### BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

CRISTINA GIANNOTTI

# On the range of elliptic operators discontinuous at one point

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. **5-B** (2002), n.1, p. 123–129.

Unione Matematica Italiana

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\_2002\_8\_5B\_1\_123\_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

> Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica) SIMAI & UMI http://www.bdim.eu/

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Unione Matematica Italiana, 2002.

## On the Range of Elliptic Operators Discontinuous at One Point.

CRISTINA GIANNOTTI

**Sunto.** – Si considerano operatori uniformemente ellittici del secondo ordine in forma non variazionale, L, a coefficienti misurabili e limitati in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \ge 3$ ) e continui in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  e si prova il seguente risultato: se  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  è un dominio limitato, allora  $L(W^{2, p}(\Omega))$  è denso in  $L^p(\Omega)$  per ogni  $p \in (1, d/2]$ .

**Summary.** – Let *L* be a second order, uniformly elliptic, non variational operator with coefficients which are bounded and measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \ge 3$ ) and continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a bounded domain, we prove that  $L(W^{2, p}(\Omega))$  is dense in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for any  $p \in (1, d/2]$ .

#### 1. - Introduction.

Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be the class of second order, uniformly elliptic, non variational operators L with bounded measurable coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^d$   $(d \ge 3)$ . Also, for any  $p \in$  $(1, +\infty)$  and any bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $\mathscr{R}(p, \Omega)$  be the subfamily of the operators satisfying  $\overline{L(W^{2, p}(\Omega))} = L^p(\Omega)$ . We recall that if L has second order coefficients continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  then  $L \in \mathscr{R}(p, \Omega)$  for every p > 1; actually if  $\Omega$  is also smooth then it is known that  $L(W^{2, p}_{\gamma_0}(\Omega)) = L^p(\Omega)$ .

On the other hand, there exist operators with discontinuous coefficients which belong to  $\Re(p, \Omega)$  for every p > 1. Examples of this kind are the operator

$$S_{\alpha} := \alpha \varDelta + (1 - d\alpha) \sum_{i, j = 1}^{d} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

which is discontinuous at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (see [2], [4], [7]) and the operator

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} := \alpha \varDelta + (1 - 3\alpha) \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \frac{x_i x_j}{(x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

which is discontinuous on the  $x_3$  axis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (see [8], [1]).

At the same time, in [5] it is proved that there exists an elliptic operator  $L_0$ in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with coefficients which are discontinuous on a circumference C and Hölder continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus C$  and which is not in  $\mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  for any p > 2 and  $\Omega \supset C$ . It is therefore natural to ask which conditions on the coefficients imply that an elliptic operator belongs to  $\mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$ .

In [5] the following characterization of  $\mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  has been given:  $L \in \mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  if and only if there is no nontrivial solution to  $L^* u = 0$  with support in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Moreover, it is proved that if L is Lipschitz continuous outside a closed set N of measure 0 and such that  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus N$  is connected, then L is in  $\mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  for all p > 1 and any bounded domain  $\Omega$ . This result is obtained as consequence of a unique continuation theorem for  $L^*$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus N$ .

The previously quoted operator  $L_0$  shows that, in general, the condition on the Lipschitz continuity on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus N$  of the coefficients cannot be removed. However we expect that this can be done if the set N is of some special kind. Note also that, if L has merely continuous coefficients, then the unique continuation for L and  $L^*$  fails and the technique of [5] cannot be used.

In this note, we consider the case of operators L which are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , with no other condition on the regularity of the coefficients. We show that these operators are in  $\mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  for any  $1 and any bounded domain <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

#### 2. - Range of Elliptic Operators discontinuous at one point.

Let  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$  be the family of the uniformly elliptic, second order operators of the form

$$L := \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} b^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + c,$$

with bounded, measurable coefficients, defined in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(d \ge 3)$ , satisfying

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (a^{ij})^2 \leq M^2, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{d} (b^j)^2 \leq M^2, \qquad -M \leq c \leq 0,$$
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a^{ij} \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq \alpha |\lambda|^2, \qquad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some positive constants M and  $\alpha$ . We will use the summation convention and L will be written as  $L = a^{i,j} \partial_{i,j} + b^j \partial_j + c$ .

We begin with an approximation lemma.

