

---

# BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

---

GIUSEPPE TOMASSINI, SERGIO VENTURINI

## Transversally Pseudoconvex Foliations

*Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 9*, Vol. **3** (2010), n.2,  
p. 267–279.

Unione Matematica Italiana

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\\_2010\\_9\\_3\\_2\\_267\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2010_9_3_2_267_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

*SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



## Transversally Pseudoconvex Foliations

GIUSEPPE TOMASSINI (\*) - SERGIO VENTURINI

*Dedicated to Aldo Andreotti*

**Abstract.** – We consider real analytic foliations  $X$  with complex leaves of transversal dimension one and we give the notion of transversal pseudoconvexity. This amounts to require that the transverse bundle  $N_F$  to the leaves carries a metric  $\{\lambda_j\}$  on the the fibres such that the tangential  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\Omega = \{\lambda_j \bar{\partial} \partial \lambda_j - 2 \bar{\partial} \lambda_j \partial \lambda_j\}$  is positive. This condition is of a special interest if the foliation  $X$  is 1 complete i.e. admits a smooth exhaustion function  $\phi$  which is strongly plusubharmonic along the leaves. In this situation we prove that there exist an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X$  in the complexification  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  and a non negative smooth function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is plurisubharmonic in  $U$ , strongly plurisubharmonic on  $U \setminus X$  and such that  $X$  is the zero set of  $u$ . This result has many implications: every compact sublevel  $\bar{X}_c = \{x \in X : \phi \leq c\}$  is a Stein compact and if  $S(X)$  is the algebra of smooth CR functions on  $X$ , the restriction map  $S(X) \rightarrow S(X_c)$  has a dense image (Theorem 4.1); a transversally pseudoconvex, 1-complete, real analytic foliation  $X$  with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  properly embeds in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+3}$  by a CR map and the sheaf  $S = S_X$  of germs of smooth CR functions on  $X$  is cohomologically trivial.

### 1. – Introduction.

In this short note we consider real analytic foliations  $X$  with complex leaves of transversal dimension one and we give the notion of transversal pseudoconvexity. This amounts to require that the transverse bundle  $N_F$  to the leaves carries a metric  $\{\lambda_j\}$  on the the fibres such that the tangential  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\Omega = \{\lambda_j \bar{\partial} \partial \lambda_j - 2 \bar{\partial} \lambda_j \partial \lambda_j\}$  is positive (cfr. Section 3). This condition is of a special interest if the foliation  $X$  is 1 complete i.e. admits a smooth exhaustion function  $\phi$  which is strongly plusubharmonic along the leaves. In this situation we prove the following result (see Theorem 3.1): there exist an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X$  in the complexification  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  and a non negative smooth function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whis is plurisubharmonic in  $U$ , strongly plurisubharmonic on  $U \setminus X$  and such that  $X$  is the zero set of  $u$ . This result has many implications. First of all it allows us to

(\*) Supported by the project MURST “Geometric Properties of Real and Complex Manifolds”.

prove that every compact sublevel  $\overline{X}_c = \{x \in X : \phi \leq c\}$  is a Stein compact and, denoting  $S(X)$  the algebra of smooth  $CR$  functions on  $X$ , the restriction map  $S(X) \rightarrow S(X_c)$  has a dense image (Theorem 4.1). Then, arguing as in the complex case, we show that a transversally pseudoconvex, 1-complete, real analytic foliation  $X$  with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  properly embeds in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+3}$  by a  $CR$  map (Theorem 4.4). Finally, under the same hypothesis for  $X$ , in Theorem 5.1 we prove that the sheaf  $S = S_X$  of germs of smooth  $CR$  functions on  $X$  is cohomologically trivial.

## 2. – Preliminaries.

### 2.1 – $q$ -pseudoconvex foliations.

We recall that a *foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d$*  is a smooth foliation  $X$  whose local models are subdomains  $U_j = V_j \times B_j$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and coordinates transformations are of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} z_j = f_{jk}(z_k, t_k) \\ t_j = g_{jk}(t_k) \end{cases}$$

where  $f_{jk} = (f_{jk}^1, \dots, f_{jk}^n)$ ,  $g_{jk} = (g_{jk}^1, \dots, g_{jk}^d)$  are smooth maps and  $f_{jk}$  is holomorphic with respect to  $z_k$ . If we replace  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and we suppose that  $f$  and  $h$  are holomorphic we get the notion of *holomorphic foliation of (complex) codimension  $k$* . A domain  $U_j$  as above is called a *distinguished coordinate domain* of  $X$  and  $z_j^1, \dots, z_j^n, t_j^1, \dots, t_j^d$  *distinguished local coordinates*.

