

---

# BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

---

GIOVANNI FIORITO

## On a recursive formula for the sequence of primes and applications to the twin prime problem

*Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. 9-B (2006),  
n.3, p. 667–680.*

Unione Matematica Italiana

[<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\\_2006\\_8\\_9B\\_3\\_667\\_0>](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2006_8_9B_3_667_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



## On a Recursive Formula for the Sequence of Primes and Applications to the Twin Prime Problem (\*).

GIOVANNI FIORITO

**Sunto.** – *In questo lavoro presentiamo una formula ricorrente per la successione dei numeri primi  $\{p_n\}$ , che utilizziamo per trovare una condizione necessaria e sufficiente affinché un numero primo  $p_{n+1}$  sia uguale a  $p_n + 2$ . Il precedente risultato viene utilizzato per calcolare la probabilità che  $p_{n+1}$  sia uguale a  $p_n + 2$ . Inoltre proviamo che il limite per  $n$  tendente all'infinito della suddetta probabilità è zero. Infine, per ogni numero primo  $p_n$  costruiamo una successione i cui termini che appartengono all'intervallo  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$  sono i primi termini di due numeri primi gemelli. Questo risultato e alcune sue implicazioni rendono ulteriormente plausibile che l'insieme dei numeri primi gemelli sia infinito.*

**Summary.** – *In this paper we give a recursive formula for the sequence of primes  $\{p_n\}$  and apply it to find a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a prime number  $p_{n+1}$  is equal to  $p_n + 2$ . Applications of previous results are given to evaluate the probability that  $p_{n+1}$  is of the form  $p_n + 2$ ; moreover we prove that the limit of this probability is equal to zero as  $n$  goes to  $\infty$ . Finally, for every prime  $p_n$  we construct a sequence whose terms that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$  are the first terms of two twin primes. This result and some of its implications make furthermore plausible that the set of twin primes is infinite.*

### Introduction.

It is well known there are many open problems about the sequence of primes (see [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]); one of these is the twin prime problem, which consists in finding out if there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $p + 2$  is also prime (if the numbers  $p$  and  $p + 2$  are both primes, they are called twin primes). In the first part of this paper we give a recursive formula for the sequence of primes  $\{p_n\}$ , that we think be novel (for other recursive formulas see reference A 17 p. 37 of [3]). In the second part we apply it to find a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a prime number  $p_{n+1}$  is equal to

(\*) Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A41, 11B25, 40A05, 40A20.

Key words and phrases: primes, recursive formula, twin primes, periodically monotone sequences.

$p_n + 2$ . Moreover applications of previous results are given to evaluate the probability that  $p_{n+1}$  is of the form  $p_n + 2$  and from this we deduce that the limit of this probability is equal to zero when  $n$  goes to  $\infty$ . Finally, in the third part, for every prime  $p_n$  we construct a sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  whose terms that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$  are the first terms of two twin primes and moreover we prove a theorem on the mean number of the terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$ , which makes furthermore plausible that the set of twin primes is infinite. In the sequel we put as usual

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Moreover let us indicate by  $\{T_n\}$  the sequence of the first terms of the twin primes, so we have for example

$$T_1 = 3, \quad T_2 = 5, \quad T_3 = 11, \quad T_4 = 17, \quad T_5 = 29.$$

Finally let us denote by  $R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right)$  the remainder of the integral division of  $p_n$  by  $p_r$  for  $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

### 1. – A recursive formula for the sequence of primes.

**THEOREM 1.1.** – *The sequence of primes  $\{p_n\}$  is given by the following recursive formula:*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} p_1 = 2, \\ p_{n+1} = p_n + \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\} \quad \forall n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** – The proof is elementary. Indeed, by observing that

$$p_n + p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

represents all the multiple numbers of  $p_r$ , that are greater than  $p_n$ , it follows that the set

$$\mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

is not empty and the number

$$p = p_n + \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\}$$

is not divisible for  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . Now, let us observe that a prime number  $q$

such that

$$p_n < q < p$$

cannot be exists. Indeed, on the contrary, it should be

$$q - p_n \in \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

and then

$$q - p_n \geq \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\}.$$

On the other hand we also have

$$q - p_n < p - p_n = \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\},$$

and this is a contraddiction.

