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# Minimal Resolution of General Stable <br> Rank-2 Vector Bundles on $\mathrm{P}^{2}$. 

Carla Dionisi - Marco Maggesi

Sunto. - Abbiamo studiato gli elementi generici degli spazi di moduli $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{p}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ dei fibrati vettoriali stabili di rango 2 su $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ e le loro risoluzioni libere minimali. $N e$ segue una dimostrazione piuttosto semplice dell'irriducibilità di $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{p}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$.

Summary. - We study general elements of moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of rank-2 stable holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and their minimal free resolutions. Incidentally, a quite easy proof of the irreducibility of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is shown.

## 1. - Introduction.

We investigate stable rank-2 vector bundles on the complex projective plane $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ by means of their minimal free resolutions. Bohnhorst and Spindler in their paper [BS92] develop interesting techniques for the study of minimal free resolution of rank- $n$ stable vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of homological dimension 1. In this work we derive a number of consequences for rank- 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

As Bohnhorst and Spindler observe, Betti numbers define a stratification of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of stable holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by constructible subsets. We estimate the codimension of such strata and characterize Betti numbers of the general element of the moduli space. As a corollary, we get a simple proof of the irreducibility of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$. The irreducibility of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ was proved for $c_{1}$ even in [Bar77a] and $c_{1}$ odd indipendently in [Pot79] and [Hul79] and other proofs can be found in [Ell83, HL93, Mar78].

We would like to thank G. Ottaviani for his invaluable guidance and V. Ancona for many useful discussions.

## 2. - Admissible pairs and resolutions.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. By Horrocks' theorem [Hor64], \& has homological dimension at most 1, i.e., it has a free resolution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(-a_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k+2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(-b_{j}\right) \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We do not assume that such a resolution is minimal. In what follows we suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ has homological dimension 1 (so that $k>0$ ); we are not interested in vector bundles of homological dimension 0 , i.e., splitting vector bundles, since they are not stable.

We suppose that the two sequences $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are indexed in nondecreasing order

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_{k}  \tag{2}\\
& b_{1} \leqslant b_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant b_{k+2}
\end{align*}
$$

call $(a, b)=\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k+2}\right)\right)$ the associated pair to the resolution (1). If this resolution is minimal, we call $(a, b)$ the pair associated to the bundle 8. Notice that the associated pair and Betti numbers encode exactly the same information; in particular $\max \left(a_{k}-1, b_{k+2}\right)$ is the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $\delta$.

Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}$ of $\delta$ are expressed in terms of the $a_{i}, b_{j}$ by the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k+2} b_{i},  \tag{3}\\
2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{k+2} b_{i}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.1. - A pair $(a, b)$ is said to be admissible if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}>b_{i+2} \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, k \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of brevity, we say that the resolution (1) is admissible if its associated pair $(a, b)$ is so.

More generally, we can consider the associated pair ( $a, b$ ) to any vector bundle of homological dimension 1 on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ with $n \geqslant 2$. In that case, we say that ( $a, b$ ) is admissible if $a_{i}>b_{n+i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$, as in [BS92].

Let us restate the main results of Bohnhorst and Spindler on admissible pairs (proposition 2.3 and theorem 2.7 in [BS92]) in our settings.

Theorem 2.2. - Let $\&$ be the rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of resolution (1). Then

1. resolution (1) is minimal if and only if it is admissible and every constant entry of the matrix $\Phi$ is zero;
2. if resolution (1) is admissible then $\mathcal{E}$ is stable (resp. semistable) if and only if $b_{1}>-\mu$ (resp. $b_{1} \geqslant-\mu$ ) where $\mu=c_{1} / 2$ is the slope of $\delta$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{J}$ the set of all admissible pairs $(a, b)$ associated to rank-2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}$ which satisfy the condition

$$
b_{1}>-\mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum a_{i}-\sum b_{j}\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.2 shows that the set $\mathfrak{J}$ contains the set of all possible associated pairs to a stable vector bundle in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ and coincides exactly with it. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\coprod_{(a, b) \in \mathfrak{J}} \mathfrak{M}(a, b) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{M}(a, b)$ is the constructible subset of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of vector bundles with associated pair $(a, b)$.

Proposition 2.3. - For all $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{F}$, the closed set $\overline{\mathfrak{M}(a, b)}$ is an irreducible algebraic subset of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of dimension

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathfrak{M}(a, b)} & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{1}, F_{0}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{0}, F_{1}\right)+  \tag{7}\\
& -\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}\left(F_{1}\right)-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}\left(F_{0}\right)+1-\#\left\{(i, j): a_{i}=b_{j}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{0}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k+2} \mathcal{O}\left(-b_{j}\right), F_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{O}\left(-a_{i}\right)$.