$S = S_X$  denotes the sheaf of germs of smooth  $CR$  functions (i.e. smooth functions which are holomorphic along the leaves) on  $X$  and  $S_0 = S_{0,X} \subset S$  the subsheaf of those germs which are constant on the leaves; both are Fréchet sheaves. If  $X$  is a real analytic foliation then, by definition,  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}'_X$  is the sheaf of germs of real analytic  $CR$  functions on  $X$ .

Given a subset  $C$  of  $X$  we denote  $\widehat{C}_{S(X)}$  the envelope of  $C$  with respect to the algebra  $S(X)$ .

A *morphism or CR map*  $F : X \rightarrow X'$  of foliations with complex leaves is a smooth map preserving the leaves and such that the restrictions to leaves are holomorphic.

A smooth function  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *strongly  $q$ -pseudoconvex (along the leaves)* if the restriction of  $\phi$  to any leaf is  $q$ -pseudoconvex i.e. its Levi form has at least  $n - q + 1$  positive eigenvalues.

A foliation  $X$  with complex leaves is said to be *strongly  $q$ -pseudoconvex* if  $X$  carries a smooth exhaustion  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  which is strongly  $q$ -pseudoconvex outside a compact subset  $K \subset X$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq n + 1$ . In particular,  $X$  is said  *$q$ -complete* if  $K = \emptyset$ . We denote by  $\overline{X}_c$  the sublevel  $\{x \in X : \phi \leq c\}$ .

## 2.2 – Complexification.

A real analytic foliation with complex leaves can be complexified. There exists a holomorphic foliation  $\tilde{X}$  of codimension  $d$  with a closed real analytic  $CR$ -embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ . In order to construct  $\tilde{X}$  we consider a covering by distinguished domains  $\{U_j = V_j \times B_j\}$  and we complexify each  $B_j$  in such a way to obtain domains  $\tilde{U}_j$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^d$ . The domains  $\tilde{U}_j$  are patched together by the local holomorphic transformations

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} z_j = \tilde{f}_{jk}(z_k, \tau_k) \\ \tau_j = \tilde{g}_{jk}(\tau_k) \end{cases}$$

obtained complexifying the variable  $t_k = (t_k^1, \dots, t_k^d)$  by  $\tau_k = t_k + i\theta_k$ ,  $\theta_k = (\theta_k^1, \dots, \theta_k^d)$  in  $f_{jk}$  and  $g_{jk}$  (cfr. 1).

REMARK 2.1. – If  $X$  is real analytic Levi flat hypersurface in a complex manifold  $Z$  then  $Z$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are biholomorphic along  $X$ .

In [3, Theorem 2] is proved that if  $X$  is  $q$ -complete with a smooth exhaustion function  $\phi$  then every sublevel  $\bar{X}_c = \{x \in X : \phi \leq c\}$  has a fundamental system of neighbourhoods in  $\tilde{X}$  which are  $(q+1)$ -complete complex manifolds.

Let  $\tilde{X}$  the complexification of  $X$ . Then the structure cocycle of the (holomorphic) transverse bundle  $\tilde{N}_F$  (to the leaves of  $\tilde{X}$ ) is

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{jk}(\tau_k)}{\partial \tau_k} = \frac{\partial \tau_j}{\partial \tau_k} = \left( \frac{\partial \tau_j^a}{\partial \tau_k^\beta} \right).$$

Let  $z_j, \tau_j$  holomorphic coordinates on  $\tilde{U}_j$  and let  $\theta_j = \text{Im } \tau_j$ . Then we have  $\theta_j = \text{Im } \tilde{g}_{jk}(\tau_k)$  on  $\tilde{U}_j \cap \tilde{U}_k$  and consequently, since  $\text{Im } \tilde{g}_{jk} = 0$  on  $X$ ,

$$(4) \quad \theta^a = \sum_{\beta=1}^d \psi_{jk}^{a\beta} \theta_k^\beta$$

where  $\psi_{jk} = (\psi_{jk}^{rs})$  is an invertible  $d \times d$  matrix whose entries are real analytic functions on  $\tilde{U}_j \cap \tilde{U}_k$ . Moreover, since  $\tilde{g}_{jk}$  is holomorphic and  $\tilde{g}_{jk|X} = g_{jk}$  is real, we also have  $\psi_{jk|X} = \partial g_{jk} / \partial t_k$ .