From the previous considerations it follows easily that  $p$  is the least prime greater than  $p_n$ , and so we have  $p_{n+1} = p$ . ■

REMARK 1.1. – For the Bertrand’s postulate (see [4], theorem 418 p. 343) we have for  $n \geq 2$

$$\min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\} < p_n$$

and therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^n \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\} = \\ \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^{n-1} \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1.2. – For computing the number

$$d_n = \min \left\{ \mathbb{N} - \bigcup_{r=1}^{n-1} \left\{ p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) + kp_r, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \right\}$$

for  $n \geq 2$ , it is useful to observe that  $d_n$  is even and then to proceed as follows. If

$$p_r - R \left( \frac{p_n}{p_r} \right) \neq 2 \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$$

then  $d_n = 2$ , otherwise  $d_n \geq 4$ . If  $d_n \geq 4$  and if the equations

$$p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) + kp_r = 4 \quad (r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1)$$

have no integral solutions  $k$  then  $d_n = 4$ , otherwise  $d_n \geq 6$ , and so on.

REMARK 1.3. – For computing the number  $R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right)$  for  $r = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$  it is useful to observe that for  $r = 2, 3, \dots, n-2$  and  $n \geq 4$  the following recursive formula holds

$$(1.2) \quad R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) = R\left(\frac{R\left(\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_r}\right) + d_{n-1}}{p_r}\right)$$

and for  $r = n-1$  we have obviously  $R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) = d_{n-1}$ .

REMARK 1.4. – Taking into account the Remark 1.3, the formula 1.1 can be employed to construct easily tables of primes.

## 2. – Some consequences of recursive formula.

The following theorems follow from theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2.

THEOREM 2.1. – *We have for  $n \geq 3$*

$$p_{n+1} = p_n + 2$$

*(and therefore  $p_n$  and  $p_{n+1}$  are twin primes) if and only if it results*

$$p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) \neq 2$$

*for  $r \geq 1$  and such that  $p_r \leq \sqrt{p_n + 2}$ .*

PROOF. – From theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2 it follows that

$$p_{n+1} = p_n + 2$$

if and only if it results

$$p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) \neq 2$$

for  $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ . Now let us observe that the condition

$$p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) \neq 2$$

is equivalent to say that  $p_n + 2$  is not divisible by  $p_r$ . Indeed if

$$p_r - R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) = 2$$

we have

$$p_n = qp_r + p_r - 2,$$

where  $q$  is the quotient of  $p_n$  by  $p_r$ . From the previous relation it follows

$$p_n + 2 = (q + 1)p_r,$$

and therefore  $p_n + 2$  is divisible by  $p_r$ . If

$$R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) \neq p_r - 2$$

let us distinguish two cases. If

$$R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) < p_r - 2$$

then it follows

$$p_n = qp_r + R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right)$$

and then

$$p_n + 2 = qp_r + R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) + 2,$$

and this proves that  $p_n + 2$  is not divisible by  $p_r$ . If

$$R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) = p_r - 1$$

then it follows

$$p_n = qp_r + p_r - 1$$

and then

$$p_n + 2 = (q + 1)p_r + 1$$

and this proves again that  $p_n + 2$  is not divisible by  $p_r$ . From the previous observation the thesis follows easily. ■

If we observe that

$$R\left(\frac{p_n}{p_r}\right) \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p_r - 1\}$$

we can evaluate easily the probability that

$$p_{n+1} = p_n + 2$$

for large  $n$ . Indeed the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 2.2.** – *The probability*

$$P(p_{n+1} = p_n + 2)$$

for large  $n$  is given by the formula

$$P(p_{n+1} = p_n + 2) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{9}{10} \cdots \frac{p_r - 2}{p_r - 1},$$

where  $p_r$  is the greatest prime such that  $p_r \leq \sqrt{p_n + 2}$ .

From previous theorem we get also the following result.

**THEOREM 2.3.** – *The formula*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(p_{n+1} = p_n + 2) = 0$$

holds.

**PROOF.** – From the theorem 2.2 we get

$$P(p_{n+1} = p_n + 2) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r - 1}\right),$$

where  $p_r$  is the greatest prime such that  $p_r \leq \sqrt{p_n + 2}$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(p_{n+1} = p_n + 2) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n - 1}\right).$$

But the infinite product is divergent to zero because the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_n}$$

is divergent (to  $\infty$ ) (see [4], p. 16 Th. 19), and the thesis follows. ■

Let us observe that the previous theorems are a theoretic explanation of the fact that twin primes become rarer and rarer as  $n$  becomes very large. Moreover these results match (but they are of different type) with other theoretic explanations (see for instance [4] p. 412 and [6] p. 133 ex. 9.1.15 and p. 143 ex. 9.3.12).

### 3. – The twin prime problem.

By using the theorem 2.1, for every prime  $p_n \geq 3$  it is possible to construct a sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  of natural numbers that contains among its terms all terms of the sequence  $\{T_m\}$  for  $T_m > p_n$ , moreover all the terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$  are terms of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$ . Let us proceed to construct  $\Sigma_3$ .