Proof. - Proposition 3.3 in [BS92].

In the following lemma we find an upper bound on the regularity of semistable vector bundles on $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ of rank-2. A lower bound is given in corollary 3.7.

Theorem 2.4. - A normalized semistable rank-2 bundle \& on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is $c_{2}$-regular.

Proof. - For brevity's sake, we set $\xi_{i}:=a_{i}-b_{i+2}$ and $t_{i}:=b_{i+2}-b_{2}$. Obviously, $\xi_{i} \geqslant 1$ and $t_{i} \geqslant 0$. We rewrite (3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}=b_{1}+b_{2}+c_{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equation (4) and theorem 2.2, using inequalities (2) and (5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}^{2}-b_{i+2}^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}\left(2 b_{2}+2 t_{i}+\xi_{i}\right) \geqslant \\
& \geqslant 2 b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(2 t_{i}+\xi_{i}\right)=  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(2 b_{2}+1\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+c_{1}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we suppose that $b_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} \geqslant c_{2}+1$, we have

$$
b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+2 b_{2}-c_{1}^{2}-\left(2 b_{2}+1\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+c_{1}\right) \geqslant 2 .
$$

The left side of the above inequality is non-increasing with respect to $b_{1}$, hence it remains true after substituting $-c_{1}$ to $b_{1}$; but $b_{2}-b_{2}^{2} \geqslant 2$ has no solutions. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}$ must be at most $c_{2}-b_{2}$ and in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k+2}=b_{2}+t_{k} \leqslant b_{2}+\sum t_{i} \leqslant c_{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we must show that $a_{k} \leqslant c_{2}+1$. We rewrite (3) as $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_{i}=b_{1}+b_{2}+$ $b_{k+2}-a_{k}+c_{1}$ and by (4)

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+b_{k+2}^{2}-a_{k}^{2}+2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(a_{i}^{2}-b_{i+2}^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_{i}\left(2 b_{2}+2 t_{i}+\xi_{i}\right) \geqslant \\
& \geqslant 2 b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_{i} \geqslant  \tag{11}\\
& \geqslant\left(2 b_{2}+1\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{k+2}-a_{k}+c_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

that can be put in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}-\left(2 b_{2}+1\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+c_{1}\right)+2 & c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} \geqslant  \tag{12}\\
& \geqslant\left(a_{k}-b_{k+2}\right)\left(a_{k}+b_{k+2}-2 b_{2}-1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $a_{k} \geqslant c_{2}+2$. By (10) we have $a_{k}-b_{k+2} \geqslant c_{2}+2-c_{2}=2$ and we observe also that $a_{k}+b_{k+2}-2 b_{2}-1 \geqslant c_{2}-b_{2}+1$. Substituting and simplifying,
equation (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}-\left(2 b_{2}+1\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+c_{1}\right)-c_{1}^{2} \geqslant c_{1}^{2}+2 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we can restrict ourselves to the case $b_{1}=-c_{1}$ obtaining

$$
b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}-\left(2 b_{2}+1\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) \geqslant 2
$$

which has no solution for $b_{i}$ positive. Then $a_{k} \leqslant c_{2}+1$.
Remark 2.5. - The above theorem is sharp. Indeed $\left(\left(c_{2}+1\right),\left(0,1, c_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\left(c_{2}+1\right),\left(1,1, c_{2}\right)\right)$ are admissible pairs associated to rank-2 semistable bundles with Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and regularity $c_{2}$.

Remark 2.6. - It is also possible to prove that a semistable rank-2 bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is $c_{2}$ regular if $c_{1}=0$ and $\left(c_{2}+1\right)$-regular if $c_{1}=-1$ using the bounds on dimension of cohomology groups proved by Elencwajg and Forster (proposition 2.18 in [EF80]) and the Grauert-Mülich theorem.

Remark 2.7. - From (8) and the thesis of the previous theorem, the value $k$ in (1) is bounded by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}=b_{1}+b_{2}+c_{1} \leqslant 2 c_{2}+c_{1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for fixed Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}$, there are only a finite number of admissible pairs of rank-2 vector bundles and we can write an algorithm to enumerate such pairs restricting the search to a finite domain.

