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# Calculating a Determinant Associated with Multiplicative Functions. 

P. Codecá - M. Nair

Sunto. - Sia h una funzione moltiplicativa a valori complessi. Per ogni $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$, calcoliamo il determinante $D_{N}:=\operatorname{det}_{i|N, j| N}\left(\frac{h((i, j))}{i j}\right)$, dove $(i, j)$ indica il massimo comun divisore di i e j, che figurano in ordine crescente in righe e colonne. Precisamente dimostriamo che

$$
D_{N}=\prod_{p^{l} \| N}\left(\frac{1}{p^{l(l+1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(h\left(p^{i}\right)-h\left(p^{i-1}\right)\right)\right)^{\tau\left(N / p^{l}\right)} .
$$

Dunque $D_{N}^{1 / \tau(N)}$ è effettivamente una funzione moltiplicativa di $N$. L'apparato algebrico associato a questo risultato ci consente di dimostrarne altri due. Il primo è la caratterizzazione delle funzioni reali moltiplicative $f(n)$, con $0 \leqslant f(p)<1$, come valori minimi di certe forme quadratiche sulla sfera unità $\tau(N)$ dimensionale. Il secondo è la determinazione esplicita dei valori minimi di certe altre forme quadratiche su detta sfera.

Summary. - Let $h$ be a complex valued multiplicative function. For any $\boldsymbol{N} \in \boldsymbol{N}$, we compute the value of the determinant $D_{N}:=\operatorname{det}_{i|N, j| N}\left(\frac{h((i, j))}{i j}\right)$, where $(i, j)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $i$ and $j$, which appear in increasing order in rows and columns. Precisely we prove that

$$
D_{N}=\prod_{p^{l} \| N}\left(\frac{1}{p^{l(l+1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(h\left(p^{i}\right)-h\left(p^{i-1}\right)\right)\right)^{\tau\left(N / p^{l}\right)} .
$$

This means that $D_{N}^{1 / \tau(N)}$ is a multiplicative function of $N$. The algebraic apparatus associated with this result allows us to prove the following two results. The first one is the characterization of real multiplicative functions $f(n)$, with $0 \leqslant f(p)<1$, as minimal values of certain quadratic forms on the $\tau(N)$ unit sphere. The second one is the explicit evaluation of the minimal values of certain others quadratic forms also on the unit sphere.

## 1. - Introduction.

Let $h(n)$ be a complex-valued multiplicative function i.e. $h(1)=1$ and for $(m, n)=1, m, n \in \boldsymbol{N}$, we have that $h(m n)=h(m) h(n)$. Here $(m, n)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $m$ and $n$.

For any $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$, denote by $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\tau(N)}$ the positive divisors of $N$ in increasing order. We define the $\tau(N) \times \tau(N)$ matrix $M_{N}$ to be that with $(i, j)^{\text {th }}$ element $h\left(\left(d_{i}, d_{j}\right)\right) / d_{i} d_{j}$. This we abbreviate to

$$
M_{N}=\left(\frac{h((i, j))}{i j}\right)_{i|N, j| N}
$$

and we write

$$
D_{N}=\operatorname{det} M_{N} .
$$

This matrix can arise in several natural ways some of which we shall now describe.

Let

$$
\Delta(x, N)=\sum_{\substack{n \leq x N \\(n, N)=1}} 1-x \phi(N)
$$

where $\phi$ denotes Euler's function. This expression measures, via

$$
\Delta(N)=\sup _{x \in \boldsymbol{R}}|\Delta(x, N)|,
$$

the maximal deviation of the number of integers $\leqslant x N$ which are coprime to $N$ from the expected quantity.