If  $p_n$  ( $p_n \geq 5$ ) is such that  $p_{n+1} = p_n + 2$ , then necessarily it is a term of the sequence

$$(3.1) \quad \{5 + 6k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}.$$

Indeed this is obvious for  $p_n = 5$ ; if  $p_n > 5$ , for the theorem 2.1, we have

$$R\left(\frac{p_n}{3}\right) = 2,$$

and being also  $R\left(\frac{5}{3}\right) = 2$ , it follows that  $p_n - 5$  is multiple of 3; but  $p_n - 5$  must be even and therefore we have

$$p_n - 5 = 6k$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $\Sigma_3$  is the sequence

$$\{5 + 6k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0},$$

which is an arithmetic progression with difference 6. Let us observe that all the terms of  $\Sigma_3$  that are in the interval  $[3^2 - 2, 5^2 - 2[$  are terms of  $\{T_n\}$ , namely 11 and 17.

Let us also observe that from 3.1 it follows that the difference

$$T_n - T_m \quad \forall n, m \quad (n > m)$$

is multiple of 6.

Now, for finding  $\Sigma_5$ , let us consider the sequence  $\{5 + 6k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and search  $k$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad R\left(\frac{5 + 6k}{5}\right) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R\left(\frac{5 + 6k}{5}\right) \neq 3.$$

Computing

$$R\left(\frac{5+6k}{5}\right)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we obtain respectively the numbers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4; therefore, by observing that the sequence

$$\left\{R\left(\frac{5+6k}{5}\right)\right\}$$

is periodic with period 5, it follows that the condition 3.2 is verified if

$$k = 1 + 5h \quad \text{or} \quad k = 2 + 5h \quad \text{or} \quad k = 4 + 5h \quad (h \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

In this way we get the 3 sequences

$$(3.3) \quad \{11 + 30k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad \{17 + 30k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad \{29 + 30k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$

(which are arithmetic progressions with difference 30). So we obtain that  $\Sigma_5$  is the periodically monotone sequence<sup>(1)</sup> whose principal terms are

$$11, \quad 17, \quad 29,$$

whose period is 3 and whose monotony constant is 30. Let us observe that all the terms of  $\Sigma_5$  that are in the interval  $[5^2 - 2, 7^2 - 2[$  are terms of  $\{T_n\}$ , namely 29 and 41.

Let us also observe that from 3.3 it follows that all terms of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  for  $T_n \geq 11$  have as unity digit always one of the numbers 1, 7, 9.

Now, for finding  $\Sigma_7$ , let us search  $k$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R\left(\frac{11+30k}{7}\right) &\neq 0, & R\left(\frac{11+30k}{7}\right) &\neq 5. \\ R\left(\frac{17+30k}{7}\right) &\neq 0, & R\left(\frac{17+30k}{7}\right) &\neq 5. \\ R\left(\frac{29+30k}{7}\right) &\neq 0, & R\left(\frac{29+30k}{7}\right) &\neq 5. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>(1)</sup> A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is called periodically monotone if there exist a natural number  $q$  and a real number  $k$  such that

$$(*) \quad x_{n+q} = x_n + k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

The lowest natural number  $q$  for which (\*) holds is called period. the constant  $k$  is called monotony constant. The terms  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q$  are called principal terms of  $\{x_n\}$ . The periodically monotone sequences generalize the periodic sequences and the arithmetic progressions (see [2]).

Computing all the remainders for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  and taking into account that the sequences

$$\left\{ R\left(\frac{11 + 30k}{7}\right) \right\}, \quad \left\{ R\left(\frac{17 + 30k}{7}\right) \right\}, \quad \left\{ R\left(\frac{29 + 30k}{7}\right) \right\}$$

are periodic with period 7, we obtain the following 15 sequences (which are arithmetic progressions with difference  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 210$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} &\{11 + 210k\}, \quad \{17 + 210k\}, \quad \{29 + 210k\}, \\ &\{41 + 210k\}, \quad \{59 + 210k\}, \quad \{71 + 210k\}, \\ &\{101 + 210k\}, \quad \{107 + 210k\}, \quad \{137 + 210k\}, \\ &\{149 + 210k\}, \quad \{167 + 210k\}, \quad \{179 + 210k\}, \\ &\{191 + 210k\}, \quad \{197 + 210k\}, \quad \{209 + 210k\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain that  $\Sigma_7$  is the periodically monotone sequence whose principal terms are

$$11, 17, 29, 41, 59, 71, 101, 107, 137, 149, 167, 179, 191, 197, 209,$$

whose period is 15 and whose monotony constant is  $210 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ .

