

---

# BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

---

GIAMBATTISTA MARINI

## Algebraic cycles on abelian varieties and their decomposition

*Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. 7-B (2004), n.1, p. 231–240.*

Unione Matematica Italiana

[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\\_2004\\_8\\_7B\\_1\\_231\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2004_8_7B_1_231_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



## Algebraic Cycles on Abelian Varieties and their Decomposition.

GIAMBATTISTA MARINI

**Sunto.** – *In questo lavoro consideriamo una varietà abeliana  $X$  ed il suo anello di Chow  $CH^\bullet(X)$  dei cicli algebrici modulo equivalenza razionale. Tramite la decomposizione di Künneth della diagonale  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  è possibile ottenere delle formule esplicite per i proiettori associati alla decomposizione di Beauville (1) di  $CH^\bullet(X)$ , tali formule sono espresse in termini delle immagini dirette e inverse dei morfismi di moltiplicazione per un intero  $m$ . Il teorema (4) fornisce delle drastiche semplificazioni di tali formule, la Proposizione (9) ed il Corollario (10) forniscono alcuni risultati ad esse correlati.*

**Summary.** – *For an Abelian Variety  $X$ , the Künneth decomposition of the rational equivalence class of the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  gives rise to explicit formulas for the projectors associated to Beauville's decomposition (1) of the Chow ring  $CH^\bullet(X)$ , in terms of push-forward and pull-back of  $m$ -multiplication. We obtain a few simplifications of such formulas, see theorem (4) below, and some related results, see proposition (9) below.*

### 0. – Introduction.

Let  $X$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $n$  and denote by  $CH_\bullet(X)$  its Chow group of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence. In our notation,  $CH_d(X)$  is the subgroup of  $d$ -dimensional cycles and  $CH^p(X) := CH_{n-d}(X)$  is the subgroup of  $p$ -codimensional cycles. For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\text{mult}(m)$  denote the multiplication map  $X \rightarrow X$ ,  $x \mapsto mx$ . By the use of Fourier-Mukai transform for abelian varieties (see [M] and [Be]), Beauville has established a decomposition

$$(1) \quad CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{s=-d}^{n-d} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s$$

where, by definition,  $CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH_d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is the Chow group with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -

coefficients and the right-hand-side subgroups are defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s &:= \{W \in CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \text{mult}(m)_\star W = m^{2d+s} W, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{W \in CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \text{mult}(m)^\star W = m^{2p-s} W, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = n - d$  is the codimension of  $W$ .

This decomposition is a tool to understand cycles and rational equivalence on abelian varieties and it would give a beautiful answer to many questions concerning the Chow groups of abelian varieties (see [Be], [BI], [J], [Ku] and [S]), provided that Beauville’s vanishing conjecture [Be] holds. This conjecture states that the factors of  $CH_d(X)$  with  $s < 0$  vanish (see B.C. below). As pointed out in the abstract, by the use of Deninger-Murre projectors  $\delta_i$ , (see [DM], [Ku]), the projections  $CH_d(X) \rightarrow [CH_d(X)]_s$  with respect to Beauville’s decomposition (1) can be written as linear forms of  $\text{mult}(m)_\star$  and  $\text{mult}(m)^\star$ . Theorem (4) simplifies such explicit descriptions. A further simplification is given for the case where one works modulo a piece of the decomposition, see proposition (9); see corollary (10) for a reformulation of Beauville’s conjecture.

**1. – The algebraic set up.**

We denote by  $\omega(z)$  the series expansion of  $\log(z + 1)$ . Namely,

$$\omega(z) := z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{3}z^3 \dots$$

Furthermore, for  $k$  and  $j$  non-negative integers we define constants  $a_{k,j}$  via the formal equality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^j = \frac{1}{k!} \omega(z)^k$$