Various results on $\Delta(N)$ may be found in [2]. By writing $\Delta(x, N)$ in the form

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x N} \sum_{d \mid(n, N)} \mu(d)-x \sum_{n \leqslant N} \sum_{d \mid(n, N)} \mu(d)
$$

and reversing summations, it readily follows that for square-free $N$

$$
\Delta(x, N)=-\mu(N) \sum_{d \mid N} \mu(d)\{x d\}
$$

where $\mu$ is the Möbius function and $\{t\}$ denotes the fractional part of $t$. A simple computation then yields that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \Delta^{2}(x, N) d x=\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i|N, j| N} \mu(i) \mu(j) \frac{(i, j)^{2}}{i j}
$$

This sum can be evaluated to be $2^{\omega(N)} \varphi(N) / N$, where $\omega(N)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $N$ and $\varphi$ is Euler's function, and, as shown by Perelli-

Zannier [5], is $2^{\omega(N)}$ multiplied by the minimal value of the quadratic form $\sum_{i|N, j| N} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{(i, j)^{2}}{i j}$ subject to the constraint $\sum_{i \mid N} x_{i}^{2}=1$. Observe that the associated matrix is of the form $M_{N}$ with $h(n)=n^{2}$.

This type of discussion can be presented in a more general context. For any fixed $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$, define a function $f$ by

$$
f(n)=f_{N}(n)=\sum_{d \mid(n, N)} \theta_{d} .
$$

Since $f$ is periodic with period $N$, denoting by $m(f)$ its mean value, it is easily seen that

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n)=m(f) x-\sum_{d \mid N} \theta_{d}\left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{N}\left(\sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n)-m(f) x\right)^{2} d x=\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{d \mid N} \theta_{d}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i|N, j| N} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \frac{(i, j)^{2}}{i j}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=\theta_{N / i}$. The matrix $M_{N}$ associated with $h(n)=n^{2}$ is once more present in the second term on the right.

Further, since $f^{2}$ is also periodic of period $N$, its mean value $m\left(f^{2}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
m\left(f^{2}\right):=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leqslant x} f^{2}(n)=\sum_{i|N, j| N} \theta_{i} \theta_{j} \frac{(i, j)}{i j}
$$

and hence we have here an occurrence of $M_{N}$ with $h(n)=n$.
Problems concerned with determining minimal values of certain quadratic forms subject to constraints on the variables also give rise to matrices of the form $M_{N}$. For example, let $g(n)$ be any positive real multiplicative function and define the multiplicative function $h$ by $h(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} g(d)$. Consider the quadratic form $\Lambda$ defined by

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{i|N, j| N} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{h((i, j))}{i j}
$$

or equivalently, in terms of $g$,

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{d \mid N} g(d)\left(\sum_{\substack{n|N \\ d| n}} \frac{x_{n}}{n}\right)^{2},
$$

which transparently shows that $\Lambda$ is positive definite. To determine the mini-
mal value of $\Lambda$ on the $\tau(N)$-dimensional unit sphere $\sum_{d \mid N} x_{d}^{2}=1$ reduces to calculating the smallest eigenvalue of $M_{N}$. Such problems are often difficult to resolve completely since the eigenvalues can be zeros of polynomials of high degree. In our Theorem 3, we determine the required minimal value of $\Lambda$ for square-free $N$. This includes the special case of $h(n)=n^{2}$ corresponding to $g(n)=n^{2} \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)$ which yields the minimal value $\varphi(N) / N$ for the PerelliZannier quadratic form cited above. In Theorem 2, we show that this property of the function $\varphi(n) / n$ as a minimal value of a constrained quadratic form is, in fact, one shared by any real multiplicative function $f(n)$ with $0 \leqslant f(p)<1$.

Our first result is a complete evaluation of $\operatorname{det} M_{N}$ for any multiplicative function $h$.

Theorem 1. - Let $h$ be a complex-valued multiplicative function. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{N}:=\operatorname{det}_{i|N, j| N}\left(\frac{h((i, j))}{i j}\right)=\prod_{p^{l} \| N}\left(\frac{1}{p^{l(l+1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(h\left(p^{i}\right)-h\left(p^{i-1}\right)\right)\right)^{\tau\left(N / p^{l}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$.
The interest here is in the fact that this can be achieved without calculating the individual eigenvalues of the matrix $M_{N}$. We also prove the following curious result regarding the characterization of certain multiplicative functions as minimal values of certain quadratic forms on the unit sphere.