LEMMA 1. – Let D be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with continuous second order coefficients in  $\overline{D}$ . Let  $1 and <math>s \in L^{p'}(D)$ 

(p' = p/(p-1)), satisfying

$$\int_{D} sL\varphi \, dx = 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D) \,.$$

Let  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $L_n = a_n^{i,j} \partial_{i,j} + b_n^j \partial_j + c_n$ , with coefficients in  $C^{\infty}(\overline{D})$  and the same  $\alpha$  and M as L. Let us also assume that

(1)  $||a_n^{i,j}-a^{i,j}||_{C^0(\overline{D})} \rightarrow 0, \quad b_n^j \rightarrow b^j, \quad c_n \rightarrow c \quad a.e. \ in \ D.$ 

Then there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}$ , with each  $s_n$  smooth on  $\overline{D}$  and such that

(2) 
$$L_n^* s_n = 0 \quad in \quad D, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|s_n - s\|_{L^{p'}(D)} = 0.$$

PROOF. – Let  $W^{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}(\partial D)$  be the Banach space of the traces on  $\partial D$  of the functions in  $W^{1, p}(D)$  and let  $X^p$  its dual. By standard facts on elliptic equations (see e.g. [3] and [6]), it can be checked that there exists a unique  $T_s^L \in X^p$  which satisfy

$$\int_{D} sLv \, dx = T_s^L \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} \Big|_{\partial D} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{2, p}_{\gamma_0}(D)$$

(N outward normal to  $\partial D$ ) and

(3) 
$$k_1 \|s\|_{L^{p'}(D)} \leq \|T_s^L\|_{X^p} \leq k_2 \|s\|_{L^{p'}(D)}$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are two constants depending on d,  $D \alpha$ , M and the modulus of continuity of  $\alpha^{i,j}$ . Note also that if L is smooth on  $\overline{D}$  then s is smooth on  $\overline{D}$ , it satisfies the equation  $L^*s = \partial_{i,j}(\alpha^{i,j}s) - \partial_j(b^js) + cs = 0$  and we can write

$$T^L_s(\varphi) = \int_{\partial D} s a^{i,j} N_i N_j \varphi \, d\sigma \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}(\partial D) \, .$$

Now, it is possible to find a sequence of smooth functions  $\{t_n\}$  such that, if we set  $T_n(\varphi) = \int_{\partial D} t_n a_n^{i,j} N_i N_j \varphi d\sigma$ , then

(4) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_n - T_s^L\|_{X^p} = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $s_n$  is the (unique) solution to  $L_n^{\star} s_n = 0$  in D with  $s_{n|\partial D} = t_n$  then

(5) 
$$k_1 \| s_n \|_{L^{p'}(D)} \leq \| T_n \|_{X^p} \leq k_2 \| s_n \|_{L^{p'}(D)}.$$

By (1) and the fact that each  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  with the same constants  $\alpha$  and M as L, we may use the same  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  in (5) and (3).

Let us now define

$$\|L_n - L\| := \sum_{i,j} \|a_n^{i,j} - a^{i,j}\|_{C^0(\overline{D})} + \sum_j \|b_n^j - b^j\|_{L^{p'}(D)} + \|c_n - c\|_{L^{p'}(D)}.$$

The limit (2) is proved if we can show that

(6) 
$$||s_n - s||_{L^{p'}(D)} \leq k(||L_n - L|| + ||T_n - T_s^L||_{X^p}).$$

To prove this, let  $f \in L^p(D)$  and  $u \in W^{2, p}_{\gamma_0}(D)$  the solution to Lu = f in D. Then

(7) 
$$\left| \int_{D} (s_n - s) f dx \right| \leq \left| \int_{D} (sLu - s_n L_n u) dx \right| + \left| \int_{D} s_n (L_n u - Lu) dx \right|.$$

By (4) and (5), there exists a  $k_3 > 0$  so that  $||s_n||_{L^{p'}(D)} \leq k_3$ . Therefore

(8) 
$$\left| \int_{D} s_n (L_n u - L u) \, dx \right| \leq k_3 \| (L_n - L) \, u \|_{L^p(D)} \leq k_4 \| L_n - L \| \, \| f \|_{L^p(D)}.$$