## 3. - Natural pairs and general vector bundles.

We say that $(a, b)=\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k+2}\right)\right)$ is a natural pair if it is admissible and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k+2}<a_{1}, \quad a_{k} \leqslant b_{1}+2 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequalities imply $a_{i} \neq b_{j}$ for all $i$ and $j$.
We observe that natural pairs are parametrized by three integers $s, k, \alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \geqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad-k+1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant k+2 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows: the pair $(a, b)_{s, k, \alpha}$ corresponding to the triple $(s, k, \alpha)$ is the pair associated to a resolution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-s-1)^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-s)^{\alpha} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-s+1)^{k-\alpha+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\alpha \geqslant 0$, or of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-s-1)^{k+\alpha} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-s)^{-\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-s+1)^{k+2} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\alpha<0$. We have excluded the case $\alpha=-k$ so that $s$ is the regularity of the pair, i.e., $s=\max \left(a_{k}-1, b_{k+2}\right)$.

In this section we are going to show that resolutions of general vector bundles have natural pairs.

Theorem 3.1. - One has codim $\mathfrak{M}(a, b)=0$ if and only if $(a, b)$ is a natural pair.

As a remarkable consequence we will derive a quite simple proof of the irreducibility of moduli spaces of rank-2 stable vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and we will compute regularity and cohomology of their general elements.

We recall that, since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F})=0$ for any stable vector bundle $\mathfrak{F}$ on $\mathrm{P}^{2}$, the corresponding moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is smooth of dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F})=4 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}-3 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the function $A(t):=h^{2}(\mathcal{O}(t))$ and its finite differences of first and second order $\left(\Delta_{u} A\right)(t):=A(t+u)-A(t)$ and $\left(\Delta_{v} \Delta_{u} A\right)(t):=\left(\Delta_{u} A\right)$. $(t+v)-\left(\Delta_{u} A\right)(t)$.

Lemma 3.2. - If $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ has associated admissible pair $(a, b)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{codim} \overline{\mathfrak{M}(a, b)}=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)+h^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(b_{2}\right)\right)+\#\left\{(i, j): a_{i}=b_{j}\right\}+  \tag{20}\\
&+\sum_{i, j=1}^{k}\left(\Delta_{b_{i+2}-a_{i}} \Delta_{b_{j+2}-a_{j}} A\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i+2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the minimal resolution of 8 where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k+2} \mathcal{O}\left(-b_{j}\right), \quad F_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{O}\left(-a_{i}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stability of $\mathcal{E}$ ensures the vanishing $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})\right)=h^{2}\left(\mathcal{\delta}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)=0$ so that
$h^{2}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)=h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)$. Then from (21) we easily find the following data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)=h^{0}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes F_{0}\right)-h^{0}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes F_{1}\right), \\
& h^{0}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)=h^{0}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes F_{0}\right)-h^{0}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes F_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{\delta}, \mathcal{E})\right)= & h^{1}\left(\mathcal{\delta}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)=  \tag{23}\\
= & h^{1}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)-h^{1}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)+ \\
& +h^{0}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{\delta}\right)-h^{0}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)+1
\end{align*}
$$

and from (7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{codim} \overline{\mathfrak{M}(a, b)} & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})\right)-\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathfrak{M i}(a, b)}=  \tag{24}\\
& =h^{1}\left(F_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)-h^{1}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)+\#\left\{(i, j): \underset{\widetilde{\widetilde{c}}}{a_{i}}=b_{j}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by splitting $F_{0}$ as $\mathcal{O}\left(-b_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-b_{2}\right) \oplus \widetilde{F_{0}}$ with $\widetilde{F}_{0}:=\bigoplus_{i=3}^{k+2} \mathcal{O}\left(-b_{i}\right)$, the above formula becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{codim} \overline{\mathfrak{M} i(a, b)}= & h^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)+h^{1}\left(\mathcal{\delta}\left(b_{2}\right)\right)+\#\left\{(i, j): a_{i}=b_{j}\right\}+  \tag{25}\\
& +h^{1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)-h^{1}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h^{2}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes F_{0}\right)=h^{2}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes \widetilde{F_{0}}\right)$ and $h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes F_{0}\right)=h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \widetilde{F_{0}}\right)$ the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h^{1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)-h^{1}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)=  \tag{26}\\
& =h^{2}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes F_{1}\right)-h^{2}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes F_{0}\right)-h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes F_{1}\right)+h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes F_{0}\right)= \\
& =h^{2}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes F_{1}\right)-h^{2}\left(\widetilde{F}_{0}^{*} \otimes \widetilde{F}_{0}\right)-h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes F_{1}\right)+h^{2}\left(F_{1}^{*} \otimes \widetilde{F}_{0}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left[h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(b_{i+2}-a_{j}\right)\right)+-h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(b_{i+2}-b_{j+2}\right)\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad-h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\right)+h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(a_{i}-b_{j+2}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Then equation (20) follows by substitution of (26) in (25).
Proof of theorem 3.1. - It can be verified by direct computation from proposition 2.3 that, if $\mathcal{\&}$ has natural pair, then the codimension of $\overline{M i(a, b)}$ is zero. Conversely, let $u, v$ be two non-negative integers. Since all finite differences $\left(\Delta_{u} A\right)(t):=A(t+u)-A(t)$ are non decreasing functions of $t$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{v} \Delta_{u} A\right)(t) \geqslant 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the previous lemma