## 3. – Transversally pseudoconvex foliations.

Let  $X$  be a foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d = 1$ ,  $N_F$  the transverse bundle to the leaves of  $X$ . A metric on the fibres of  $N_F$  is an assignment of a distinguished covering  $\{U_j\}$  of  $X$  and for every  $j$  a smooth

map  $\lambda_j^0 : U_j \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lambda_k^0 = \left( \frac{dg_{jk}}{dt_k} \right)^2 \lambda_j^0.$$

Denoting  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  the complex differentiation along the leaves of  $X$  the local tangential form

$$(5) \quad \Omega = 2\bar{\partial}\partial \log \lambda_j^0 - \bar{\partial} \log \lambda_j^0 \wedge \partial \log \lambda_j^0 = \frac{\lambda_j^0 \bar{\partial}\partial \lambda_j^0 - 2\bar{\partial} \lambda_j^0 \wedge \partial \lambda_j^0}{\lambda_j^{0^3}}$$

actually is global. The foliation  $X$  is said to be *transversally pseudoconvex* if  $\Omega > 0$ .

More generally, the foliation  $X$  is said to be *transversally  $q$ -pseudoconvex* if a metric on the fibres can be chosen in such a way that the hermitian form associated to  $\Omega$  has at least  $n - q + 1$  positive eigenvalues.

REMARK 3.1. – Transverse  $q$ -pseudoconvexity is a strong condition. For instance assume  $q = 1$  and that  $X$  is transversally pseudoconvex. Then, due to the fact that the functions  $g_{jk}$  do not depend on  $z$ ,  $\omega_0 = \{\partial\bar{\partial} \log \lambda_j^0\}$  and  $\eta = \{\partial \log \lambda_j^0\}$  are global tangential forms on  $X$ ; moreover  $\omega_0$  is positive and exact,  $\omega_0 = d\eta$ , so on each leaf  $\omega_0$  gives a Kähler metric whose Kähler form is exact. In particular no positive compact complex subspace is present in  $X$ .

REMARK 3.2. – A real hyperplane  $X$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is not transversally pseudoconvex. Indeed, assume  $n = 2$  and let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be defined by  $v = 0$ , where  $z = x + iy$ ,  $w = u + iv$  are holomorphic coordinates. Transverse pseudoconvexity of  $X$  amounts to the existence of a positive smooth function  $\lambda = \lambda(z, u)$ ,  $(z, u) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , such that

$$\lambda \lambda_{z\bar{z}} - 2|\lambda_z|^2 > 0.$$

Consider the function  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Then

$$\lambda_{z\bar{z}}^{-1} = \frac{2|\lambda_z|^2 - \lambda \lambda_{z\bar{z}}}{\lambda^3} < 0$$

so, for every fixed  $u$ , the function  $\lambda^{-1}$  is positive and superharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}_z$ , hence it is constant with respect to  $z$ : contradiction.

Every domain  $D \subset X = \mathbb{C}^z \times \mathbb{R}_u$  which projects over a bounded domain  $D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^z$  is strongly transversally pseudoconvex. Indeed, it sufficient to take for  $\lambda$  a function  $\mu^{-1} \circ \pi$  where  $\mu$  is a positive superharmonic on  $D_0$  and  $\pi$  is the natural projection  $\mathbb{C}^z \times \mathbb{R}_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_z$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1. – Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{R}_u$  be the smooth family of  $n$ -balls of  $\mathbb{C}_z^n$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $w = u + iv$ , defined by

$$\begin{cases} v = 0, \\ |z - a(u)|^2 < b(u)^2 \end{cases}$$

where  $a = a(u)$  is a smooth map  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $b = b(u)$  is smooth from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $|a(u)|, |b(u)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|u| \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $X$  is strongly transversally pseudoconvex with function

$$\lambda(z, u) = \frac{1}{b(u)^2 - |z - a(u)|^2}$$

and 1-complete with exhaustion function  $\lambda = \phi$ .

We want to prove the following

THEOREM 3.1. – *Let  $X$  be a real analytic foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d = 1$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  the complexification of  $X$ . Assume that  $X$  is transversally pseudoconvex. Then there exist an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $\tilde{X}$  and a non negative smooth function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the following properties*

- i)  $X = \{x \in U : u(x) = 0\}$
- ii)  $u$  is plurisubharmonic in  $U$  and strongly plurisubharmonic on  $U \setminus X$ .

PROOF. – Let us assume first  $n = 1$ . Keeping the notations of subsection 2.2 let  $\psi_{ij}$  be the cocycle defined by (4) and  $E$  the line bundle associated to  $\psi_{ij}$ ;  $E$  which extends  $N_F$  on a neighbourhood of  $X$ . Let  $\{\lambda_j^0\}$  be a metric on the fibres of  $N_F$ ;  $\{\lambda_j^0\}$  is a smooth section of the line bundle  $N_F^{-2}$  so it extends by a smooth section of  $E_F^{-2}$  giving a metric  $\{\lambda_j\}$  on the fibres of  $E$ .