Theorem 2. - Any real-valued multiplicative function $f(n)$ with $0 \leqslant$ $f(p)<1$ for prime $p$ can be expressed as the minimal value, for square-free $n$, of a quadratic form

$$
\sum_{i|n, j| n} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{h((i, j))}{i j}
$$

with $h$ multiplicative, on the $\tau(n)$-dimensional sphere $\sum_{i \mid n} x_{i}^{2}=1$.
For example, as may be noted from the proof, the ubiquitous $M_{N}$ with $h(n)=n^{2}$ is associated with $f(n)=\frac{\phi(n)}{n}$. We also note at the end of the proof that the condition $f(p)<1$ cannot be relaxed to $f(p) \leqslant 1$.

Finally, as discussed earlier, we prove the following result.
Theorem 3. - Let $N$ be a square-free integer. For any positive real multiplicative function $g(n)$, write $h(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} g(d)$. Then the minimal value of the
quadratic form

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{i|N, j| N} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{h((i, j))}{i j}
$$

subject to $\sum_{d \mid N} x_{d}^{2}=1$ is given by

$$
\prod_{p \mid N}\left(1-\frac{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)+\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)^{2}+4 p^{2}}}{2 p^{2}}\right)
$$

It is obvious that substituting $h(p)=p^{2}$ in this expression immediately yields the minimal value $\varphi(N) / N$ as mentioned earlier.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions.

## Preliminaries

We shall need three lemmas. Useful references for the facts we require on tensor products of matrices are [1], [3] and [4].

Lemma 1 is well known, Lemma 2 describes the eigenvalues of the matrix $M_{N}$, whilst Lemma 3 is essentially a simple computation. We begin with the basic definitions.

Given an $m \times n$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and a $p \times q$ matrix $B=\left(b_{k l}\right)$, the tensor product $A \otimes B$ is defined to be the $m p \times n q$ matrix $C=\left(c_{r s}\right)$ where $c_{r s}=a_{i j} b_{k l}$ with $r=p(i-1)+k$ and $s=q(j-1)+l$. Equivalently, $i=\left[\frac{r-1}{p}\right]+1, k \equiv r$ $(\bmod p), j=\left[\frac{s-1}{q}\right]+1$ and $l \equiv s(\bmod q)$ where the square brackets indicate the integer part function and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant p, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant q$.

It is a trivial but cumbersome exercise to show that tensor products are associative and that

$$
(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)=A C \otimes B D
$$

for any matrices $A, B, C, D$ whenever $A C$ and $B D$ are defined (see [4], Theorems 8.8.3 and 8.8.6). In general, $A \otimes B \neq B \otimes A$ so that the order in which the factors occur in a tensor product needs to be explicitly specified.

For any $N \in N, N>1$, we shall denote by $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ the tensor product

$$
M_{N}^{\otimes}=\otimes_{p^{l} \| N} M_{p^{l}}
$$

where each factor $M_{p^{l}}$ is as described in the introduction (with $N=p^{l}$ ) and occurs in the product in order of increasing $p$.

All matrices considered in Lemmas 1 and 2 will be over $\boldsymbol{C}$.

Lemma 1. - For any two square matrices $A$ and $B$, the eigenvalues of $A \otimes B$ are precisely all the products of eigenvalues of $A$ with eigenvalues of $B$ (both with multiplicity).

Proof. - See [4], Theorem 8.8.13.
Corollary 1. - The eigenvalues of $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ are precisely all the products of eigenvalues of the individual factors $M_{p^{l}}$, taken one for each $p^{l} \| N$.

PRoof. - Immediate.
Lemma 2. - The eigenvalues of $M_{N}$ are precisely all the products of eigenvalues of $M_{p^{l}}$, taken one for each $p^{l} \| N$.

REmARK. - We shall prove that $M_{N}$ and $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ are similar matrices and the result then follows from Lemma 1.

Proof. - For any $(n, m)=1$, let $A=\left(\frac{h\left(\left(d_{i}, d_{j}\right)\right)}{d_{i} d_{j}}\right), 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant \tau(n)$, where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\tau(n)}$ are the $\tau(n)$ divisors of $n$, listed in some fixed order (not necessarily increasing) and, similarly, let $B=\left(\frac{h\left(\left(\delta_{k}, \delta_{l}\right)\right)}{\delta_{k} \delta_{l}}\right), 1 \leqslant k, l \leqslant \tau(m)$, where $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{\tau(m)}$ are the $\tau(m)$ divisors of $m$, also listed in some fixed order.

