

---

# BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

---

R. SUPPER

## A Montel Type Result for Subharmonic Functions

*Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 9, Vol. 2 (2009), n.2,*  
p. 423–444.

Unione Matematica Italiana

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\\_2009\\_9\\_2\\_2\\_423\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2009_9_2_2_423_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

*SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



## A Montel Type Result for Subharmonic Functions

R. SUPPER

**Abstract.** – *This article is devoted to sequences  $(u_n)_n$  of subharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with finite order, whose means  $J_{u_n}(r)$  (over spheres centered at the origin, with radius  $r$ ) satisfy such a condition as:  $\forall r > 0 \exists A_r > 0$  such that  $J_{u_n}(r) \leq A_r \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The paper investigates under which conditions one may extract a pointwise or uniformly convergent subsequence.*

### 1. – Introduction.

For a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{C}$  which is uniformly bounded on each compact, Montel's Theorem asserts that there exists a subsequence which converges uniformly on any compact (see [11], pp. 54–56). Since the  $\ln |f_n|$  are subharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the question arises whether such a result still holds for a sequence of subharmonic functions  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is uniformly majorized on each compact. It is already available that a subsequence  $(u_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be extracted, which converges in the distribution sense (see [8], p. 47 for the detailed statement). But this kind of convergence does not give any information on the pointwise behaviour. Without any growth condition on the  $u_n$ , the articles [1] and [5] obtain a convergent subsequence, but the convergence is not uniform: it is mean convergence over spheres (see also Remark 7 in Section 3). The present paper is devoted to the case of subharmonic functions  $u_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \geq 3$ ) with a finite order of growth, under the assumption that the means  $J_{u_n}(r)$  of  $u_n$  over spheres with radius  $r$  and center  $O$  are majorized independently of  $n$ , whereas the analogous condition in [1] and [5] dealt with the means of  $|u_n|$  instead of  $u_n$ . We obtain the existence of a subharmonic function  $u$  and a subsequence  $(u_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging towards  $u$  uniformly on any compact set  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  which remains distant from the supports of the Riesz measures associated to  $u$  and  $u_{n_p}$  (more precisely: there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that the  $\theta$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{E}_\theta$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  does not intersect any of these supports). Besides that

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) \leq u(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

with equality quasi-everywhere (outside a set of outer capacity zero). We refer to Theorem 3 in Section 3 and Theorem 4 in Section 5 for more precisions on the

exact assumptions. Such a result of quasi-everywhere convergence was already known for subharmonic functions of the kind

$$x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{-dv_p(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}}$$

(Riesz potential of the measure  $v_p$ , see [6], p. 58). But this result required that

$$\int_{|\xi|>1} \frac{dv_p(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} < +\infty$$

(see [6], p. 190), whereas the Riesz measures  $\mu_n$  associated to the functions  $u_n$  under study in this article only satisfy

$$\int_{|\xi|>1} \frac{d\mu_n(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-1+q}} < +\infty$$

(with  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  related to the order of growth of  $u_n$ , see Sections 3 and 5 for more explanations).

The paper is organized as follows: the case of subharmonic functions with arbitrary finite order is postponed to Section 5, whereas Sections 3 and 4 start with the study of the case where the order is  $\leq 1$ . Throughout the paper, all measures are non-negative measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and they assume finite values on all compact sets.

## 2. – Sequence of measures.

**THEOREM 1.** – ([7] p. 351, [2] pp. 11 and p. 16). – *Let  $E$  be a compact in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of measures on  $E$ . If there exists  $A > 0$  such that  $\mu_n(E) \leq A \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then a subsequence  $(\mu_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be extracted which converges to a measure  $\mu$  in the following sense:*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E \varphi d\mu_{n_p} = \int_E \varphi d\mu$$

for any continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $E$ .

The sequence  $(\mu_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be vaguely convergent (see [6], pages 7 and 3) or convergent in the  $w^*$ -topology (see [4], p. 231). If  $\varphi$  was only upper semi-continuous on  $E$ , it could only be asserted that

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E \varphi d\mu_{n_p} \leq \int_E \varphi d\mu.$$

Similarly, for a lower semi-continuous  $\varphi$ :

$$\int_E \varphi d\mu \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E \varphi d\mu_{n_p}$$

(see [3], pp. 205–209 for the proof of these results). For instance, when  $N \geq 3$ :

$$(2) \quad \int_E \frac{1}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} d\mu(x) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E \frac{1}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} d\mu_{n_p}(x) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

with  $|\cdot|$  the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

LEMMA 1. – *Given  $E$ ,  $\mu_{n_p}$ ,  $\mu$  as in Theorem 1 and a compact  $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  distant from the supports of measures  $\mu_{n_p}$  and  $\mu$ , then*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(x)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = \int_E \frac{d\mu(x)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \quad \text{uniformly on } \Xi.$$

REMARK 1. – The punctual convergence was already given by [2], p. 18.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. – Let  $\Xi_\theta$  be the  $\theta$ -neighborhood of  $\Xi$ , relatively to  $|\cdot|$ , with  $\theta > 0$  chosen small enough so that  $\Xi_\theta$  does not intersect the supports of the measures  $\mu_{n_p}$  and  $\mu$ . We thus have to prove that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_E \varphi_\xi d\mu_{n_p} = \int_E \varphi_\xi d\mu \quad \text{uniformly on } \Xi,$$

with the functions  $\varphi_\xi : x \mapsto [1/\max\{|x - \xi|, \theta\}]^{N-2}$ . In the demonstration of Theorem 1 (see [3], p. 207), one of the main steps is the proof of  $I(\varphi) \leq J(\varphi)$  where  $I(\varphi) := \sup_{\mathcal{A}} s(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$  and  $J(\varphi) := \inf_{\mathcal{A}} S(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ , the supremum and infimum involving any finite collection  $\mathcal{A} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t\}$  of disjoint hypercubes whose union contains  $E$  (and a fortiori the supports of  $\mu_{n_p}$  and  $\mu$ ), with

$$s(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu(C_j) \inf_{C_j} \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad S(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu(C_j) \sup_{C_j} \varphi.$$

Here, for any  $\xi \in \Xi$ , we have:

$$J(\varphi_\xi) - I(\varphi_\xi) \leq S(\mathcal{A}, \varphi_\xi) - s(\mathcal{A}, \varphi_\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu(C_j) [\varphi_\xi(x^{(j)}) - \varphi_\xi(y^{(j)})]$$

for some  $x^{(j)}$  and  $y^{(j)}$  in the closure  $\overline{C_j}$  of  $C_j$ . We can assume that all  $\overline{C_j}$  (at least those such that  $\mu(C_j) > 0$ ) have small enough diameters and do not intersect  $\Xi_\theta$ .