Now consider on  $\tilde{X}$  the smooth function  $u$  locally defined by  $\lambda_j \theta_j^2$  (where  $\tau_j = t_j + i\theta_j$ );  $u$  is non negative and positive outside of  $X$ . Drop the subscript and compute the Levi form  $L(u)$  of  $u$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(u)(\zeta, \eta) &= A\zeta\bar{\zeta} + 2\operatorname{Re}(B\zeta\bar{\eta}) + C\eta\bar{\eta} \\ (6) \quad &= \lambda_{z,\bar{z}}\theta^2\zeta\bar{\zeta} + 2\operatorname{Re}\{(\lambda_{z,\bar{z}}\theta^2 + i\lambda_z\theta)\zeta\bar{\eta}\} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_{\tau,\bar{\tau}}\theta^2 + i\lambda_\tau\theta - i\lambda_{\bar{\tau}}\theta + \lambda/2)\eta\bar{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(7) \quad C = \lambda/2 + \theta\psi$$

and

$$(8) \quad AC - |B|^2 = \theta^2 (\lambda_{z\bar{z}} - 2|\lambda_z|^2) + \theta^3 \phi$$

where  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are smooth function. Observe that  $C > 0$  when  $\theta$  is small enough. The coefficient of  $\theta^2$  in (8) is nothing but that of the form  $\lambda^2 \Omega$  so, being  $X$  strongly transversally pseudoconvex,  $L(u)$  is positive definite near each point of  $X$  and strictly positive away from  $X$ . It follows that there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $u$  is plurisubharmonic on  $U$ .

Assume now that  $n$  is arbitrary. Then we need to prove that given  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \neq 0$  if  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta) \neq 0$  and  $\theta \neq 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} L(u)(\xi, \eta) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_{z_i \bar{z}_j} \theta^2 \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Re} \{ (\lambda_{z_i \bar{\tau}} \theta^2 + i \lambda_{z_i} \theta) \xi_i \bar{\eta} \} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_{\tau \bar{\tau}} \theta^2 + i \lambda_{\tau} \theta - i \lambda_{\bar{\tau}} \theta + \lambda/2) \eta \bar{\eta} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

if  $\theta$  is small enough.

If  $\xi = 0$  then  $\eta \neq 0$  and

$$L(u)(0, \eta) = (\lambda_{\tau \bar{\tau}} \theta^2 + i \lambda_{\tau} \theta - i \lambda_{\bar{\tau}} \theta + \lambda/2) \eta \bar{\eta} > 0.$$

If  $\xi \neq 0$  then  $\xi_j \neq 0$  for some  $j$ . Performing the change of variable given by

$$\begin{aligned} z_i &= w_i + \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_j} w_j \quad i \neq j, \\ z_j &= w_j, \end{aligned}$$

we have the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} = \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} L(u)(\xi, \eta) &= \lambda_{w_j \bar{w}_j} \theta^2 \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j + 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ (\lambda_{w_j \bar{\tau}} \theta^2 + i \lambda_{w_j} \theta) \xi_j \bar{\eta} \} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_{\tau \bar{\tau}} \theta^2 + i \lambda_{\tau} \theta - i \lambda_{\bar{\tau}} \theta + \lambda/2) \eta \bar{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument given in the proof of the case  $n = 1$  yields the desired result.  $\square$

REMARK 3.3. – In view of (6), the Levi form  $L(u)$  at a point of  $X$  is always positive in the transversal direction  $\eta$ .

THEOREM 3.2. – *Let  $X$  be a real analytic foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d = 1$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  the complexification of  $X$ . Assume*



that  $X$  is transversally pseudoconvex and 1-complete. Then for every compact subset  $K \subset X$  there exist an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $K$  in  $\tilde{X}$ , a smooth strongly plurisubharmonic function  $v : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and a constant  $\bar{c}$  such that

$$K \subseteq \{v < \bar{c}\} \cap X \subseteq V \cap X.$$

PROOF. — Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be an exhaustion function, strongly plurisubharmonic along the leaves and a sublevel  $X_c$  of  $\phi$  such that  $K \subset X_c$ . Consider  $U \subset \tilde{X}$ ,  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as in Theorem 3.1 and the function  $v = au + \tilde{\phi}$  where  $\tilde{\phi} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a smooth extension of  $\phi$  to  $U$  and  $a$  a positive constant. Then, in view of Remark 3.3, it is possible choose  $a$  in such a way  $L(v)(x) > 0$  for every  $x$  in a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\bar{X}_c$ ,  $c < c'$ . Thus, in order to end the proof, it is sufficient to take  $\bar{c} = c'$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. — The statement of Theorem 3.2 holds if  $X$  is a transversally pseudoconvex 1-complete real analytic Levi flat hypersurface of a complex manifold  $Z$  (cfr. 2.1).