Let us observe that all the terms of  $\Sigma_7$  that are in the interval  $[7^2 - 2, 11^2 - 2[$  are terms of  $\{T_n\}$ , namely 59, 71, 101 and 107.

The reasoning can be iterated so that the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 3.1.** – *For every prime number  $p_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) there exists a periodically monotone sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  with period*

$$1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 15 \cdot \dots \cdot (p_n - 2),$$

whose monotony constant is

$$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$$

and such that every term  $p$  of  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  satisfies the conditions

$$R\left(\frac{p}{p_r}\right) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R\left(\frac{p}{p_r}\right) \neq p_r - 2$$

$\forall r = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, n$ .

**REMARK 3.1.** – The principal terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  are obtained taking the terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_{n-1}}$  that are in the interval

$$]p_n, 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n[$$

and deleting those terms that are of the form

$$p_n \cdot p \quad \text{or} \quad p_n \cdot p - 2,$$

where  $p$  is prime greater than or equal to  $p_n$  or  $p$  is composite with prime factors greater than or equal to  $p_n$ . Consequently the principal terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  are distributed in the interval  $]p_n, 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n[$ .

The following definitions will be used in the sequel.

DEFINITION 3.1. – The number

$$Q_n = \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 15 \cdot \dots \cdot (p_n - 2)},$$

that is the quotient of the monotony constant by the period of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$ , is called mean distance between two consecutive terms of  $\Sigma_{p_n}$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. – Let  $(a, b)$  an interval ( $a \geq p_n$ ). The number

$$\frac{b - a}{Q_n}$$

is called mean number of the terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  that are in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

We have also the following theorems

THEOREM 3.2. – *For every prime number  $p_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) all the terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$  are terms of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$ . Moreover if a term of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  is in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$ , then it is a term of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$ .*

PROOF. – Let  $p$  be an arbitrary term of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  such that

$$p \in [p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[.$$

For the theorem 3.1  $p$  is not divisible by  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$  and then  $p$  is prime. Moreover, again for the theorem 3.1 and for the observation contained in the proof of theorem 2.1, also  $p + 2$  is not divisible by  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$  and therefore  $p + 2$  is prime too. The second part of the statement is obvious. ■

THEOREM 3.3. – *The set  $\{T_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is infinite (and therefore there are infinitely many twin primes) if and only if there exists a subsequence*

$$\{[p_{n_k}^2 - 2, p_{n_k+1}^2 - 2[$$

*such that every interval  $[p_{n_k}^2 - 2, p_{n_k+1}^2 - 2[$  contains at least a term of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_{n_k}}$ .*

PROOF. – Let the set  $\{T_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be infinite. Then we define  $p_{n_1}$  a prime such that

$$11 \in [p_{n_1}^2 - 2, p_{n_1+1}^2 - 2[.$$

For the theorem 3.2 we have that 11 is a term of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_{n_1}}$ . Now we take  $T_{k_1} > p_{n_1+1}^2 - 2$  and denote by  $p_{n_2}$  ( $n_2 > n_1$ ) a prime such that

$$T_{k_1} \in [p_{n_2}^2 - 2, p_{n_2+1}^2 - 2[;$$

For the theorem 3.2 we have that  $T_{k_1}$  is a term of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_{n_2}}$ . Similarly we take  $T_{k_2} > p_{n_2+1}^2 - 2$  and denote by  $p_{n_3}$  ( $n_3 > n_2$ ) a prime such that

$$T_{k_2} \in [p_{n_3}^2 - 2, p_{n_3+1}^2 - 2[;$$

Again for the theorem 3.2 we have that  $T_{k_2}$  is a term of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_{n_3}}$ . Because the reasoning can be iterated we have proved the «only if» part of the statement. The «if» part of the statement is obvious. ■

THEOREM 3.4. – *The mean number  $\sigma_{p_n}$  of the terms of the sequence  $\Sigma_{p_n}$  that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$  satisfies the condition*

$$\sigma_{p_n} \geq 19 \quad \forall p_n \geq 661 .$$

PROOF. – For the definitions 3.1 and 3.2 we have

$$\sigma_{p_n} = \frac{p_{n+1}^2 - p_n^2}{\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 15 \cdot \dots \cdot (p_n - 2)}} .$$

Now it results

$$\sigma_{p_n} \geq \frac{4p_n}{\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 15 \cdot \dots \cdot (p_n - 2)}} .$$

But, putting

$$S_n = \frac{4p_n}{\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 15 \cdot \dots \cdot (p_n - 2)}} ,$$

we have

$$\frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n} = \frac{p_{n+1} - 2}{p_n} \geq 1 ,$$

hence the sequence  $\{S_n\}$  is not-decreasing. Because it results  $S_n \geq 19$  for  $p_n = 661$ , the thesis follows. ■

The previous theorems make plausible that the following proposition is true (but we cannot prove it) and therefore the set  $\{T_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is infinite.