For all  $x$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Xi_\theta$ , the following holds:

$$\varphi_\xi(x) - \varphi_\xi(y) = \frac{1}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{|y - \xi|^{N-2}} = f(0) - f(1)$$

with  $f$  defined by  $f(t) = |x - \xi + t(y - x)|^{-N+2} \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now

$$f'(t) = \frac{-N+2}{|x - \xi + t(y - x)|^N} \sum_{i=1}^N [x_i - \xi_i + t(y_i - x_i)](y_i - x_i)$$

and Cauchy-Schwarz formula yields

$$|f'(t)| \leq \frac{N-2}{|(1-t)x + ty - \xi|^N} (|x - \xi| \cdot |y - x| + |y - x|^2) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

When  $x$  and  $y$  both belong to the convex  $\overline{C_j}$ , then  $(1-t)x + ty$  is also located there, hence  $|(1-t)x + ty - \xi| \geq \theta$ . There exists  $M > 0$  (depending only on the compacts  $E$  and  $\Xi$ ) such that  $|x - \xi| < M$  and  $|y - x| < M$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ ,  $x$  and  $y \in E$ . Finally

$$\varphi_\xi(x^{(j)}) - \varphi_\xi(y^{(j)}) \leq \frac{N-2}{\theta^N} 2M |y^{(j)} - x^{(j)}|.$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a collection  $A = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t\}$  such that the diameter of every  $C_j$  does not exceed  $\varepsilon$ , hence

$$J(\varphi_\xi) - I(\varphi_\xi) \leq \frac{2M(N-2)}{\theta^N} \varepsilon A \quad \forall \xi \in \Xi.$$

Including this argument in the demonstration [3] (p. 206-208) will point out that the convergence (1) is actually uniform when we work with the equicontinuous family  $\{\varphi_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Xi}$ .

NOTATION. – We consider the ball  $\overline{B}(O, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq r\}$  and the sphere  $S(O, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| = r\} \forall r \geq 0$

REMARK 2. – With  $\varphi \equiv 1$  in Theorem 1, we observe that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{n_p}(E) = \mu(E) \leq A.$$

The vague convergence on  $E$  of  $(\mu_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  towards  $\mu$  does not necessarily imply its vague convergence on a compact  $K \subset E$  towards the same limit  $\mu$ . Otherwise, one would have  $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{n_p}(K) = \mu(K)$ . A counterexample is provided by:

EXAMPLE 1. – For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the continuous function, affine on  $\left[1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1\right]$  and on  $\left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right]$ , defined by:  $f_n(1) = n$  and  $f_n(t) = 0$  if

$t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  or if  $t \geq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $\mu_n$  be the measure defined by:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) d\mu_n(x) = \int_0^2 \varphi(t, 0, \dots, 0) f_n(t) dt$$

for any measurable function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . The sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  converges vaguely on  $E = \overline{B}(O, 2)$  to the measure  $\mu = \delta$  the Dirac mass concentrated at the point  $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^2 \varphi(t, 0, \dots, 0) f_n(t) dt = \varphi(1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for continuous functions  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Now let  $K = \overline{B}(O, 1)$ . Then  $\mu_n(K) = \int_0^1 f_n(t) dt = \frac{1}{2}$  hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_n(K) < \mu(K) = 1$ .

REMARK 3. – If  $M$  is a non-empty measurable set contained in  $E$ , such that  $\mu(\partial M) = 0$  (where  $\partial M$  denotes the boundary of  $M$ ), then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{n_p}(M) = \mu(M)$$

(see [6], p. 9 for a proof). When  $\mu(\partial M) = 0$ , the set  $M$  is said to be regular relatively to  $\mu$  (see [2], pp. 9-10). Denoting by  $\mu'_{n_p}$  and  $\mu'$  the restrictions to  $M$  of  $\mu_{n_p}$  and  $\mu$  respectively, then  $(\mu'_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges vaguely to  $\mu'$  (see [6], p. 10), but this result does not hold any longer without the assumption  $\mu(\partial M) = 0$ .

EXAMPLE 2. – Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $\mu$  and  $E$  be defined as in Example 1. Let  $M = \overline{B}(O, 1)$  and  $\mu'_n$  be the restriction to  $M$  of  $\mu_n$ , in other words:

$$\int_M \varphi d\mu'_n = \int_E \varphi d\mu_n$$

for any measurable function  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , with  $\varphi$  defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & \text{if } x \in M \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E \setminus M. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\int_M \varphi d\mu'_n = \int_0^1 \varphi(t, 0, \dots, 0) f_n(t) dt$ . Here  $\mu' = \delta$ , but  $(\mu'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  converges vaguely on  $M$  to the measure  $\frac{1}{2} \delta$  because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 \varphi(t, 0, \dots, 0) f_n(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for any continuous function  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

A more general version of Theorem 1 is available:

**THEOREM 2.** – ([6], pp. 11-12 and p. 7). – *Let  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of measures on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Suppose that for any compact  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  a constant  $A_E > 0$  exists such that  $\mu_n(E) \leq A_E \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is then a subsequence  $(\mu_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges vaguely to a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , in other words:*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi d\mu_{n_p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi d\mu$$

for any continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with compact support.

**REMARK 4.** – This implies (1) on any compact set  $E$  such that  $\mu(\partial E) = 0$ , according to [6], p. 10. This allows to apply (2) and Lemma 1 on such sets  $E$ .