Let  $A_r \in M_{r+1,r+1}(\mathbb{Q})$  be the matrix  $(a_{k,j})$ , where  $k$  and  $j$  run in  $[0, \dots, r]$ . Let  $B_r \in M_{r+1,r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix  $(b_{j,h})$ , where  $j$  and  $h$  run in  $[0, \dots, r]$  and where, by definition,  $b_{j,h} = (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h}$ . It is understood that  $\binom{j}{h} = 0$  provided that  $h > j$ . For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  we define linear forms  $L_k^{(r)}(x_0, \dots, x_r)$  by the following equality:

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_0^{(r)} \\ \vdots \\ L_r^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} = A_r B_r \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \end{pmatrix},$$

namely we define (observe that  $a_{k,j} = 0$ , if  $j < k$  and  $b_{j,h} = 0$ , if  $h > j$ )

$$L_k^{(r)}(x_0, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{j=k}^r \sum_{h=0}^j a_{k,j} (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} x_h,$$

and for  $k > r$  we define  $L_k^{(r)} = 0$ .

We now introduce a numerical lemma, the proof of which is very straightforward (and omitted).

LEMMA 3. – *Let  $j \geq 1$  and  $\sigma \geq 0$  be integers. Then*

$$\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} h^\sigma = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma < j \\ \sigma! & \text{if } \sigma = j. \end{cases}$$

## 2. – Projections of cycles.

Next, using linear forms  $L_k^{(r)}$ , we give a criterium to identify components (with respect to Beauville’s decomposition 1) of the algebraic cycles. In the sequel,  $X$  denotes an abelian variety of dimension  $n$ ;  $W \in CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denotes a rational algebraic cycle of dimension  $d$  and  $p = n - d$  its codimension; furthermore,  $W_s$  denotes a component of  $W$  with respect to Beauville’s decomposition (1), in particular  $s$  is an integer in the range  $[-d, n - d]$ . We also consider linear forms  $L_k^{(r)}$  as introduced in the previous section. The interpretation, in terms of push-forward and pull-back of multiplication maps, of the decomposition of the diagonal  $\Delta \in CH_n(X \times X)$  (see [DM], [Ku]) gives

$$W_s = ([\log(\Delta)]^{\star_{\text{rel}} 2d+s} \circ W) / (2d+s)! = ({}^t[\log(\Delta)]^{\star_{\text{rel}} 2n-2d-s} \circ W) / (2n-2d-s)!,$$

where  $\star_{\text{rel}}$  denotes the relative Pontryagin product on  $CH_{\bullet}(X \times X)$  with respect to projection on the first factor and where, for  $\alpha \in CH_{\bullet}(X \times X)$ ,  ${}^t\alpha$  denotes its transpose. This equality in turn, in terms of our  $L_k^{(r)}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} W_s &= L_{2d+s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_{\star}, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_{\star}) W \\ &= L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)^{\star}, \dots, \text{mult}(r)^{\star}) W, \quad \forall r \geq 2n. \end{aligned}$$

It is worthwhile to stress that the linear forms  $L_k^{(r)}$  enter in a natural way (for  $r = 2n$ ) as an explicit version of Deninger-Murre-Künnemann projectors in terms of push-forward and pull-back of multiplication maps. The following theorem (4) goes further, it says that such equalities hold for  $r$  that takes smaller values (see (4<sub>a</sub>) and (4<sub>b</sub>) below). We also want to stress that linear forms  $L_k^{(r)}$  have an increasing length with respect to  $r$  (see the list at the next page).

THEOREM 4. – *Let  $X, W$  and  $W_s$  be as above. Then*

$$(4_a) \quad W_s = L_{2d+s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_\star)W, \quad \forall r \geq n + d;$$

$$(4_b) \quad W_s = L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)^\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)^\star)W, \quad \forall r \geq n + p.$$