## 4. — Applications.

### 4.1 — Stein bases and a density theorem.

Let  $X$  be a smooth foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d$ . Let  $S(X)$  be the algebra of the CR functions in  $X$ . The  $S(X)$  — envelope of a subset  $C$  of  $X$  is the subset

$$\widehat{C}_{S(X)} = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq \|f\|_C, \forall f \in S(X)\}.$$

$C$  is said to be  $S(X)$  — convex if  $C = \widehat{C}_{S(X)}$ .

The foliation  $X$  is said to be  $S(X)$  — convex if the  $S(X)$ -envelope  $\widehat{K}_{S(X)}$  of a compact subset  $K \subset X$  is also compact.

THEOREM 4.1. — Let  $X$  be a real analytic foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d = 1$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  the complexification of  $X$ . Assume that  $X$  is transversally pseudoconvex and 1-complete and let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a smooth function displaying the 1-completeness of  $X$ . Then

- i)  $\bar{X}_c$  has in  $\tilde{X}$  a Stein basis of neighbourhoods;
- ii) for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  the restriction map

$$S(X) \rightarrow S(X_c)$$

has a dense image.

PROOF. – In view of Theorem 3.1 we may suppose that  $X = \{u = 0\}$  where  $u : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is plurisubharmonic and strongly plurisubharmonic on  $\tilde{X} \setminus X$ .

Let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $\bar{X}_c$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . We apply Theorem 3.2 with  $K = \bar{X}_c$ : there exists an open neighbourhood  $V \subset U$  of  $\bar{X}_c$  in  $\tilde{X}$ , a smooth strongly plurisubharmonic function  $v : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and a constant  $\bar{c}$  such that

$$\bar{X}_c \subset \{v < \bar{c}\} \cap X \subset V \cap X.$$

It follows that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small  $W = \{v < \bar{c}\} \cap \{u < \varepsilon\} \subset V \subset U$  is a Stein neighbourhood of  $\bar{X}_c$ .

In order to prove ii) it is sufficient to show that for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  a CR function on a neighbourhood of  $\bar{X}_c$  can be approximated in the  $C^\infty$  topology by smooth CR functions on  $X$ .

Let  $f$  be a smooth CR function on a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $X_c$  in  $X$ ,  $c < c'$ , such that  $\bar{X}_{c'} \subset V$ . For every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $\bar{B}_j = \bar{X}_{c'+j}$  and choose a Stein neighbourhood  $U_j$  of  $\bar{B}_j$  such that  $\bar{B}_j$  has  $U_{j+1}$  a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods  $W_j \subset U_{j+1} \cap U_j$  which are Runge domains in  $U_{j+1}$ . Since  $\bar{B}_0$  is the zero set of  $u$  the  $\mathcal{O}(U_0)$ -envelope of  $\bar{B}_0$  is compact and contained in  $X \cap U_0$  (cfr. [4, Theorem 4.3.4]), so we may assume that  $\bar{B}_0$  is  $\mathcal{O}(U_0)$ -convex. Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{B}_0}^{(k)}$  be a  $C^k$ -norm on  $\bar{B}_0$ . Then, in view of the approximation theorem of Freeman (cfr. [2, Theorem 1.3]), given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(U_0)$  such that  $\|\tilde{f} - f\|_{\bar{B}_0}^{(k)} < \varepsilon$ . Now, for every  $j \geq 1$  take  $W_j$  such that  $\bar{W}_j$  is a Runge domain in  $U_{j+1}$  and a holomorphic function  $F_j \in \mathcal{O}(U_j)$  satisfying

$$\|F_1 - \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{W}_0}^{(k)} < \varepsilon/2, \quad \|F_{j+1} - F_j\|_{\bar{W}_j}^{(k)} < \varepsilon/2^{j+1};$$

the  $C^k$  function

$$g = F_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (F_{j+1} - F_j)$$

is CR on  $X$  and  $\|g - f\|_{\bar{B}_0}^{(k)} \leq 2\varepsilon$ . □

The construction performed in the proof of Theorem 4.1 gives, in particular, the following approximation theorem that will be used later.