**LEMMA 2.** – *Given  $\mu_n, \mu_{n_p}, \mu$  defined as in Theorem 2, let  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be the repartition function associated to the measure  $\mu$ , defined by  $\rho(t) = \mu(\overline{B}(O, t)) \forall t \geq 0$ . Similarly, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\rho_n$  denote the repartition function associated to  $\mu_n$ . Then  $\rho(t) = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_{n_p}(t)$  at any continuity point of  $\rho$  (hence for any  $t$  in the set  $[0, +\infty[$  deprived of an at most countable subset). If there exists a right-continuous function  $\beta : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  such that  $\rho_n(t) \leq \beta(t) \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall t \geq 0$ , then  $\rho(t) \leq \beta(t) \forall t \geq 0$ .*

**REMARK 5.** – The term “repartition function” is explained as follows: let  $\mu'$  be the measure defined on  $[0, +\infty[$  by  $\mu'(I) = \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \in I\})$  for any Borel set  $I \subset [0, +\infty[$ . In the case where  $\mu'([0, +\infty[) = \mu(\mathbb{R}^N) = 1$ , the function  $\rho$  coincides with the repartition function of  $\mu'$  in the classical probabilistic meaning.

**PROOF OF LEMMA 2.** – Since  $\rho(s) \geq \rho(t) \forall s \geq t \geq 0$ , the set of all discontinuity points of  $\rho$  on  $]0, +\infty[$  is at most countable. At any point  $t_0 > 0$  the following holds:

$$\mu(S(O, t_0)) = \rho(t_0) - \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0, t < t_0} \rho(t).$$

Let  $t > 0$  be a point where the function  $\rho$  is continuous. Hence  $\mu(\partial M) = 0$  with  $M = \overline{B}(O, t)$  and  $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{n_p}(M) = \mu(M)$  according to [6], p. 9.

The estimation  $\rho(t) \leq \beta(t)$  is obvious for points  $t$  where  $\rho$  is continuous. Let  $t_0$  be a point of discontinuity for  $\rho$ . As  $\rho$  is right-continuous at any point of  $[0, +\infty[$ , one has:

$$\rho(t_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0, t > t_0} \rho(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(t_k)$$

where  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is a sequence of continuity points for  $\rho$  with  $t_k > t_0$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k = t_0$$



(such a sequence exists since the set of discontinuity points is at most countable). The conclusion follows from  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(t_k) = \beta(t_0)$ .

### 3. – Subharmonic functions of order less than one.

A subharmonic function  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  of finite order  $\lambda \geq 0$  satisfies such an estimation:

$$\forall \gamma > \lambda \quad \exists A > 0 \quad u(x) \leq A + |x|^\gamma \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Assuming that  $u$  is moreover harmonic in some neighborhood of the origin with  $u(O) = 0$ , the repartition function  $\rho$  associated to the Riesz measure  $\mu$  of  $u$  satisfies (see [9]):

$$\forall \gamma > \lambda \quad \exists C > 0 \quad \rho(r) \leq C r^{N-2+\gamma} \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

When  $\lambda < 1$ , this leads to  $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^N} dr < +\infty$  which provides the following representation (see [8], pp. 67-69) where  $K_0(x, \xi) = |\xi|^{2-N} - |x - \xi|^{2-N}$ :

$$(3) \quad u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_0(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

This representation remains valid for  $\lambda = 1$  (see [3], pp. 155-156) provided that moreover  $u$  is of convergence class, that is:  $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{M_u(r)}{r^{\lambda+1}} dr < +\infty$  with  $M_u(r) = \max_{|x|=r} u(x)$  (see [3], p. 143) or equivalently (see [10])

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{J_u(r)}{r^{\lambda+1}} dr < +\infty \quad \text{where } J_u(r) = (N-2) \int_0^r \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N-1}} dt.$$

Jensen-Privalov formula (see [8], p. 44), in other words: Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem (see [3], p. 127), provides another expression:

$$J_u(r) = \frac{1}{\sigma_N} \int_{S_N} u(rx) d\sigma_x$$

with  $d\sigma$  the area element on the unit sphere  $S_N$  and  $\sigma_N = \int_{S_N} d\sigma = \frac{2\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(N/2)}$  (see [3], p. 29).

LEMMA 3. – *Given  $u$  subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , harmonic in  $B(O, \varepsilon)$  (for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) with  $u(O) = 0$ , let  $\rho$  denote the repartition function of its Riesz measure. Then*

$$2^{N-3} \rho(r/2) \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \leq J_u(r) \quad \forall r > 0.$$

PROOF. — This minoration follows from  $J_u(r) \geq (N-2)\rho(r/2) \int_{r/2}^r \frac{dt}{t^{N-1}}$ , together with  $2^{N-2} - 1 \geq 2^{N-3}$ .

DEFINITION 1. — Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  denote the set of all subharmonic functions  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , harmonic in  $B(O, \varepsilon)$  with  $u(O) = 0$ , of order  $< 1$  or at most of order 1 convergence class.

For  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$ , note that  $\rho \equiv 0$  on  $[0, \varepsilon[$  and that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{N-1}} = 0$  (see [10]).

THEOREM 3. — Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two increasing sequences of positive numbers  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $R_0 > 2\varepsilon$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} R_k = +\infty$  together with

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{M_k}{R_k} \left[ \left( \frac{R_k}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N-1} - 1 \right] < +\infty,$$

let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of elements in  $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  such that

$$(4) \quad J_{u_n}(R_k) \leq M_k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

a) Then there exist  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  and a subsequence  $(u_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging towards  $u$  uniformly on any compact set  $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  distant from the supports of the Riesz measures associated to  $u$  and  $u_{n_p}$ . Besides that

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) \leq u(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

b) There exists a set  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with outer capacity zero, such that

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) = u(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q.$$

LEMMA 4. — Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$ ,  $\mu$  the Riesz measure of  $u$  and  $\rho$  its repartition function, let  $w(u, x, R) = \int_{|\xi| > R} K_0(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall R \geq 0$ . If  $|x| \leq R/2$ , then

$$|w(u, x, R)| \leq 2^{N-1} |x| \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{d\rho(t)}{t^{N-1}} \leq 2^{N-1} |x| (N-1) \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^N} dt.$$

PROOF. — See [3] p. 139 and [10].

REMARK 6. — When  $R \geq 2|x|$ , the representation (3) becomes:

$$u(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} + w(u, x, R)$$

with  $u(x) = -\infty$  if and only if  $\int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = +\infty$  (both other terms on the right-hand side being finite).