Formulas (4<sub>a</sub>) and (4<sub>b</sub>) are obtained by using lemma (7) below. We shall also see that (4<sub>b</sub>) can be refined: the equality there also holds for  $r \geq n + p - \min\{d, 2\}$ . A similar achievement does not hold for (4<sub>a</sub>). As an explicit example we want to point out that for a 4-dimensional abelian variety and a 2-cycle  $W$  the known formula for projectors would give

$$W_1 = 8W - 14 \text{mult}(2)^\star W + \frac{56}{3} \text{mult}(3)^\star W - \frac{35}{2} \text{mult}(4)^\star W +$$

$$\frac{56}{5} \text{mult}(5)^\star W - \frac{14}{3} \text{mult}(6)^\star W + \frac{8}{7} \text{mult}(7)^\star W - \frac{1}{8} \text{mult}(8)^\star W$$

meanwhile, by theorem (4), or better by remark (8), one has the simpler expression  $W_1 = 4W - 3 \text{mult}(2)^\star W + \frac{4}{3} \text{mult}(3)^\star W - \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(4)^\star W$ .

REMARK. – Beauville’s conjecture (see [Be]) states that

$$(B.C.) \quad [CH_d(X)_\mathbb{Q}]_s = 0, \quad \text{if } s < 0.$$

As a consequence of theorem (4), proving the conjecture is equivalent to proving that either

$$L_{2d+s}^{(n+d)}(\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(n+d)_\star) \quad \text{or} \quad L_{2p-s}^{(n+p)}(\text{mult}(0)^\star, \dots, \text{mult}(n+p)^\star)$$

acts trivially on  $CH_d(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ , for  $s < 0$ . Another equivalent formulation for Beauville’s conjecture (B.C.) is that the property (4<sub>b</sub>) holds also for  $r \geq 2p$  (this is trivial: since  $L_{2p-s}^{(2p)} = 0$  for  $s < 0$ , if (4<sub>b</sub>) holds for  $r = 2p$ , B.C. holds as well; it is straightforward to check that the converse implication follows from the proof of theorem 4).

REMARK. – Let us look at (4<sub>a</sub>) and (4<sub>b</sub>). The operators

$$L_{2d+s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_\star)$$

are non-trivial for  $r \geq n + d$  and the operators  $L_{2p-s}^{(r)}(\text{mult}(0)^\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)^\star)$  are non-trivial for  $r \geq n + p$ . Infact, since  $-d \leq s \leq n - d$ , then  $2d + s \leq n + d$  as well as  $2p - s \leq n + p$ .

Clearly, one has

$$\text{mult}(0)_\star W = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d = \dim W > 0 ; \\ \deg W \cdot o & \text{if } W \text{ is a } 0\text{-cycle, where } o \text{ is the origin of } X. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{mult}(1)_\star W = W$$

For  $n + d$  that takes the indicated value, the operators  $L_k = L_k^{(n+d)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots)$  act as follows.

**n+d=1**

$$L_0 W = \text{mult}(0)_\star W$$

$$L_1 W = -\text{mult}(0)_\star W + W$$

**n+d=2**

$$L_0 W = \text{mult}(0)_\star W$$

$$L_1 W = -\frac{3}{2} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + 2W - \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W$$

$$L_2 W = \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(0)_\star W - W + \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W$$

**n+d=3**

$$L_0 W = \text{mult}(0)_\star W$$

$$L_1 W = -\frac{11}{6} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + 3W - \frac{3}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{1}{3} \text{mult}(3)_\star W$$

$$L_2 W = \text{mult}(0)_\star W - \frac{5}{2} W + 2 \text{mult}(2)_\star W - \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(3)_\star W$$

$$L_3 W = -\frac{1}{6} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + \frac{1}{2} W - \frac{1}{2} \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{1}{6} \text{mult}(3)_\star W$$

**n+d=4**

$$L_0 W = \text{mult}(0)_\star W$$

$$L_1 W = -\frac{25}{12} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + 4W - 3 \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{4}{3} \text{mult}(3)_\star W - \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(4)_\star W$$

$$L_2 W = \frac{35}{24} \text{mult}(0)_\star W - \frac{13}{3} W + \frac{19}{4} \text{mult}(2)_\star W - \frac{7}{3} \text{mult}(3)_\star W + \frac{11}{24} \text{mult}(4)_\star W$$

$$L_3 W = -\frac{5}{12} \text{mult}(0)_\star W + \frac{3}{2} W - 2 \text{mult}(2)_\star W + \frac{7}{6} \text{mult}(3)_\star W - \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(4)_\star W$$

$$L_4 W = \frac{1}{24} \text{mult}(0)_\star W - \frac{1}{6} W + \frac{1}{4} \text{mult}(2)_\star W - \frac{1}{6} \text{mult}(3)_\star W + \frac{1}{24} \text{mult}(4)_\star W$$