**THEOREM 4.2.** – *Let  $X$  be a real analytic foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d = 1$ , transversally pseudoconvex and 1-complete,  $\tilde{X}$  the complexification of  $X$ . Let  $K$  an  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ -convex compact subset of  $X$ . Then every function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by functions in  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ .*

PROOF. – In view of Theorem 3.1 we may suppose that  $X = \{u = 0\}$  where  $u : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is plurisubharmonic and strongly plurisubharmonic on  $\tilde{X} \setminus X$ .

Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a smooth function displaying the 1-completeness of  $X$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $K \subset X_c$ . In view of Theorem 4.1 we may assume that  $f$  is holomorphic on a Stein neighbourhood  $U_c \subset U$  of  $K$  such that  $\overline{X}_c \subset U_c$  and  $U_c \cap X$  is holomorphically convex with  $\widehat{K}_{U_c} \subseteq U_c \cap X$ . Moreover, since the image of the restriction map

$$\mathcal{O}(U_c) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(U_c \cap X)$$

is everywhere dense and  $K$  is  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ -convex, we have

$$K \equiv \widehat{K}_{\mathbf{S}(X)} \equiv \widehat{K}_{U_c}$$

so  $K$  is  $\mathcal{O}(U_c)$ -convex. The classical approximation theorem for holomorphic functions (cf. [4, Corollary 5.2.9]) now implies that  $f|_K$  is approximated by functions in  $\mathbf{S}(U_c \cap X)$  whence by functions in  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ .  $\square$

#### 4.2 – An embedding theorem.

Let  $X$  be a foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d$ . Denote  $\mathbf{S}(X)$  the algebra of the  $CR$  functions in  $X$ . We say that  $X$  is a *Stein foliation* if

- i)  $\mathbf{S}(X)$  separates points of  $X$ ;
- ii) for every  $x_0 \in X$  there exist a  $CR$  map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+d}$  which is regular at  $x_0$ ;
- iii)  $X$  is  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ -convex.

We also denote by

$$\mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^N) = \mathbf{S}(X)^{\oplus N} \subset C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C}^N)$$

the set of all smooth  $CR$  maps  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ . Endowed with the induced topology  $\mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^N)$  is a Fréchet space.

**THEOREM 4.3.** – *A transversally pseudoconvex 1-complete real analytic foliation  $X$  of real codimension  $d = 1$  is Stein.*

**PROOF.** – It is a consequence of Theorem 4.1. Indeed, let  $\phi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function displaying the completeness of the complexification of  $X$ . Given  $x, y \in X$  consider a sublevel  $X_c$  of  $\phi$  containing  $x, y$  and a Stein neighbourhood  $U \subset \widetilde{X}$  containing  $\overline{X}_c$  (cfr. Theorem 4.1, i)). Then there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . In order to conclude the proof of i) it is sufficient to approximate  $f|_{\overline{X}_c}$  (cfr. Theorem 4.1, ii)). The proof of ii) is analogous: given  $x \in X_c$  we take holomorphic functions  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}$  in  $U$  giving a local biholomorphism at  $x_0$  and we approximate  $f_1|_{\overline{X}_c}, \dots, f_{n+1}|_{\overline{X}_c}$  by global  $CR$  functions. Finally, consider a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and let  $K \subset X_c$ . Consider  $U \subset \widetilde{X}$  and  $u : U \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  plur-

isubharmonic such that  $X = \{u = 0\}$  (cfr. Theorem 3.1). Arguing as in Theorem 3.2 we can construct a sequence  $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots$  of Stein open subsets of  $\tilde{X}$  with the following properties:

- 1)  $\Omega_v \supset \overline{X}_{c+v}$ ,  $v = 0, 1, \dots$
- 2)  $\Omega_n \cap \Omega_{v-1}$  is Runge in  $\Omega_{v-1}$  and  $\Omega_v$ ,  $v = 1, 2, \dots$

Denoting  $\hat{K}_{\Omega_v}$  the envelope of  $K$  with respect to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_v)$  we have

$$(9) \quad \hat{K}_{\Omega_v} \supset \hat{K}_{S(X \cap \Omega_v)}$$

(cfr. [4, Theorem 4.3.4]) and

$$(10) \quad \hat{K}_{\Omega_v} = \hat{K}_{\Omega_v \cap \Omega_0}, \quad v = 0, 1, \dots$$

