BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

Orazio Arena

A Gluing Theorem for the Elliptic Adjoint Operator L^* in the Plane

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 9, Vol. 2 (2009), n.1, p. 239–244.

Unione Matematica Italiana

 $<\!\!\mathtt{http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2009_9_2_1_239_0}\!>$

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.



A Gluing Theorem for the Elliptic Adjoint Operator L^* in the Plane

Orazio Arena

dedicated to the memory of Guido Stampacchia

Abstract. – A "matching" method for planar harmonic functions is exhibited, using an elliptic adjoint equation $L^*u = 0$.

1. - Introduction.

In \mathbb{R}^2 , let

$$T :\cong \{-\pi \leq y \leq \pi\}$$

be the 1-dimensional torus and, for a > 0, let

$$\Omega := (-a, a) \times \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\delta} := (-a - \delta, a + \delta) \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Denote:

$$\Gamma_k := (-1)^k a \times \mathbb{T}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

All the functions we will consider will be periodic, of period 2π , in the variable y. Let $w_1 = w_1(x, y)$ and $w_2 = w_2(x, y)$ be two harmonic functions, defined in Ω_{δ} . The problem we are going to deal with is the following.

PROBLEM (*). – Given w_1 and w_2 harmonic functions as above, does it exist a function u defined in Ω_{δ} , a matrix (a^{ij}) , $a|\lambda|^2 \leq a^{ij}(x,y)\lambda_i\lambda_j \leq a^{-1}|\lambda|^2$ for $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, a = ellipticity constant > 0, for a.e. $(x,y) \in \Omega_{\delta}$, $a^{ij} = \delta^{ij}$ in $\Omega_{\delta} \setminus \Omega$, such that:

(1)
$$u = w_1 \quad in \quad (\Omega_{\delta} \setminus \Omega) \cap (\{x < 0\} \times \mathbb{T})$$

$$u = w_2 \quad in \quad (\Omega_{\delta} \setminus \Omega) \cap (\{x > 0\} \times \mathbb{T})$$

and

(2)
$$L^*u = \partial_{ij}(a^{ij}u) = 0 \quad in \quad \Omega_{\delta}?$$

240 ORAZIO ARENA

Here and throughout the paper, it is assumed that repeated indices are summed over. As regard to the matrix (a^{ij}) , we will look for a^{ij} regular in $\Omega_{\delta} \setminus (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)$, that can be extended as regular functions to $\overline{\Omega}$ and to $\overline{\Omega_{\delta} \setminus \Omega}$; notice that a^{ij} can be discontinuous on $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$.

We will look for solutions $u \in C^2(\Omega_\delta)$, periodic in y.

Recall, by the way, that a function u satisfies the adjoint equation $L^*u = 0$ if $\iint uL\varphi \, dx \, dy = 0$ for any φ regular, periodic in y, with compact support in x, where $L\varphi = tr(a^{ij}D^2\varphi)$.

Our gluing theorem will give an affirmative answer to the above PROBLEM (*), by means of a "matching" functions technique. It is worthy mentioning that, in the context of elliptic equations, as far as to my knowledge, a type of matching functions procedure goes back to M. S. SAFONOV [1].

Section 2 of the paper is devoted to some remarks and the proof of some compatibility conditions, that must be verified. In Section 3, we succeed in finding a solution to the Problem (*) and proving the main theorems, in the case that the harmonic functions w_1 and w_2 have the same sign. See also, the Remark, there.

Acknowledgment. This research has been partially supported by MIUR-PRIN 2006"Equazioni alle derivate parziali e disuguaglianze funzionali: aspetti quantitativi, proprietà geometriche e qualitative, applicazioni".

I wish to thank P. Manselli for pointing out this type of question to me.

2. - Remarks and compatibility conditions.

Let us start with some remarks.

Remark 1. – It turns out that

(3)
$$\partial_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_k(x,y) dy = c_k \quad \textit{for} \quad k = 1, 2,$$

in $|x| < a + \delta$; c_k a constant.