From Beauville’s conjecture point of view the first interesting case is  $W_{-1} = L_5^{(8)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots) = L_5^{(7)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots)$ , for  $W \in CH^2(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\dim X = 5$ , see [Be]. Indeed, we have also  $W_{-1} = L_5^{(r)}(\dots, \text{mult}(i)_\star, \dots)$ , for  $r \geq 5 = n + p - \min\{d, 2\}$ .

Next we prove theorem (4) and some related results. First, we recall that

the Chow group of an abelian variety has two ring structures: the first one is given by the intersection product, the second one is given by the Pontryagin product, which we shall always denote by  $\star$ . Consider the ring  $CH_\bullet(X \times X)$  with the natural sum of cycles and the relative Pontryagin product with respect to projection on the first factor  $X \times X \rightarrow X$  (in other terms, we consider Pontryagin product on  $X \times X$  regarded as an abelian scheme over  $X$  via the first-factor-projection). Let  $\Delta \in CH_n(X \times X)$  be the diagonal and let  $E = X \times \{o\} \in CH_n(X \times X)$  be the unit of  $CH_\bullet(X \times X)$  with respect to the product above, where  $o$  is the origin of  $X$ . The projectors  $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{2n}$  are defined by (see [Ku], pag. 200)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j &= \frac{1}{(2n-j)!} [\log(\Delta)]^{\star_{\text{rel}^{2n-j}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2n-j)!} \left[ (\Delta - E) - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - E)^{\star_{\text{rel}^2}} + \frac{1}{3}(\Delta - E)^{\star_{\text{rel}^3}} \dots \right]^{\star_{\text{rel}^{2n-j}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\Delta - E)^{\star_{\text{rel}^{2n+1}}} = 0$  (see [Ku]), the series above are infact finite sums. Now let  $\Delta_m$  denote the graph of  $\text{mult}(m)$ . By Deninger, Murre and Künnemann theorem (see [DM], [Ku]) we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} [{}^t\Delta_m] \circ \delta_j &= m^j \delta_j, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq 2n; \\ {}^t\delta_j &= \delta_{2n-j}, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq 2n; \end{aligned}$$

where the composition above is the composition of correspondences and where, for  $\sigma \in \text{Corr}(A, B)$ ,  ${}^t\sigma \in \text{Corr}(B, A)$  denotes its transpose. As a consequence, for  $W \in CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq 2n$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}(m)^\star(\delta_j \circ W) &= [{}^t\Delta_m] \circ (\delta_j \circ W) \\ &= m^j(\delta_j \circ W), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, one identifies  $CH_\bullet(X)$  with  $\text{Corr}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}, X) = CH_\bullet(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \times X)$ . Thus, by the definition (2) one has

$$(5') \quad \delta_j \circ W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s, \quad s := 2n - 2d - j.$$

Since  $\sum \delta_j = \Delta$  acts as the identity map, (5) and (5') give

$$(5'') \quad W_s = \delta_{2n-2d-s} \circ W = {}^t\delta_{2d+s} \circ W$$

where, as usual,  $W_s$  denotes the component of  $W$  with respect to Beauville's decomposition (1).

For the proof of theorem (4) we need the following.