REMARK 7. – Keeping Jensen–Privalov formula in mind, it turns out that (4) is fulfilled for instance when  $u_n(x) \leq M_k \quad \forall x \in \overline{B}(O, R_k) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It also points out that the mean convergent subsequence from the works [1] and [5] was obtained in a different situation than here: the articles [1] and [5] assume that

$$\forall r > 0 \quad \exists A_r > 0 \quad \int_{S_N} |u_n(rx)| d\sigma_x \leq A_r \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

which implies (4) but the converse is not valid.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3a). – The Riesz measures  $\mu_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) associated to  $u_n$  respectively satisfy for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$(5) \quad \mu_n(\overline{B}(O, R_k/2)) = \rho_n(R_k/2) \leq \frac{1}{2^{N-3}} M_k R_k^{N-2}$$

with  $\rho_n$  the repartition function of  $\mu_n$ . Thanks to Theorem 2, a subsequence  $(\mu_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be extracted, which converges vaguely to a measure  $\mu$  whose repartition function, denoted by  $\rho$ , satisfies:  $\rho \leq \beta$  on  $[0, \infty[$  according to Lemma 2 applied to the increasing piecewise-constant function  $\beta$  defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= \frac{1}{2^{N-3}} M_k R_k^{N-2} := L_k & \forall t \in [R_{k-1}/2, R_k/2[ & \quad \forall k \geq 1 \\ \beta(t) &= \frac{1}{2^{N-3}} M_0 R_0^{N-2} & \forall t \in [\varepsilon, R_0/2[ \\ \beta &\equiv 0 \text{ on } [0, \varepsilon[. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$I_k := \int_{\frac{1}{2}R_{k-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}R_k} \frac{\beta(r)}{r^N} dr \leq L_k \int_{\frac{1}{2}R_{k-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}R_k} r^{-N} dr = \frac{L_k}{N-1} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N-1} - \left( \frac{2}{R_k} \right)^{N-1} \right].$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} I_k &\leq \frac{2^{N-1}}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{M_k R_k^{N-2}}{2^{N-3}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N-1} - \left( \frac{1}{R_k} \right)^{N-1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{4}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{M_k}{R_k} \left[ \left( \frac{R_k}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N-1} - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{r^N} dr < +\infty \quad \text{thus} \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^N} dr < +\infty.$$

Hence the function  $u$  defined by (3) is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with Riesz measure  $\mu$  according to [8], pp. 67-68. The growth order  $\sigma$  of the function  $r \mapsto r^{2-N} \rho(r)$  coincides with the convergence exponent

$$\inf \left\{ c : \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{N-1+c}} dr < +\infty \right\} \quad (\text{see [8], p. 66})$$

whence  $\sigma \leq 1$ . Thus

$$\forall \gamma > \sigma \quad \exists A > 0 \quad \rho(r) \leq A + r^{N-2+\gamma} \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

For such a fixed  $\gamma$ , we compute

$$J_u(r) = (N-2) \int_{\varepsilon}^r \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N-1}} dt \leq \frac{A}{\varepsilon^{N-2}} + \frac{N-2}{\gamma} r^{\gamma} \quad \forall r \geq \varepsilon.$$

The order  $\lambda$  of  $u$  being given by:

$$\lambda = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log M_u(r)}{\log r} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_u(r)}{\log r}$$

(as a consequence of Poisson formula: see [10]), we obtain  $\lambda \leq 1$ . When  $\lambda = 1$ , it remains to check

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{J_u(r)}{r^2} dr < +\infty$$

in order to conclude that  $u \in \mathcal{S}_e$ . Fubini theorem leads to:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \int_0^r \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N-1}} dt \right) dr = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N-1}} \underbrace{\left( \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{r^2} \right)}_{=1/t} dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^N} dt.$$

For all  $R > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $|x| \leq R/2$ , it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$|w(u, x, R)| \leq 2^{N-1} |x| (N-1) \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{r^N} dr.$$

Since  $\rho_{n_p} \leq \beta$  ( $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ ), the same bound holds for  $|w(u_{n_p}, x, R)|$  independantly of  $p$ . For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $R \geq 2|x|$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , the integral representations of  $u$  and  $u_{n_p}$  (see (3) and Remark 6) lead to:

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u_{n_p}(x)| &\leq |w(u, x, R)| + \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} \right| \\ &+ |w(u_{n_p}, x, R)| + \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $u(x) \neq -\infty$  and  $u_{n_p}(x) \neq -\infty$  (this is fulfilled for instance for  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ ). Let  $D > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \subset \overline{B}(O, D)$ . Given  $\eta > 0$ , let  $T > 2D$  be chosen such that

$$2^N D(N-1) \int_T^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{r^N} dr \leq \eta$$

and such that  $T$  is a point of continuity for  $\rho$ . Remark 4 then applies with  $E = \overline{B}(O, T)$  (since  $\mu(\partial E) = 0$ ), hence it follows from (1) with  $\varphi(\xi) = [\max(\varepsilon, |\xi|)]^{2-N}$  that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} = \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}}$$

and moreover, according to Lemma 1, there exists  $P_\eta \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\left| \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \right| \leq \eta \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{E} \quad \forall p \geq P_\eta$$

and

$$\left| \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} \right| \leq \eta \quad \forall p \geq P_\eta.$$

For any  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have  $|w(u, x, T)| + |w(u_{n_p}, x, T)| \leq \eta$  ( $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) since  $T \geq 2|x|$ . Finally  $|u(x) - u_{n_p}(x)| \leq 3\eta$   $\forall x \in \mathcal{E}$   $\forall p \geq P_\eta$ , hence the uniform convergence on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Besides that, the following holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $R \geq 2|x|$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n_p}(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} &\leq \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} \right| + |w(u, x, R)| \\ &\quad + |w(u_{n_p}, x, R)| + u(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  fixed and  $\eta > 0$ , let  $T \geq 2|x|$  be chosen large enough so that  $|w(u, x, T)| + |w(u_{n_p}, x, T)| \leq \eta$   $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$  and satisfying moreover  $\mu(\partial E) = 0$  with  $E = \overline{B}(O, T)$ , in order to use (1) and (2). Thus:

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \leq \eta + u(x) - \liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}}.$$

When  $\int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} < +\infty$ , we obtain:  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) \leq \eta + u(x)$   $\forall \eta > 0$ .