Remark 2. – One has, for k = 1, 2:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} w_k(x,y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_k(\overline{x},y)dy + (x-\overline{x})\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_1 w_k(\overline{x},y)dy,$$

$$\overline{x} \in (-a - \delta, a + \delta).$$

We may prove the following form of compatibility conditions.

Lemma 1. – If the Problem (*) has a solution, then:

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \qquad & (a_{-}^{11}-w_{1})|_{\varGamma_{1}}=0, \quad \left[\partial_{1}(a_{-}^{11}u)+2\partial_{2}(a_{-}^{12}u)-\partial_{1}w_{1}\right]|_{\varGamma_{1}}=0, \\ (a_{+}^{11}-w_{2})|_{\varGamma_{2}}=0, \quad \left[\partial_{1}(a_{+}^{11}u)+2\partial_{2}(a_{+}^{12}u)-\partial_{1}w_{2}\right]|_{\varGamma_{2}}=0, \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$a_-^{ij}|_{arGamma_1} = \lim_{arepsilon o 0+} a^{ij} (-a+arepsilon, \cdot), \quad a_+^{ij}|_{arGamma_2} = \lim_{arepsilon o 0+} a^{ij} (a-arepsilon, \cdot), \quad arepsilon > 0.$$

PROOF. – Recall a^{ij} are regular in $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\overline{\Omega_\delta \backslash \Omega}$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_\delta)$, φ periodic in y. Then, if we set, for ε and ε_1 positive numbers:

$$egin{aligned} A_{arepsilon} &= [-a-\delta, -a-arepsilon] imes \mathbb{T} \ B_{arepsilon,arepsilon_1} &= [-a+arepsilon, a-arepsilon_1] imes \mathbb{T} \ C_{arepsilon} &= [a+arepsilon, a+\delta] imes \mathbb{T} \end{aligned}$$

we have:

$$\begin{split} 0 = & \iint\limits_{\Omega_{\delta}} u(a^{ij}\partial_{ij}\varphi) dx dy = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \iint\limits_{A_{\varepsilon}} w_1 \partial_{ij}\varphi \, dx \, dy \\ + & \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \iint\limits_{B_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}} u(a^{ij}\partial_{ij}\varphi) dx dy + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \iint\limits_{C_{\varepsilon}} w_2 \partial_{ij}\varphi dx dy. \end{split}$$

Integration by parts in each term and taking the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$, it yields:

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma_1} ig\{ig[a_-^{11}(-a^+,\cdot)u-w_1ig]\partial_1 arphi - ig[\partial_1(a_-^{11}u)+2\partial_2(a_-^{12}u)-\partial_1w_1ig]arphiig\}dy = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} \left\{ \left[a_+^{11}(a^-,\cdot)u - w_2 \right] \partial_1 \varphi - \left[\partial_1 (a_+^{11}u) + 2 \partial_2 (a_+^{12}u) - \partial_1 w_2 \right] \varphi \right\} dy = 0.$$

By choosing φ suitably on Γ_k , we get the compatibility conditions (4). The proof is complete.

Lemma 2. (Integral compatibility condition). – If u is a solution to Problem (*), then the following integral compatibility condition holds for $|x| < a + \delta$:

(5)
$$\int_{T} (a^{11}u)(x,y)dxdy = \frac{x}{2a} \int_{T} [w_2(a,y) - w_1(-a,y)]dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T} [w_2(a,y) - w_1(-a,y)]dy.$$

PROOF. - We have:

$$\partial_{11}(a^{11}u) + 2\partial_{12}(a^{12}u) + \partial_{22}(a^{22}u) = 0$$
 in Ω .

By integrating on \mathbb{T} , we get

$$\partial_{11} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (a^{11}u)(x,y) dy = 0,$$

that is:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} (a^{11}u)(x,y)dy = kx + k_0,$$

 k, k_0 real constants.