(cfr. [4, Theorem 4.3.3]). On the other hand, in view of the approximation theorem (cfr. Theorem 4.1) we have

$$(11) \quad \hat{K}_{S(X)} \cap X_{c+v} = \hat{K}_{S(X \cap \Omega_v)}.$$

Now (9), (10), (4.3) imply

$$\hat{K}_{S(X)} \cap X_{c+v} = \hat{K}_{S(X \cap \Omega_v)} \subset \hat{K}_{\Omega_v} = \hat{K}_{\Omega_v \cap \Omega_0}$$

which is a compact subset of  $X \cap \Omega_0$ . This prove that  $X$  is  $(X)$ -convex. □

We want to prove the following

**THEOREM 4.4.** — *Let  $X$  be a real analytic foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension  $d = 1$ . Assume that  $X$  is transversally pseudoconvex and 1-complete. Then  $X$  embeds in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+3}$  as a closed submanifold by a CR map.*

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a complexification of  $X$ . We may assume that  $X$  is the zero set of nonnegative pluriharmonic function  $u : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . Every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  has a Stein neighbourhood  $V$  in  $\tilde{X}$  so, using again the approximation theorem (cfr. Theorem 4.1) we can extend to  $X$  the classical preparatory lemmas for the embedding of Stein manifolds (cfr. [4]):

- a) for  $N$  large there is  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^N)$  which is regular and one-to-one on  $K$ ;
- b) if  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^N)$  and  $N > n + 1$  then  $F(K)$  has (Lebesgue) measure 0;
- c) if  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_{N+1}) \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ ,  $N \geq 2n + 2$ , is a regular map on  $K$  then, for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  outside a set of measure 0,  $F = (F_1 - a_1 F_{N+1}, \dots, F_N - a_N F_{N+1})$  is a regular one-to-one map on  $K$ ;
- d) the set of all  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^N)$  which do not give a regular map of  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  is of the first category if  $N \geq 2n + 1$ ;
- e) the set of all  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^N)$  which do not give a regular one-to-one map of  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  is of the first category if  $N \geq 2n + 3$ .

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathbf{S}(X)$  such that

$$P = \{x \in X : |f_j| < 1, j = 1, \dots, N\} \subset X.$$

$P$  is said to be a *CR-polyedron of order  $N$* .

- f)  $\widehat{K}_{\mathbf{S}(X)} = K$  and  $W$  is a neighbourhood of  $K$  in  $X$ , then there exists a CR-polyedron  $P$  of order  $2(n+1)$  such that  $K \subset P \subset W$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.4. – The proof runs as in [4, Theorem 5.3.9] thanks to Theorem 4.2. In view of Theorem 4.3,  $X$  is  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ -convex. For every  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^{2n+3})$  we set  $|F(x)| = \max_j |F_j(x)|$ . According to e) above there exists a one-to-one regular CR map  $G : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+3}$ . In order to construct a CR embedding as in Theorem 4.4 it is sufficient to find  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^{2n+3})$  such that

$$(12) \quad Q = \{x \in X : |F(x)| \leq m + |G(x)|\} \subset X$$

for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In order to construct such an  $F$  we fix an exhaustion sequence  $\{K_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  by  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ -convex compact subsets and a sequence  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of polyedra satisfying  $K_j \subset P_j \subset K_{j+1}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$M_j = \sup_{P_j} |G|.$$

It is then sufficient to construct  $F \in \mathcal{CR}(X; \mathbb{C}^{2n+3})$  satisfying

$$(13) \quad |F| \geq k \text{ in } P_{k+1} \setminus P_k$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $P_k$  be defined by  $f_1^{(k)}, \dots, f_{2(n+1)}^{(k)}$ . Then

$$\max_{P_{k-1}} |f_j^{(k)}| < 1, \max_{\text{b}P_k} |f_j^{(k)}| < 1$$

For every fixed  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $D^a$  denote any derivative of order  $\leq a$  on  $X$  and set  $F_j^{(k)} = (a_k f_j^{(k)})^{m_k}$  where  $1 < a_k$  and  $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can choose  $a_k$  and  $m_k$  in such a way to have for  $1 \leq j \leq 2(n+1)$

$$\max_{P_{k-1}} |D^k F_j^{(k)}| < 2^{-k},$$

$$\max_{\text{b}P_k} |F_j^{(k)}| > M_{k+1} + k + 1 + \max_{\text{b}P_k} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} F_j^{(s)} \right|.$$

It follows that the functions  $F_1, \dots, F_{2(n+1)}$

$$F_j = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} F_j^{(k)}$$

are CR and satisfy

$$(14) \quad \max_{bP_k} |F_j| > M_{k+1} + k.$$

In order to prove that (14) holds on  $P_{k+1} \setminus P_k$  i.e. (12) we apply Theorem 4.2. Indeed, let

$$A_k = \left\{ z \in P_{k+1} \setminus P_k : \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2(n+1)} |F_j(z)| < M_{k+1} + k \right\}$$

$$B_k = \left\{ z \in P_k : \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2(n+1)} |F_j(z)| < M_{k+1} + k \right\}.$$