Then $A \otimes B=C=\left(c_{r s}\right)$ satisfies, by definition of $\otimes$,

$$
c_{r s}=\frac{h\left(\left(d_{i}, d_{j}\right)\right)}{d_{i} d_{j}} \frac{h\left(\left(\delta_{k}, \delta_{l}\right)\right)}{\delta_{k} \delta_{l}}=\frac{h\left(\left(d_{i} \delta_{k}, d_{j} \delta_{l}\right)\right)}{d_{i} \delta_{k} d_{j} \delta_{l}}
$$

due to the fact that $h$ is multiplicative and $(n, m)=1$.
Here $i=\left[\frac{r-1}{\tau(m)}\right]+1$ and $k \equiv r(\bmod \tau(m)), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant \tau(m)$, and so clearly $r$ fixes $i$ and $k$ and hence $d_{i} \delta_{k}$. Similarly, $s$ fixes $j$ and $l$ and hence $d_{j} \delta_{l}$. Therefore, writing $\alpha_{r}=d_{i} \delta_{k}$ (and so $\alpha_{s}=d_{j} \delta_{l}$ ), we see that $c_{r s}=\frac{h\left(\left(\alpha_{r}, \alpha_{s}\right)\right)}{\alpha_{r} \alpha_{s}}$ where clearly, as with $A$ and $B$, the sequence $\alpha_{r}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant \tau(n m)$, runs through all $\tau(n m)$ divisors of $n m$ in some fixed order (depending on the ordering of the $d_{i}$ and $\delta_{k}$ ). Observe also that, as with $A$ and $B, C$ is symmetric. Iterating this, it follows that the $(u, v)^{\text {th }}$ element of $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ is given by $\frac{h\left(\left(\beta_{u}, \beta_{v}\right)\right)}{\beta_{u} \beta_{v}}$ where the sequence $\beta_{u}$ runs precisely through all the divisors of $N$ in some fixed order.

Now suppose that in the first row of $M_{N}^{\otimes}$, a denominator $\beta_{1} \beta_{v_{0}}$ (in the $v_{0}^{\text {th }}$ column) satisfies $\beta_{1} \beta_{v_{0}}<\beta_{1} \beta_{v_{1}}$ for some $v_{1}<v_{0}$. Then, clearly, $\beta_{v_{0}}<\beta_{v_{1}}$ and hence $\beta_{u} \beta_{v_{0}}<\beta_{u} \beta_{v_{1}}$ for all $u$ i.e. for all rows. Therefore this property holds for the $v_{0}^{\text {th }}$ and $v_{1}^{\text {th }}$ column of $M_{N}^{\otimes}$. A suitable column exchange in $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ would
therefore remove this particular problem. This means post-multiplying $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ by a non-singular elementary matrix $P$ with $\operatorname{det} P=-1$. Also since $P$ corresponds to a column exchange, $P^{2}=I$ or $P^{-1}=P$.

However, since $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ is symmetric, an analogous problem also occurs in the $v_{0}^{\text {th }}$ and $v_{1}^{\text {th }}$ row of $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ and this is similarly removed by pre-multiplying $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ by the same matrix $P$. After a certain number of such twin operations, $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ will have all the denominators of the elements in its rows in increasing order as well as the corresponding property for its columns i.e. $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ reduces to $M_{N}$. Hence

$$
M_{N}=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{k} M_{N}^{\otimes} P_{k} P_{k-1} \cdots P_{1}
$$

with each $P_{i}$ non-singular and satisfying $P_{i}^{-1}=P_{i}$.
Writing $P=P_{k} \cdots P_{1}$, we deduce that $M_{N}$ is similar to $M_{N}^{\otimes}$ and the result follows from Lemma 1.

Corollary 2

$$
D_{N}=\prod_{p^{l} \| N}\left(D_{p^{l}}\right)^{\tau\left(N / p^{l}\right)} .
$$

Proof. - This follows immediately from Lemma 2 and the fact that the determinant of a matrix over $\boldsymbol{C}$ is the products of its eigenvalues.

We now calculate explicitly the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $M_{N}$ in the case $N=p$ and, in addition when $h(n)=n^{2}$, also for $N=p^{2}$.