LEMMA 6. – *Let  $W$  be as in the theorem. Then*

$$[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)_{\star} W$$

$${}^t[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W$$

PROOF. – Since  $E$  is the unit for relative Pontryagin product and since  $\Delta^{\star \text{rel}^h} \circ W = \text{mult}(h)_{\star} W$  as well as  ${}^t[\Delta^{\star \text{rel}^h}] \circ W = \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W$ , the two equalities follow by a straightforward computation. ■

LEMMA 7. – *Let  $W$  be as in the theorem. Then*

$$(7_a) \quad [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq n + d + 1;$$

$$(7_b) \quad {}^t[(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq n + p + 1.$$

PROOF. – We prove (7<sub>b</sub>), the proof of (7<sub>a</sub>) is very similar. By lemma (6), we have to show that for  $j \geq n + p + 1$  one has

$$\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W = 0.$$

By linearity of the left-hand-side operator we are free to assume that  $W$  belongs to one of the factors from Beauville decomposition (1), namely we are free to assume that  $W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s$  for some  $s \in [-d, n - d]$ . Thus (see 2), we assume that  $\text{mult}(m)^{\star} W = m^{2p-s} W, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows

$$\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)^{\star} W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} h^{2p-s} W.$$

For  $s$  in the range above, the range for  $2p - s$  is  $[p, n + p]$ ; in particular, we have  $2p - s < j$ . By lemma (3), the coefficient  $\sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} h^{2p-s}$  vanishes. Then we are done. ■

PROOF (of theorem 4). – We start with formula (4<sub>a</sub>). Let  $k = 2d + s$ . Then, we have

$$W_s = \delta_{2n-2d-s} \circ W = \frac{1}{(2d+s)!} [\log(\Delta)^{\star \text{rel}^{2d+s}}] \circ W$$

$$= \sum_{j=k}^{2n} a_{k,j} (\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W.$$

Now observe that by lemma (7), we have  $(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W = 0$  for  $j \geq n + d + 1$ .

Thus, the summation above can be taken up to  $r$ , provided that  $r \geq n + d$ . It follows that

$$W_s = \sum_{j=k}^r a_{k,j} (\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W, \quad \forall r \geq n + d.$$

Looking at the definition of the operators  $L_k^{(r)}$  it is then clear that  $(4_a)$  follows from the first equality from lemma (6),

$$(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j} \circ W = \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)_\star W.$$

The proof of formula  $(4_b)$  is similar. For  $r \geq n + p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_s &= {}^t \delta_{2d+s} \circ W = \frac{1}{(2p-s)!} {}^t [\log(\Delta)^{\star \text{rel}^{2p-s}}] \circ W \\ &= \sum_{j=2p-s}^{2n} a_{2p-s,j} {}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W \\ &= \sum_{j=2p-s}^r a_{2p-s,j} {}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W \\ &= \sum_{j=2p-s}^r a_{2p-s,j} \sum_{h=0}^j (-1)^{j-h} \binom{j}{h} \text{mult}(h)_\star W \\ &= L_{2p-s}^{(r)} (\text{mult}(0)_\star, \dots, \text{mult}(r)_\star) W \end{aligned}$$

where the 4<sup>th</sup> equality follows by lemma (7), the 5<sup>th</sup> equality follows by lemma (6) and the 6<sup>th</sup> equality follows by the definition of the operators  $L_k^{(r)}$ . ■

REMARK 8. – The equality  $(7_b)$  can be improved. We have,

$$(8') \quad {}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq n + p + 1 - \delta$$

where  $\delta = \min \{d, 2\}$ . Infact, since  $[CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s = 0$  provided that  $s \leq \min \{-d + 1, -1\}$  (see [Be]), the actual range for  $s$  can be shrunk to  $\min \{-d + 2, 0\} \leq s \leq n - d$ . Thus in turn, one obtains  $(8')$  by the same proof of  $(7_b)$ . As a consequence,  $(4_b)$  can be refined: the equality there also holds for all  $r \geq n + p - \delta$  (where  $\delta$  is as above).

Furthermore, for the same reason, if Beauville’s conjecture (B.C.) mentioned above holds, then

$${}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^j}] \circ W = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 2p + 1$$

In particular, if  $W$  is a divisor (hence it satisfies B.C.), then  ${}^t [(\Delta - E)^{\star \text{rel}^3}] \circ$

$W = 0$ , namely  $3W - 3 \text{ mult}(2)^*W + \text{mult}(3)^*W = 0$ , which is obvious (in the case of divisors, this kind of computations provide trivial results).