By (14)  $A_k, B_k$  are compact disjoint sets and the  $\mathbf{S}$ -envelope of  $\widehat{C}_k$  of  $C_k = A_k \cup B_k$  is contained in  $K_{k+2}$ , so  $\widehat{C}_k = A_k \cup B_k \cup B'_k$  where  $B'_k \subset X \setminus P_{k+1}$ . By virtue of Theorem 4.2 the function which is 0 on  $B_k \cup B'_k$  and a large constant on  $A_k$  can be approximated by functions in  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ , so arguing as before we construct a sequence of functions

## 5. – Cohomology.

In this section we will prove the following

**THEOREM 5.1.** – *Let  $X$  be a real analytic foliation with complex leaves of dimension  $n$  and real codimension 1. Assume that  $X$  is 1-complete and transversally pseudoconvex. Then*

$$H^r(X, \mathbf{S}) = 0$$

for every  $r \geq 1$ .

**PROOF.** – Let us point out the main steps of the proof.

We denote  $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  the function displaying the 1-completeness of  $X$  and set  $X_c = \{\phi < c\} \cap X, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have the following:

a)  $H^r(\overline{X}_c, \mathbf{S}) = 0$  for every  $r \geq 1, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Indeed, in view of Theorem 4.1,  $\overline{X}_c$  has in  $\widetilde{X}$  a Stein basis of neighbourhoods. Let  $U$  such a neighbourhood and we may assume that  $\overline{X}_c$  is connected and  $U \setminus \overline{X}_c$  has two connected components  $U^+, U^-$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}^\pm$  denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on  $U^\pm$  smooth up to  $U \cap X$  extended by 0 on whole  $U$ . Extending  $\mathbf{S}$  by 0 we get an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^+ \oplus \mathcal{O}^- \xrightarrow{\text{re}} \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $U$  is Stein we obtain the isomorphism

$$H^r(U^+, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^r(U^-, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^r(U \cap X, \mathbf{S})$$

for  $r \geq 1$ .

Then, an  $r$ -cocycle  $\xi$  with values in  $\mathbf{S}$  on a neighbourhood of  $\overline{X}_c$  is represented as a difference  $\omega^+ - \omega^-$  where  $\omega^\pm$  is a  $\overline{\partial}$ -closed form on  $U^\pm$  smooth up to  $X$ . In view of Kohn's theorem (cfr. [5]),  $\omega^\pm = \overline{\partial}\eta^\pm$  with  $\eta^\pm$  smooth on  $V^\pm$  up to  $X$  ( $\overline{X}_c \subset V \subseteq U$ ,  $V$  open), so  $\xi$  is an  $r$ -coboundary.

$\beta$ ) For every  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the natural homomorphism

$$H^r(\overline{X}_{c+\varepsilon}, \mathbf{S}) \rightarrow H^r(X_c, \mathbf{S})$$

is onto for  $r \geq 1$ .

Since  $\phi$  is strongly plurisubharmonic along the leaves of  $X$  the “bump lemma” of Andreotti-Grauert applies [3, Lemma 1].

$\gamma$ )  $\alpha$ ) and  $\beta$ ) together give

$$H^r(X_c, \mathbf{S}) = 0$$

for every  $r \geq 1$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and then, by a classical argument we get

$$H^r(X, \mathbf{S}) = 0$$

for every  $r \geq 2$ .

$\delta$ ) Finally, the vanishing of the first group  $H(X, \mathbf{S})$  is proved, again by a classical argument, taking into account the density theorem (cf. Theorem 4.1).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. ANDREOTTI - H. GRAUERT, *Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **90** (1962), 193-259.
- [2] M. FREEMAN, *Tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations and uniform approximation*, Pacific J. Math., **33** (1970), 101-108.
- [3] G. GIGANTE - G. TOMASSINI, *Foliations with complex leaves*, Diff. Geom. Appl., **5** (1995), 33-49.
- [4] L. HÖRMANDER, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton (New Jersey, 1965).
- [5] J. J. KOHN, *Global regularity for  $\overline{\partial}$  on weakly pseudo convex manifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **181** (1962), 193-259.

G. Tomassini, Scuola Normale Superiore  
Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7 - I-56126 Pisa, Italy  
E-mail: g.tomassini@sns.it

S. Venturini, Dipartimento Di Matematica, Università di Bologna  
Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5 - I-40126 Bologna, Italy  
E-mail: venturin@dm.unibo.it