Lemma 3. - (i) For each prime $p$ and any real multiplicative function $h$, the two eigenvalues of $M_{p}$ are, in the case $h(p) \neq 1$, given by

$$
\lambda_{p}^{(i)}=1+\frac{a_{i}(p)}{p}, \quad i=1,2
$$

with corresponding eigenvectors

$$
c^{(i)}=\left(1, a_{i}(p)\right)^{T}, \quad i=1,2
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}(p)=\frac{h(p)-p^{2}+\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)^{2}+4 p^{2}}}{2 p}, \\
& a_{2}(p)=\frac{h(p)-p^{2}-\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)^{2}+4 p^{2}}}{2 p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h(p)=1, M_{p}$ has eigenvalues 0 and $1+1 / p^{2}$ with corresponding eigenvectors $(1,-p)^{T}$ and $(1,1 / p)^{T}$ respectively.
(ii) For each prime $p$ and the multiplicative function $h(n)=n^{2}$, the three eigenvalues of $M_{p^{2}}$ are given by

$$
\lambda^{(1)}=1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}, \quad \lambda^{(2)}=1+\frac{\alpha_{p}}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}, \quad \lambda^{(3)}=1+\frac{\beta_{p}}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}
$$

with corresponding eigenvectors

$$
\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}=(1,0,-1)^{T}, \quad \boldsymbol{c}^{(2)}=\left(1, \alpha_{p}, 1\right)^{T}, \quad \boldsymbol{c}^{(3)}=\left(1, \beta_{p}, 1\right)^{T}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{p}=\frac{-1-\sqrt{1+8 p^{2}}}{2 p} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{p}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+8 p^{2}}}{2 p}
$$

Remark. - Since, for any multiplicative function $h(n)$, $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{p^{2}}-t I_{3}\right)=0$ is a cubic in $t$, all eigenvalues of $M_{p^{2}}$ can always, in principle, be calculated and indeed this can also be done for $M_{p^{3}}$. The details, however, become excessively tedious. We have merely included (ii) above as an example of such a calculation.

Proof. - (i) $M_{p}$ has characteristic equation

$$
p^{2} t^{2}-t\left(h(p)+p^{2}\right)+h(p)-1=0 .
$$

If $h(p)=1$, the eigenvalues are clearly 0 and $1+1 / p^{2}$ and a simple computation confirms the corresponding eigenvectors as announced.

If $h(p) \neq 1$, the eigenvalues obtained by solving the quadratic characteristic equation and their corresponding eigenvectors are easily computed to be as announced above.
(ii) A typical eigenvalue $\lambda$ and its corresponding eigenvectors $(1, x, y)^{T}$ are obtained by solving the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\frac{x}{p}+\frac{y}{p^{2}}=\lambda \\
& 1+p x+y=\lambda p x \\
& 1+p x+p^{2} y=\lambda p^{2} y
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminating $\lambda$ from the first two equations and also from the first and third yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p x\left(1+\frac{x}{p}+\frac{y}{p^{2}}\right)=1+p x+y \\
& p^{2} y\left(1+\frac{x}{p}+\frac{y}{p^{2}}\right)=1+p x+p^{2} y
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy exercise now shows that either $y=1$ and $p x^{2}+x-2 p=0$ or $x=0$ and $y=-1$ and this yields the announced results.

## Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. - The fact that

$$
D_{p^{l}}=\frac{1}{p^{l(l+1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(h\left(p^{i}\right)-h\left(p^{i-1}\right)\right)
$$

is easily confirmed by reducing the determinant to upper triangular form. This is achieved by multiplying the $i^{\text {th }}$ row by $1 / p$ and subtracting it from the $(i+$ $1)^{\text {th }}$ row, starting with $i=l$ and ending with $i=1$. Our determinant identity (1) then follows immediately from Corollary 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. - Let $n$ be square-free. The minimal value of the quadratic form $\sum_{i|n, j| n} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{h((i, j))}{i j}$ on the unit sphere $\sum_{i \mid n} x_{i}^{2}=1$ is given by the smallest eigenvalue of $M_{n}$. Since the above quadratic form can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{i \mid n} g(i)\left(\sum_{j|n, i| j} \frac{x_{j}}{j}\right)^{2}
$$

where $h(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} g(d)$, the imposition of the condition $g(i) \geqslant 0$ for all $i \mid n$ ensures that the quadratic form only takes non-negative values and hence the smallest eigenvalue (and therefore all eigenvalues) of $M_{n}$ are also non-negative. The condition $g(i) \geqslant 0 \forall i \mid n$ is equivalent, by Möbius inversion, to the condition $h(p) \geqslant 1 \forall p \mid n$.