When  $\int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = +\infty$  (in other words  $u(x) = -\infty$ ), then (2) leads to

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{-d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = -\infty$$

and the result follows from Remark 6:

$$u_{n_p}(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} + w(u_{n_p}, x, T)$$

the last term being bounded independantly of  $p$ .

The proof of Theorem 3b) is postponed to Section 4.

#### 4. – Null capacity sets.

The notion of capacity  $Cap(K)$  is first defined for compact sets  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (see [6] pp. 58 and 131-133 with  $a = 2$ ). This gives rise to the notions of inner capacity  $\underline{Cap}(E)$  and then outer capacity  $\overline{Cap}(E)$  for arbitrary sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  (see [6] p. 143 with  $a = 2$ ). Such a set is said to be capacitable if  $\underline{Cap}(E) = \overline{Cap}(E)$ , in which case this common value defines the capacity  $Cap(E)$ . For arbitrary sets  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the following holds:

$$(6) \quad \overline{Cap}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{Cap}(E_n)$$

whereas

$$\underline{Cap}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \underline{Cap}(E_n)$$

requires that the  $E_n$  are Borel sets (see [6] p. 144 with  $a = 2$ ).

LEMMA 5. – ([6] p. 190 with  $a = 2$ ). – Let  $v_p$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $v$  be measures such that  $(v_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges vaguely towards  $v$  and that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| > r} \frac{dv_p(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } p.$$

Then there exists  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $\overline{Cap}(Q) = 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{dv(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = \liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{dv_p(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3*b*). – For the statement  $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^N} dt < +\infty$ , we refer to the proof of Theorem 3*a*). For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , there exists  $T_m > 0$  such that

$$2^N (N-1) \int_{T_m}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^N} dt \leq \frac{1}{m^2}$$

it may moreover be assumed that  $T_m$  is a continuity point for  $\rho$  and that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} T_m = +\infty$ . Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , Lemma 4 provides for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  satisfying  $m \geq |x|$  and  $T_m \geq 2|x|$ :

$$|w(u, x, T_m)| + |w(u_{n_p}, x, T_m)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  fixed, let  $B_m = \overline{B}(O, T_m)$  and  $\mu'_{n_p}$  (resp.  $\mu'$ ) the restriction to  $B_m$  of  $\mu_{n_p}$  (resp.  $\mu$ ). Since  $\mu(\partial B_m) = 0$ , it follows from [6] (p. 10) that  $(\mu'_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges vaguely towards  $\mu'$ . Now

$$\int_{|\xi| > r} \frac{d\mu'_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} = 0 \quad \forall r > T_m \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$$

thus Lemma 5 applies: there exists  $Q_m \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $\overline{\text{Cap}}(Q_m) = 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q_m.$$

Moreover, according to (1)

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} = \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}}.$$

Let  $Q = \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} Q_m$ . It follows from (6) that  $\overline{\text{Cap}}(Q) = 0$ . Now, given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  with  $m \geq |x|$  and  $T_m \geq 2|x|$ , the representation (3):

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n_p}(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} &= \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2}} - w(u, x, T_m) \\ &\quad + w(u_{n_p}, x, T_m) + u(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

leads to:

$$-\frac{1}{m} + u(x) \leq \limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) \leq \frac{1}{m} + u(x)$$

(valid for all sufficiently large  $m$ ) if  $\int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} < +\infty$ . The case where  $\int_{|\xi| \leq T_m} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} = +\infty$  has already been studied at the end of Section 3.

REMARK 8. – It was not allowed to apply Lemma 5 to the measures  $\mu_{n_p}$ . Of course

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq r} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-1}} = \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{d\rho_{n_p}(t)}{t^{N-1}} \leq (N-1) \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_{n_p}(t)}{t^N} dt \leq (N-1) \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^N} dt$$

tends towards 0 (as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ) uniformly with respect to  $p$ , but with  $|\xi|^{N-1}$  replaced by  $|\xi|^{N-2}$ , this result does not necessarily hold any longer, since  $\frac{1}{|\xi|^{N-1}} \leq \frac{1}{|\xi|^{N-2}}$  when  $|\xi| \geq 1$ .

REMARK 9. – A similar conclusion as in Lemma 5 is provided by [6] p. 195, under the assumption that the measures  $\nu_p$  have uniformly bounded energies, the energy of  $\nu_p$  being given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2}} d\nu_p(x) d\nu_p(y).$$

But neither this result can apply to the measures  $\mu_{n_p}$  of Theorem 3, because their energy is not necessarily bounded. For instance, the measure  $\mu = \delta_a$  (the Dirac mass at some fixed point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a \neq O$ ) has the following repartition function

$$\rho : t \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < |a| \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq |a| \end{cases}$$

hence  $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^N} dt < +\infty$  so that the function  $u$  defined by (3) is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with Riesz measure  $\delta_a$  according to [8] pp. 67-68. Explicitly

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{|a|^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{|x - a|^{N-2}} \leq \frac{1}{|a|^{N-2}}.$$

Thus the growth order of  $u$  is 0, hence  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  (provided that  $|a| > \varepsilon$ ). However the energy of  $\delta_a$  is not bounded since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d\delta_a(x)}{|x - y|^{N-2}} = \frac{1}{|a - y|^{N-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d\delta_a(y)}{|a - y|^{N-2}} = +\infty$$



## 5. – Subharmonic functions of arbitrary finite order.

DEFINITION 2. – Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon,q}$  denote the set of all subharmonic functions  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , harmonic in  $B(O, \varepsilon)$  with  $u(O) = 0$ , of order  $< q + 1$  or at most of order  $(q + 1)$  convergence class.

For any  $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon,q}$ , Fubini Theorem leads to

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{J_u(r)}{r^{q+2}} dr = \frac{N-2}{q+1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt \quad \text{thus} \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt < +\infty.$$

This provides an integral representation of  $u$  similar to (3) but with  $K_0(x, \xi)$  replaced by

$$K_q(x, \xi) = -|x - \xi|^{2-N} + A_q(x, \xi) \quad \text{with} \quad A_q(x, \xi) = \sum_{m=0}^q a_m(x, \xi)$$

and  $a_m(x, \xi)$  the homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$  (with respect to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ ) in the Taylor expansion of  $x \mapsto |x - \xi|^{2-N}$  (see [3] p. 137 or [8] p. 66). More precisely: there exists a harmonic polynomial  $H_u \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$  of degree at most  $q$ , such that

$$(7) \quad u(x) = H_u(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_q(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

(see [3] pp. 141-146 or [8] pp. 67-69).

