarrow p \times R_{\Sigma, p} \rho^*(\Sigma) \hat{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\Lambda^{-1} \theta, \Lambda^{-1} \varphi).$$

By comparison with the definition of the operators of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ , (ref. [2]), it is immediately seen that the restrictions of  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$  to  $\mathfrak{L}$  coincide, up to a system of multipliers, whenever the representation  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is taken to be identical with  $\rho^*$ . On account of the previous results, the same is true for the whole representations  $\tilde{\rho}^*$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

Indirectly, this shows that the linear representations of  $\mathfrak{S}$  obtained from *unitary* representations of the little group can always be transformed, by suitable choices of multipliers, into *unitary* representations of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

The irreducible components of the latter representations are determined in reference [3].

#### REFERENCES.

- [1] H. BOND, M. G. J. VAN DER BURG, A. W. K. METZNER, « Proc. Roy. Soc. » (London) *A* 269, 21 (1962).
- [2] V. CANTONI, « J. Math. Phys. », 7, 1361 (1966).
- [3] V. CANTONI, « J. Math. Phys. », (to be published).
- [4] R. HERMANN, *Lie Groups for Physicists* (Benjamin 1966).
- [5] G. W. MACKEY, *The theory of group representations* (Univ. of Chicago, 1955).
- [6] R. K. SACHS, « Proc. Roy. Soc. » (London), *A* 270, 103 (1962).
- [7] R. K. SACHS, « Phys. Rev. », 128, 2851 (1962).
- [8] E. WIGNER, « Ann. Math. », 40, 149 (1939).