Moreover

(9) 
$$\left| \int_{D} (sLu - s_n L_n u) \, dx \right| = \left| T_n \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} \Big|_{\partial D} \right) - T_s^L \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} \Big|_{\partial D} \right) \right| \leq$$

$$\left\|T_{n}-T_{s}^{L}\right\|_{X^{p}}\left\|\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial N}\right\|_{W^{1-(1/p), p}(\partial D)} \leqslant k_{5}\left\|T_{n}-T_{s}^{L}\right\|_{X^{p}}\left\|u\right\|_{W^{2, p}} \leqslant k_{6}\left\|T_{n}-T_{s}^{L}\right\|_{X^{p}}\left\|f\right\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{X^{p}}$$

From (7)-(9), (6) follows immediately.  $\blacksquare$ 

LEMMA 2. – Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with continuous second order coefficients and let D be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let also  $s \in L^{p'}(D)$  be a function satisfying  $\int sL\varphi \, dx = 0$  for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{2, p}(D)$ . Then

(10) 
$$\int_{D} |s| L\varphi \, dx \ge 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2, p}(D), \ \varphi \ge 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\overline{supp(s)} \in D$ , the claim is true for every  $\varphi \in W^{2, p}(D), \varphi \ge 0$ .

**PROOF.** – Let  $\phi_{\varepsilon}(t)$  be the  $C^{1,1}$  function

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} |t| & \text{if } |t| \ge \varepsilon \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{t^2}{2\varepsilon} & \text{if } |t| < \varepsilon \end{cases}.$$

Assume first that *L* has  $C^{\infty}$  coefficients and hence that  $s \in C^{\infty}(\overline{D})$  and it satis-

126

fies  $L^*s = 0$ . We have that  $\phi_{\varepsilon}(s) \in C^{1,1}(D)$  and that

$$L^{\star}(\phi_{\varepsilon}(s)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} a^{i,j} \partial_{i} s \partial_{j} s + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{s^{2}}{2\varepsilon}\right) (\partial_{i,j} a^{i,j} - \partial_{j} b^{j} + c) & \text{if } |s| < \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } |s| \ge \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Now for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D), \ \varphi \ge 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \int_{D} \phi_{\varepsilon}(s) \, L\varphi \, dx &= \int_{D} L^{\star}(\phi_{\varepsilon}(s)) \, \varphi \, dx = \\ &\int_{|s| < \varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} a^{i,j} \partial_{i} s \partial_{j} s + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{s^{2}}{2\varepsilon}\right) (\partial_{i,j} a^{i,j} - \partial_{j} b^{j} + c) \right\} \varphi \, dx \ge \\ &\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|s| < \varepsilon} (\partial_{i,j} a^{i,j} - \partial_{j} b^{j} + c) \, \varphi \, dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|s| < \varepsilon} |\partial_{i,j} a^{i,j} - \partial_{j} b^{j} + c | \varphi \, dx \, . \end{split}$$

Since the last two terms tend to 0 as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that

$$\int_{D} |s| L\varphi \, dx \ge 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2, p}(D), \ \varphi \ge 0 \ .$$

Now, assume that L has continuous second order coefficients and let  $L_n \to L$ , where each  $L_n$  is with smooth coefficients as in Lemma 1. So there exists  $\{s_n\}$ ,  $s_n \in C^{\infty}(\overline{D})$ , such that  $L_n^{\star} s_n = 0$  and  $s_n \to s$  in  $L^{p'}(D)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{D} |s| L\varphi \, dx - \int_{D} |s_n| L_n \varphi \, dx \right| &\leq \\ \left| \int_{D} (|s| - |s_n|) L_n \varphi \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{D} |s| (L\varphi - L_n \varphi) \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \|s_n - s\|_{L^{p'}(D)} \|L_n \varphi\|_{L^p(D)} + \|s\|_{L^{p'}(D)} \|L_n - L\| \|\varphi\|_{W^{2, p}(D)} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0 \end{split}$$

From the previous discussion on the operators with smooth coefficients, (10) follows. The last claim is straightforward. ■

THEOREM 1. – Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with continuous second order coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, d \ge 3$ . Then  $L \in \mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  for any  $1 and any bounded domain <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

PROOF. – Clearly, we just need to consider the case  $0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ . In what follows,  $B_r$  denotes the open ball of radius r and center 0.