NOTATION. – For any  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , let  $s(v) = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_N$ .

LEMMA 6. – Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and measures  $\tau_p$  and  $\tau$  such that  $(\tau_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges vaguely towards  $\tau$  and that  $\tau_p(B(O, \varepsilon)) = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R > 0$  satisfying  $\tau(S(O, R)) = 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} A_q(x, \xi) d\tau_p(\xi) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} A_q(x, \xi) d\tau(\xi)$$

uniformly on any compact of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

PROOF. – Let  $\Phi_\xi$  be defined by  $\Phi_\xi(x) = |x - \xi|^{2-N} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (x_j - \xi_j)^2 \right)^{\frac{2-N}{2}}$ . Hence:

$$A_q(x, \xi) = \sum_{v: s(v) \leq q} a_v(\xi) x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} \dots x_N^{v_N}$$

with

$$a_v(\xi) = \frac{\partial^{s(v)} \Phi_\xi}{\partial x_1^{v_1} \dots \partial x_N^{v_N}}(O) = \frac{Q_v(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2+2s(v)}}$$

where  $Q_v \in \mathbb{R}[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N]$ . Now it follows from Remark 4 and (1) applied with  $\varphi(\xi) = Q_v(\xi) [\max(\varepsilon, |\xi|)]^{2-N-2s(v)}$  that:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{Q_v(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2+2s(v)}} d\tau_p(\xi) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{Q_v(\xi)}{|\xi|^{N-2+2s(v)}} d\tau(\xi)$$

for all  $v \in \mathbb{N}^N$  such that  $s(v) \leq q$ . Whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq R} A_q(x, \xi) d\tau_p(\xi) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} A_q(x, \xi) d\tau(\xi) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{v: s(v) \leq q} |x_1^{v_1} \dots x_N^{v_N}| \cdot \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq R} a_v(\xi) d\tau_p(\xi) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} a_v(\xi) d\tau(\xi) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $D \geq 1$ , we have  $|x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} \dots x_N^{v_N}| \leq D^{v_1} D^{v_2} \dots D^{v_N} = D^{s(v)} \leq D^q$  for all  $x \in \overline{B}(O, D)$ . Thus the convergence is uniform on  $\overline{B}(O, D)$ .

LEMMA 7. — Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, q}$ ,  $\mu$  the Riesz measure of  $u$  and  $\rho$  its repartition function, let

$$w_q(u, x, R) = \int_{|\xi| > R} K_q(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \quad \text{and}$$

$$w'_q(u, x, R) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} K_q(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall R \geq 0.$$

If  $|x| \leq R/2$ , then

$$|w_q(u, x, R)| \leq 4^{N+q} |x|^{q+1} \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{d\rho(t)}{t^{N-1+q}} \leq 4^{N+q} |x|^{q+1} (N-1+q) \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt$$

If  $|x| \leq \varepsilon/2$ , then

$$|w'_q(u, x, R)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N-2}} \left[ 2^{N-2} + \sum_{m=0}^q \frac{b_m}{2^m} \right] \rho(R) \quad \text{with } b_m = \frac{(m+N-3)!}{m!(N-1)!}.$$

PROOF. — The estimation  $|K_q(x, \xi)| \leq 4^{N+q} \frac{|x|^{q+1}}{|\xi|^{N-1+q}}$  (if  $|x| \leq |\xi|/2$ ) is available in [3] p. 139. Observing that

$$|w'_q(u, x, R)| \leq \int_{\varepsilon \leq |\xi| \leq R} \left[ \frac{1}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} + \sum_{m=0}^q |a_m(x, \xi)| \right] d\mu(\xi),$$

the second result follows from  $|a_m(x, \xi)| \leq b_m \frac{|x|^m}{|\xi|^{N+m-2}}$  (see [3] p. 137), since  $|x - \xi| \geq |\xi| - |x| \geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon/2$  and  $|a_m(x, \xi)| \leq b_m \frac{(\varepsilon/2)^m}{\varepsilon^{N+m-2}} = \frac{b_m}{2^m \varepsilon^{N-2}}$ .

LEMMA 8. – Given  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$  with degrees at most  $q$ , which converges pointwise on  $\overline{B}(O, q)$  towards some function  $f$ . Then  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq q$  and  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges towards  $f$  uniformly on every compact of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . If the  $F_n$  are harmonic, then so is  $f$ .

REMARK 10. – This result remains valid if the pointwise convergence holds on  $\overline{B}(O, r)$  with some radius  $r > 0$  independant of  $q$  (Lemma 8 merely applies to polynomials  $G_n$  defined by  $G_n(x) = F_n(r^{-1}qx) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ).

PROOF OF LEMMA 8. – For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $P_k \in \mathbb{R}[t]$  be defined by

$$P_k(t) = \frac{1}{k!} t(t-1)(t-2) \dots (t-k+1)$$

with  $P_0 \equiv 1$ . Thus  $P_k(l) = C_l^k \forall l \in \mathbb{N}$ . These  $P_k$  provide a basis for the space of polynomials with degree  $\leq q$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ . With respect to this basis, let  $a_{n,v}$  denote the coefficients of  $F_n$ , with  $a_{n,v} = 0$  for any  $v \in \mathbb{N}^N$  such that  $s(v) > q$ , in other words:

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^N} a_{n,v} P_{v_1}(x_1) P_{v_2}(x_2) \dots P_{v_N}(x_N) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , we have  $F_n(\lambda) = \sum a_{n,v} C_{\lambda_1}^{v_1} C_{\lambda_2}^{v_2} \dots C_{\lambda_N}^{v_N}$ , this sum being restricted to the  $v \in \mathbb{N}^N$  such that  $v_j \leq \lambda_j \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  together with  $s(v) \leq q$ . When  $s(v) = s(\lambda)$  and  $v \neq \lambda$ , the corresponding term in the sum vanishes since there exists  $i$  such that  $v_i > \lambda_i$ . If  $s(\lambda) \leq q$ , then  $\lambda \in \overline{B}(O, q)$  since

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^2 \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \right)^2 \leq q^2.$$

If  $\lambda = O$ , then  $F_n(\lambda) = a_{n,O}$  hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_{n,O} = f(O) := c_O$ .