PROPOSITION 3.1. – *The number of the terms of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  that are less than  $p_{n+1}^2 - 2$  is approximatively given by*

$$\tau_n = 2 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{p_{k+1}^2 - p_k^2}{\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 15 \cdot \dots \cdot (p_k - 2)}}$$

and we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = + \infty .$$

In the following table are listed the values of  $\sigma_{p_n}$ ,  $Q_n$  and  $\mu_n$  in some intervals  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$ , where  $\sigma_{p_n}$ ,  $Q_n$  have been defined previously and  $\mu_n$  denotes the number of the terms of the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  that are in the interval  $[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$ .

$[p_n^2 - 2, p_{n+1}^2 - 2[$	$\sigma_{p_n}$	$Q_n$	$\mu_n$
$[3^2 - 2, 5^2 - 2[$	2.6	6	2
$[5^2 - 2, 7^2 - 2[$	2.4	10	2
$[7^2 - 2, 11^2 - 2[$	5.1	14	4
$[11^2 - 2, 13^2 - 2[$	2.8	17.1	2
$[13^2 - 2, 17^2 - 2[$	5.2	20.2	7
$[17^2 - 2, 19^2 - 2[$	3.1	22.9	2
$[19^2 - 2, 23^2 - 2[$	6.6	25.6	4
$[23^2 - 2, 29^2 - 2[$	11	28.0	7
$[29^2 - 2, 31^2 - 2[$	4	30	2
$[31^2 - 2, 37^2 - 2[$	12.7	32.2	10
$[37^2 - 2, 41^2 - 2[$	9.2	34	7
$[41^2 - 2, 43^2 - 2[$	4.7	35.8	3
$[43^2 - 2, 47^2 - 2[$	9.7	37.5	11

$[47^2 - 2,$	$53^2 - 2[$	15.3	39.2	12
$[53^2 - 2,$	$59^2 - 2[$	16.5	40.7	11
$[59^2 - 2,$	$61^2 - 2[$	5.7	42	5
$[61^2 - 2,$	$67^2 - 2[$	17.6	43.6	19
$[89^2 - 2,$	$97^2 - 2[$	29	51	21
$[151^2 - 2,$	$157^2 - 2[$	21.9	84.2	20
$[283^2 - 2,$	$293^2 - 2[$	54	106.5	68
$[421^2 - 2,$	$431^2 - 2[$	71	119.8	90
$[661^2 - 2,$	$673^2 - 2[$	115.5	138.6	108
$[953^2 - 2,$	$967^2 - 2[$	175.7	153	201
$[1361^2 - 2,$	$1367^2 - 2[$	97	168.8	111
$[1709^2 - 2,$	$1721^2 - 2[$	228.7	179.9	239
$[2027^2 - 2,$	$2029^2 - 2[$	43	187.8	42
$[2411^2 - 2,$	$2417^2 - 2[$	147.3	196.6	156
$[2903^2 - 2,$	$2909^2 - 2[$	169.3	206	175
$[3203^2 - 2,$	$3209^2 - 2[$	182.8	210.5	217
$[3449^2 - 2,$	$3457^2 - 2[$	258	214.2	279
$[3659^2 - 2,$	$3671^2 - 2[$	403.9	217.7	438
$[3803^2 - 2,$	$3821^2 - 2[$	624.2	219.8	667
$[4093^2 - 2,$	$4099^2 - 2[$	219.6	223.8	212

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. CRANDALL - C. POMERANCE, *Prime Numbers A Computational Perspective*, Springer-Verlag New York (2001).
- [2] G. FIORITO, *On Properties of Periodically Monotone Sequences*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **72** (1995), 259-275.
- [3] R. K. GUY, *Unsolved problems in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York (1994).

- [4] G. HARDY - E. WRIGHT, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Clarendon Press Oxford (1954).
- [5] K. IRELAND - M. ROSEN, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York (1981).
- [6] M. R. MURTY, *Problems in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1999).
- [7] M. B. NATHANSON, *Elementary Methods in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1999).

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica Università di Catania  
viale Andrea Doria 6, Italy; e-mail: fiorito@dmf.unict.it

---

*Pervenuta in Redazione*  
*l'8 gennaio 2004*