If $f(p)=0$ for any $p \mid n$ then $f(n)=0$. Choosing $h(n)=1$ for all $n$, the eigenvalues of $M_{p}$ are easily calculated to be 0 and $1+\frac{1}{p^{2}}$ and hence by Lemma 2 and the above discussion, $M_{n}$ has a zero eigenvalue and all others strictly positive. Thus the smallest eigenvalue of $M_{n}$ is $f(n)$ in this case.

If, on the other hand, $f(p)>0$ for all $p \mid n$, we define $h$ multiplicative with

$$
h(p)=\frac{1}{1-f(p)}+p^{2} f(p)
$$

and $h\left(p^{i}\right), i \geqslant 2$, arbitrary with $h\left(p^{i}\right) \neq h\left(p^{i-1}\right)$ for $i \geqslant 2$. This choice of $h$ ensures that $M_{p}$ is nonsingular and hence, by our earlier discussion, all the eigenvalues of $M_{p}$ are strictly positive. Define for each $p \mid n, k=k(p)=$ $2 /(p(1-f(p))$. A simple computation shows that

$$
h(p)=p^{2}+\frac{\left(k^{2}-4\right) p}{2 k} \text { and that } h(p)-p^{2}+\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)^{2}+4 p^{2}}=k p
$$

Since $1>f(p)>0$, we have that $k>2 / p$ and Lemma 3(i) implies that the eigenvalues of $M_{p}$ are $1+k /(2 p)$ and $1-2 /(k p)$. Thus by Lemma 2 , the smallest eigenvalue of $M_{n}$ is the product of the smallest eigenvalues of the various $M_{p}$ i.e. will equal

$$
\prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-\frac{2}{k p}\right)=\prod_{p \mid n} f(p)=f(n) .
$$

Thus in both cases the minimal value is $f(n)$ as required.
Note: The condition $f(p)<1$ in Theorem 2 cannot be relaxed to $f(p) \leqslant 1$. In fact, if $n=p$, the quadratic form $\sum_{i|n, j| n} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{h((i, j))}{i j}$ reduces to $x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{p} / p+$ $h(p) x_{p}^{2} / p^{2}$ and this can indeed take values strictly less than 1 on the sphere $x_{1}^{2}+x_{p}^{2}=1$. For example, if $h(p)>0$, take $x_{1}=\cos \theta, x_{p}=-\sin \theta$ with $\theta$ sufficiently small and positive and, if $h(p) \leqslant 0$, we may take the same values of $x_{1}$ and $x_{p}$ but instead for any $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. - As mentioned earlier, we can write $\Lambda$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda & =\sum_{i|N, j| N} x_{i} x_{j} \frac{h((i, j))}{i j}=\sum_{i|N, j| N} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{i j} \sum_{d \mid(i, j)} g(d)= \\
& =\sum_{d \mid N} g(d)\left(\sum_{\substack{n|N \\
d| n}} \frac{x_{n}}{n}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} g(d)$. Thus the problem of minimizing $\Lambda$ subject to $\sum_{d \mid N} x_{d}^{2}=1$ reduces to determining the smallest eigenvalue of $M_{N}$. Since $g(n)>0, \Lambda$ is a positive definite quadratic form and hence all the eigenvalues of $M_{N}$ are positive. We also have that $h(p)=1+g(p)>1$ and hence $D_{p}=(h(p)-1) / p^{2}>0$.

Lemma 3 (i) implies that, for each $p \mid N$, the eigenvalues of $M_{p}$ are positive and together with Lemma 2 implies that the required minimal value of $\Lambda$ is the product of the smallest eigenvalues of each $M_{p}$ i.e.

$$
\prod_{p \mid N}\left(1-\frac{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)+\sqrt{\left(p^{2}-h(p)\right)^{2}+4 p^{2}}}{2 p^{2}}\right)
$$
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