If  $s(\lambda) = 1$ , then  $F_n(\lambda) = a_{n,O} + a_{n,\lambda}$ , thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_{n,\lambda} = f(\lambda) - c_O := c_\lambda$ .

More generally, for  $2 \leq s(\lambda) \leq q$ , we have

$$a_{n,\lambda} = F_n(\lambda) - \sum_{v: s(v) < s(\lambda)} a_{n,v} C_{\lambda_1}^{v_1} C_{\lambda_2}^{v_2} \dots C_{\lambda_N}^{v_N}.$$

Having defined recurrently the coefficients  $c_v$  for  $s(v) < s(\lambda)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_{n,\lambda} = f(\lambda) - \sum_{v: s(v) < s(\lambda)} c_v C_{\lambda_1}^{v_1} C_{\lambda_2}^{v_2} \dots C_{\lambda_N}^{v_N}$$

and this value is denoted by  $c_\lambda$ . Let  $F$  be the polynomial defined by

$$F(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^N} c_v P_{v_1}(x_1) P_{v_2}(x_2) \dots P_{v_N}(x_N) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

with  $c_v = 0$  if  $s(v) > q$ . Given  $K$  a compact in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we will next show that  $\|F_n - F\| := \sup_{x \in K} |F_n(x) - F(x)|$  tends towards 0 as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  (on  $K = \overline{B}(O, q)$ , it will provide  $f = F$ ). Now

$$|F_n(x) - F(x)| \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^N} |a_{n,v} - c_v| \cdot |P_{v_1}(x_1)| \cdot |P_{v_2}(x_2)| \dots |P_{v_N}(x_N)|.$$

Let  $R \geq 1$  be such that  $K \subset \overline{B}(O, R)$ . Thus

$$|P_{v_j}(x_j)| \leq R(R+1) \dots (R+v_j-1) \leq (R+v_j)^{v_j} \leq (R+q)^{v_j} \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Finally  $\|F_n - F\| \leq (R+q)^q \sum_v |a_{n,v} - c_v|$ . This last sum containing only a fixed number of terms ( $\leq q^N$ ), each of which tending towards 0 as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the result follows.

Now  $P'_k(t) = P_k(t) \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{t-l}$  and  $P''_k(t) = P_k(t) S_k(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$S_k(t) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{t-l} \right)^2 - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(t-l)^2}$$

with  $S_k \equiv 0$  for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$ . Thus

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_n}{\partial x_i^2}(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^N} a_{n,v} \left( \prod_{j=1}^N P_{v_j}(x_j) \right) S_{v_i}(x_i) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

hence

$$\Delta F_n(x) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^N} a_{n,v} \left( \prod_{j=1}^N P_{v_j}(x_j) \right) \sum_{i=1}^N S_{v_i}(x_i).$$

As  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , this quantity tends towards

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^N} c_v \left( \prod_{j=1}^N P_{v_j}(x_j) \right) \sum_{i=1}^N S_{v_i}(x_i) = \Delta F(x)$$

at any point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Whence  $\Delta F_n \equiv 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies  $\Delta F \equiv 0$ .

**THEOREM 4.** – Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and two increasing sequences of positive numbers  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $R_0 > 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} R_k = +\infty$  and

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{M_k}{R_k^{1+q}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_k}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N+q-1} - 1 \right] < +\infty,$$

let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of elements in  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, q}$  satisfying (4) and  $u_n(x) \leq M_0$   $\forall x \in \overline{B}(O, \varepsilon/2)$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the same conclusions hold as in Theorem 3 (with here  $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, q}$ ).

LEMMA 9. – ([8] p. 47). – Let  $v_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be subharmonic functions in a domain  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Suppose that:

- (i) the sequence  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded above on every compact subset of  $G$
- (ii) there is a compact subset  $K \subset G$  such that  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not uniformly converge on  $K$  to  $-\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Then we can choose a subsequence  $(v_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges in the distribution sense (in  $\mathcal{D}'(G)$  in other words) to some function  $v$  subharmonic in  $G$ .

LEMMA 10. – ([8] p. 48). – Let  $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an uniformly bounded above sequence of functions subharmonic in  $G$  which converges in the distribution sense towards some function  $v$ . If we assume in addition that the  $v_k$  are harmonic, then they converge uniformly to  $v$  on every compact subset of the domain  $G$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. – Each function  $u_n$  has an integral representation of the kind (7) involving a harmonic polynomial  $H_{u_n}$  of degree  $\leq q$ , written  $H_n$  for sake of brevity. Now

$$H_n(x) \leq u_n(x) + |w'_q(u_n, x, R)| + |w_q(u_n, x, R)| \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall R > 0.$$

We obtain for all  $x \in \overline{B}(O, \varepsilon/2)$  the following majorants which are independant of  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) &\leq M_0 \\ |w'_q(u_n, x, R_0/2)| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N-2}} \left[ 2^{N-2} + \sum_{m=0}^q \frac{b_m}{2^m} \right] \frac{1}{2^{N-3}} M_0 R_0^{N-2} \\ |w_q(u_n, x, R_0/2)| &\leq 4^{N+q} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{q+1} (N-1+q) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt \end{aligned}$$

according to Lemma 7 and (5). With  $\beta$  and  $L_k$  defined as in the proof of Theorem 3 a), we now have:

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}R_{k-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}R_k} \frac{\beta(r)}{r^{N+q}} dr \leq \frac{2^{N+q-1}}{N+q-1} \frac{L_k}{(R_k)^{N+q-1}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_k}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N+q-1} - 1 \right],$$

hence

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}R_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(r)}{r^{N+q}} dr \leq \frac{2^{q+2}}{N+q-1} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{M_k}{R_k^{1+q}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_k}{R_{k-1}} \right)^{N+q-1} - 1 \right] < +\infty.$$