Now fix  $s$ , working modulo  $\bigoplus_{l \geq s+1} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$ , or rather modulo  $\bigoplus_{l \leq s-1} [CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$ , yields simpler formulas than the ones from theorem (4); furthermore, it can be used to provide a reformulation for Beauville's conjecture (B.C.), see corollary (10) and the example below.

PROPOSITION 9. - *Let  $W$  and  $W_s$  be as in the theorem. Then*

$$(9_a) \quad W_s = \frac{1}{(2d+s)!} \sum_{h=0}^{2d+s} (-1)^{2d+s-h} \binom{2d+s}{h} \text{mult}(h)_* W, \quad \text{modulo } \bigoplus_{l \geq s+1} [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$$

Furthermore,

$$(9_b) \quad W_s = \frac{1}{(2p-s)!} \sum_{h=0}^{2p-s} (-1)^{2p-s-h} \binom{2p-s}{h} \text{mult}(h)^* W, \quad \text{modulo } \bigoplus_{l \leq s-1} [CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l$$

PROOF. - We prove (9<sub>b</sub>). Let  $K = \frac{1}{(2p-s)!} \sum_{h=0}^{2p-s} (-1)^{2p-s-h} \binom{2p-s}{h} \text{mult}(h)^*$ .

It suffices to prove that

$$KW = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_l, \quad l \geq s+1 \\ W & \text{if } W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s. \end{cases}$$

This is clear by the proof of (7<sub>b</sub>); as for the case  $W \in [CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_s$ , the equality  $KW = W$  follows since, by lemma (3), the coefficient  $\sum_{h=0}^{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma-h} \binom{\sigma}{h} h^{\sigma}$  equals  $\sigma!$  (here  $\sigma = 2p - s$ ). The proof of (9<sub>a</sub>) is similar. ■

A straightforward consequence of (9<sub>b</sub>) is the following.

COROLLARY 10. - *Let  $X$  be as in the theorem. Then, it satisfies Beauville's conjecture for  $d$ -dimensional cycles if and only if*

$$\sum_{h=0}^k (-1)^{k-h} \binom{k}{h} \text{mult}(h)^*$$

*acts trivially on  $CH_d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  for  $k \geq 2p + 1$ , where  $p = n - d$  as usual.*

For 5-dimensional abelian varieties the only bad component that might exist is  $[CH_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}]_{-1}$ . Then, by the corollary above it follows that a 5-dimen-

sional abelian variety  $X$  satisfies Beauville's conjecture (B.C.) if and only if

$$5W - 10 \text{ mult}(2)^*W + 10 \text{ mult}(3)^*W - 5 \text{ mult}(4)^*W + \text{mult}(5)^*W = 0,$$

for all  $W \in CH_3(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Be] A. BEAUVILLE, *Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne*, Math. Ann., **273** (1986), 647-651.
- [Bl] S. BLOCH, *Some Elementary Theorems about Algebraic cycles on Abelian Varieties Inventiones*, Math., **37** (1976), 215-228.
- [DM] C. DENINGER - J. MURRE, *Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **422** (1991), 201-219.
- [J] U. JANNSEN, *Equivalence relation on algebraic cycles*, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **548** (2000), 225-260.
- [GMV] M. GREEN - J. MURRE - C. VOISIN, *Algebraic Cycles and Hodge Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1594** (1993).
- [Ku] K. KÜNNEMANN, *On the Chow Motive of an Abelian Scheme*, Proceedings of Symposia in pure Mathematics, **55** (1994), 189-205.
- [M] S. MUKAI, *Duality between  $D(X)$  and  $D(\widehat{X})$  with its applications to Picard Sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J., **81** (1981), 153-175.
- [S] S. SAITO, *Motives and filtrations on Chow groups, II*, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **548** (2000), 321-346.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Roma II  
 «Tor Vergata», Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma (Italy)  
 E-mail: marini@axp.mat.uniroma2.it

---

*Pervenuta in Redazione*

*il 7 dicembre 2002 e in forma rivista il 31 marzo 2003*