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim} \overline{\mathfrak{M}(a, b)} \geqslant h^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)+h^{1}\left(\mathcal{\&}\left(b_{2}\right)\right)+\#\left\{(i, j): a_{i}=b_{j}\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{codim} \overline{\mathcal{M}(a, b)}=0$, we have $a_{k} \leqslant b_{1}+2$ and $\#\left\{(i, j): a_{i}=b_{j}\right\}=0$, since $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{\&}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)=0$ implies $h^{2}\left(F_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)=0$. This forces $(a, b)$ to be a natural pair.

Proposition 3.3. - Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ be nonempty and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=\max \left\{\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}: 2 \varrho^{2}+2 c_{1} \varrho-2 \varrho \leqslant 2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}-1\right\}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha$ and $k$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha:=2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}+2-2 s^{2}-2 c_{1} s,  \tag{30}\\
& k:=\left(2 s+c_{1}-2+|\alpha|\right) / 2,
\end{align*}
$$

then $(a, b)_{s, k, \alpha}$ is the only natural pair of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$.
Proof. - Note that, since $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is nonempty, from theorem 3.1 there exists a vector bundle associated to a natural pair. It is easy to verify that equations (3) and (4) are equivalent to (30) and conditions (16) are equivalent to

$$
2 s^{2}+2 c_{1} s-c_{1}-2 s+1 \leqslant 2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} \leqslant 2 s^{2}+2 c_{1} s+c_{1}+2 s
$$

The intervals

$$
\left[2 s^{2}+2 c_{1} s-c_{1}-2 s+1,2 s^{2}+2 c_{1} s+c_{1}+2 s\right]
$$

are disjoint for $s$ varying in $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $s$ is uniquely determined from $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and satisfies (29).

Remark 3.4. - Equation (29) in proposition 3.3 is also equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=\min \left\{\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}: 2 \varrho^{2}+2 c_{1} \varrho+2 \varrho \geqslant 2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}-c_{1}\right\} . \tag{29bis}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5. - Moduli spaces of stable rank-2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ are irreducible.

Proof. - Moduli spaces of stable rank-2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ are smooth. By theorem 3.1 and the above proposition they can have only one connected component.

Corollary 3.6. - The general element of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ has natural cohomology.

The above corollary justify the terminology «natural pair». A different proof for it, working also for rank greater than 2, can be found in [HL93], by using sophisticated techniques of stacks theory.

Using proposition 3.3 we are going to give some bounds on regularity and
cohomology of stable vector bundles. In particular, for rank-2 vector bundles, the next two corollaries give respectively a refined version of corollary 5.4 in [Bru80] and proposition 7.1 in [Har78].

Corollary 3.7. - The general vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ has regularity $s$ given by (29).

Corollary 3.8. - Let [8] be a vector bundle in $\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ and let $s$ be defined by (29). Then $H^{0}(\mathcal{E}(t)) \neq 0$ if

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t \geqslant s & \text { when } 2 s^{2}+2 c_{1} s+2 s=2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}-c_{1} \\
t \geqslant s-1 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}
$$

The above inequality is sharp, in the sense that it gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $\&$ general.

Proof. - Let $\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k+2}\right)\right)$ be the admissible pair associated to a vector bundle $\delta$ in $\mathfrak{M}$. Then one has $H^{0}(\delta(t)) \neq 0$ if and only if $t-b_{1} \geqslant 0$. By the semicontinuity of cohomology groups and theorem 3.5, it is enough to restrict ourselves to the case where $\delta$ is general. So, by (17) and (18) one has $H^{0}(\mathcal{E}(t)) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t \geqslant s & \text { when } \alpha=k+2 \\
t \geqslant s-1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$

and the condition $\alpha=k+2$ is equivalent to $2 s^{2}+2 c_{1} s+2 s=2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}-c_{1}$ by (30).
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