Finally: the polynomials  $H_n$  are uniformly bounded above on  $\overline{B}(O, \varepsilon/2)$ . When  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the polynomials  $F_n$  defined by

$$F_n(x) = H_n\left(\frac{\varepsilon x}{4q}\right)$$

are uniformly bounded above on  $\overline{B}(O, 2q)$ . They are harmonic too:

$$\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4q} \frac{\partial H_n}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\varepsilon x}{4q}\right) \quad \text{hence} \quad \Delta F_n = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4q}\right)^2 \Delta H_n \equiv 0.$$

Moreover  $F_n(O) = H_n(O) = 0$  and  $\deg F_n \leq q \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We first apply Lemma 9 with  $v_n = F_n$ ,  $G = B(O, 2q)$ ,  $K = \{O\}$  and next, Lemma 10. We thus obtain a subsequence  $(F_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging uniformly on  $\overline{B}(O, q)$  towards some function  $f$  which turns out to be a harmonic polynomial of degree  $\leq q$  thanks to Lemma 8. So is  $H$ , defined by

$$H(x) = f\left(\frac{4qx}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Conclusion: up to the extraction of a subsequence,  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $H$  uniformly on every compact of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Through Lemma 3 and Theorem 2, a subsequence  $(\mu_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  is extracted, which converges vaguely to a measure  $\mu$  whose repartition function  $\rho$  satisfies  $\rho \leq \beta$  thus

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}R_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt \leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}R_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt < +\infty.$$

Now the function  $U$  defined by

$$U(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_q(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with Riesz measure  $\mu$  according to [8] pp. 67-68. As in the proof of Theorem 3a), we deduce that the order  $\lambda$  of  $U$  satisfies  $\lambda \leq q+1$ . If  $\lambda = q+1$ , then moreover

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{J_U(r)}{r^{1+\lambda}} dr = \frac{N-2}{q+1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt < +\infty$$

thus  $U \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, q}$ . Now  $u = H + U \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, q}$ . For all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $R \geq 2|x|$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n_p}(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} &= w_q(u_{n_p}, x, R) - w_q(u, x, R) - H(x) + H_{n_p}(x) \\ &+ u(x) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} + \int_{|\xi| \leq R} A_q(x, \xi) d\mu_{n_p}(\xi) - \int_{|\xi| \leq R} A_q(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

• *Proof of the uniform convergence of  $(u_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  towards  $u$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

Let  $D > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \subset \overline{B}(O, D)$ . Given  $\eta > 0$ , we fix  $T \geq 2D$  in order to be a continuity point for  $\rho$  and such that

$$(8) \quad 4^{N+q+\frac{1}{2}} D^{q+1} (N+q-1) \int_T^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt \leq \eta$$

thus  $|w_q(u, x, T)| + |w_q(u_{n_p}, x, T)| \leq \eta \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \forall x \in \mathcal{E}$ . There exists  $P_\eta \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following three estimations hold for all  $p \geq P_\eta$  and  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ :

$$|H(x) - H_{n_p}(x)| \leq \eta, \quad \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu_{n_p}(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} - \int_{|\xi| \leq T} \frac{d\mu(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N-2}} \right| \leq \eta$$

and

$$\left| \int_{|\xi| \leq T} A_q(x, \xi) d\mu_{n_p}(\xi) - \int_{|\xi| \leq T} A_q(x, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right| \leq \eta.$$

Conclusion:  $|u(x) - u_{n_p}(x)| \leq 4\eta \forall p \geq P_\eta \forall x \in \mathcal{E}$ .

• *Proof of  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) \leq u(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .*

Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\eta > 0$ , we choose  $T \geq 2|x|$  such that  $T$  is a continuity point for  $\rho$  and satisfies (8) with  $D$  replaced by  $|x|$ . Thus,

$$w_q(u_{n_p}, x, R) - w_q(u, x, R) \leq \eta \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We next proceed as at the end of Section 3.

• *Proof of  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_p}(x) = u(x)$  for all  $x$  outside a set of outer capacity zero.*

A sequence  $(T_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of positive numbers tending towards  $+\infty$  is built in such a way that each  $T_m$  is a continuity point for  $\rho$  and that

$$4^{N+q+\frac{1}{2}} (N+q-1) \int_{T_m}^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(t)}{t^{N+q}} dt \leq \frac{1}{m^{q+2}}.$$

Thus  $|w_q(u, x, T_m)| + |w_q(u_{n_p}, x, T_m)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $|x| \leq m$  and  $T_m \geq 2|x|$ . The proof now ends as in Section 4.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. ANDERSON - A. BAERNSTEIN, *The size of the set on which a meromorphic function is large*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **36** (3) (1978), 518-539.
- [2] O. FROSTMAN, *Potentiel d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles avec quelques applications à la théorie des fonctions*, Meddelanden Mat. Sem. Univ. Lund, **3** (1935), 1-118.
- [3] W. K. HAYMAN - P. B. KENNEDY, *Subharmonic functions, Vol.I*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Academic Press, London-New York, **9** (1976).
- [4] L. L. HELMS, *Introduction to potential theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, **XXII** (1969).
- [5] A. A. KONDRATYUK - S. I. TARASYUK, *Compact operators and normal families of subharmonic functions*, Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis (Paseky nad Jizerou, 1995), Prometheus, Prague (1996), 227-231.
- [6] N. S. LANDKOF, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer-Verlag, **180** (1972).
- [7] F. RIESZ, *Sur les fonctions subharmoniques et leur rapport à la théorie du potentiel II*, Acta Math., **54** (1930), 321-360.
- [8] L. I. RONKIN, *Functions of completely regular growth*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers' Group, Dordrecht, **81** (1992).
- [9] R. SUPPER, *Subharmonic functions and their Riesz measure*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **2**, no. 2 (2001), Paper No. 16, 14 p. <http://jipam.vu.edu.au>
- [10] R. SUPPER, *Subharmonic functions of order less than one*, Potential Analysis, Springer, **23**, no. 2 (2005), 165-179.
- [11] A. YGER, *Analyse complexe et distributions*; éditeur: Ellipses (2001).

UFR de Mathématique et Informatique,  
 URA CNRS 001, Université Louis Pasteur,  
 7 rue René Descartes, F-67 084 Strasbourg Cedex, France  
 E-mail: [supper@math.u-strasbg.fr](mailto:supper@math.u-strasbg.fr)