By Lemma 1, an easy computation yields the condition (5). The proof of the Lemma 2 is completed. \Box

3. – Solution of the Problem (*) and construction of the function u.

To solve our problem, let us assume that the harmonic functions w_1 and w_2 are both positive. Moreover:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} w_1(x,y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_2(x,y)dy.$$

As a consequence of Remark 1 of Section 2, we have for $|x| < a + \delta$:

$$\partial_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_1(x,y) dy = \partial_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_2(x,y) dy.$$

Now, let us solve the problem by assuming

$$a^{11} = 1$$
 and $a^{12} = 0$.

Let $\psi \in C^{\infty}$, $0 \le \psi \le 1$, so defined: $\psi \equiv 1$ near x = -a and $\psi \equiv 0$ near x = a. Then construct the function u = u(x, y) as follows:

$$u = \psi w_1 + (1 - \psi)w_2 = w_2 + \psi(w_1 - w_2).$$

Clearly, u > 0. Moreover, as it can be easily verified, u satisfies the compatibility conditions (4) and (5).

Thus, from (5) we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_{11} u(x,y) dy = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\delta}.$$

Therefore, there exists a function v > 0, 2π - periodic in y and such that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}(x,y) = -\partial_{11}u(x,y)$$
 in Ω_{δ} .

Then:

$$\partial_{11}u + \partial_{22}\left(\frac{v}{u}\cdot u\right) = 0$$
 in Ω .

So, the problem is solved in Ω_{δ} with

$$a^{11} = 1, \ a^{22} = \frac{v}{u}, \ a^{12} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$$

and

$$a^{11} = a^{22} = 1$$
 in $\Omega_{\delta} \setminus \Omega$.

REMARK. – The same arguments could be used to get the same result in the case w_1 and w_2 both negative. Actually, it remains to be investigated the case $w_1 \cdot w_2 < 0$.

Therefore, we may state the following

Theorem 1. – Let w_1 and w_2 two harmonic functions defined in Ω_{δ} . Assume:

$$w_1 \cdot w_2 > 0$$
 and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} w_1 dy = \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_2 dy$.

Then, Problem (*) is solvable in Ω_{δ} .

Proof. – It is given exactly by all the above arguments of the present section. \Box

A consequence of Theorem 1 is the following

THEOREM 2. – Let w_k (k = 1, 2) harmonic functions in a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} of x = 0 $(y \in \mathbb{T})$, $w_1 \cdot w_2 > 0$, such that:

$$w_1(0,y) = w_2(0,y) > 0, \quad \partial_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_1(0,y) dy = \partial_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_2(0,y) dy.$$

Then, there exists a function u, defined in \mathcal{N} , such that:

$$\begin{cases} L^*u &= 0 & in & \mathcal{N} \\ u &= w_1 & for & x < \tilde{x} < 0 \\ u &= w_2 & for & x > \tilde{\tilde{x}} > 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. – In Theorem 1, let a, $\delta > 0$ so small that, in $|x| < a + \delta$, $w_1 \ge c_1 > 0$ and $w_2 \ge c_2 > 0$. Remark 1 of Section 2 implies that

$$\partial_1 \! \int_{\mathbb T} w_1 dy = \partial_1 \! \int_{\mathbb T} w_2 dy = c$$

in $|x| < a + \delta$ and $w_1 = w_2$ at x = 0 and Remark 2 imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} w_1 dy = \int_{\mathbb{T}} w_2 dy.$$

Then, u can be constructed as before and, from the previous Theorem, Theorem 2 follows.

REFERENCES

 M. V. SAFONOV, Unimprovability of estimates of Hölder constants for solutions of linear elliptic equations with measurable coefficients, Matem. Sbornik tom 132 (174) (1987), english transl. Math. USSR Sbornik, 60, no. 1 (1988), 269-281.

> Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni per l'Architettura Università degli Studi di Firenze, piazza Ghiberti - 27 50122 Firenze e-mail: arena@unifi.it

Received April 21, 2008 and in revised form December 11, 2008