Let R be so large that  $B_R \supset \overline{\Omega}$  and let  $\varphi = 1 - (|\cdot|/R)^N$ , which is smooth

and positive on  $B_R$  and vanishing on  $\partial B_R$ . Moreover, from the expression

$$L\varphi = -\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{N-2} \cdot \left\{\frac{N(N-2)}{R^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a^{i,j} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} - \frac{N}{R^2} \sum_{j=1}^d a^{j,j} - \frac{N}{R^2} \sum_{j=1}^d b^j x_j\right\} + c\varphi$$

it follows that N > 2 can be chosen large enough so that  $L\varphi \leq -\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)$ . Consider also some nonnegative  $\psi \in C^{\infty}[0, +\infty)$  which is identically 0 on a neighbourhood of [0, 1] and identically 1 on a neighbourhood of  $[2, +\infty)$  and, for any  $0 < \varepsilon < R/2$ , let us define  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \psi\left(\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)$ . Finally, let  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varphi(x) \psi_{\varepsilon}(x)$ , which is smooth on  $B_R$ , equal to  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}$  and identically 0 on a neighbourhood of  $B_{\varepsilon}$ .

Let  $s \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a solution to  $L^* s = 0$ , with support in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . By Lemma 2, we have that  $0 \leq \int_{B_p} |s| L\varphi_{\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} |s| L\varphi_{\varepsilon} dx$  and hence that

$$0 \leq \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} |s| L(\varphi \psi_{\varepsilon}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |s| L\varphi \, dx \leq \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_{\varepsilon}} |s| L(\varphi \psi_{\varepsilon}) \, dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |s| \left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{N-2} dx \, .$$

Then

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |s| \left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{N-2} dx \leq \\ &\int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 2\varepsilon} |s| \{\psi_{\varepsilon} L\varphi + \varphi(L-c) \ \psi_{\varepsilon} + 2a^{i,j} \partial_i \psi_{\varepsilon} \partial_j \varphi\} \ dx \,. \end{split}$$

Since  $\psi_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$  and  $L\varphi \le 0$  in  $\varepsilon < |x| < 2\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |s| \left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{N-2} dx &\leq \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 2\varepsilon} |s| \{\varphi(L-c) \ \psi_{\varepsilon} + 2a^{i,j} \partial_i \psi_{\varepsilon} \partial_j \varphi \} \, dx \leq \\ \int_{\varepsilon < |x| < 2\varepsilon} |s| \left\{ \frac{2a^{i,j}}{\varepsilon} \partial_j \varphi \psi_{\varepsilon}' \frac{x_i}{|x|} + \frac{\varphi}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \left(a^{i,j} \psi_{\varepsilon}'' \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} + \psi_{\varepsilon}' \left(a^{j,j} - \frac{a^{i,j} x_i x_j}{|x|^2}\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|} + \varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon}' b^j \frac{x_j}{|x|} \right) \right\} dx \, . \end{split}$$

Therefore, there exists C > 0, which depends only on d, M,  $\alpha$ , r and N such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2a^{i,j}}{\varepsilon} \partial_j \varphi \psi_{\varepsilon}' \frac{x_i}{|x|} + \\ \frac{\varphi}{\varepsilon^2} \left( a^{i,j} \psi_{\varepsilon}'' \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} + \psi_{\varepsilon}' \left( a^{j,j} - \frac{a^{i,j} x_i x_j}{|x|^2} \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|} + \varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon}' b^j \frac{x_j}{|x|} \right) &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

in  $\varepsilon < |x| < 2\varepsilon$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |s| \left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{N-2} dx \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\varepsilon^< |x|<2\varepsilon} |s| dx \leq C\varepsilon^{(d/p)-2} ||s||_{L^{p'}} |B_1|^{1/p} (2^d-1)^{1/p}.$$
  
Since  $(d/p) - 2 \geq 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we get that  $\int_{\Omega} |s| \left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{N-2} dx = 0$  and hence that

 $s \equiv 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . This implies the claim by the characterization of  $\mathcal{R}(p, \Omega)$  in [5].

#### REFERENCES

- O. ARENA, On the range of Ural'tseva's Axially symmetric Operator in Sobolev Spaces, Partial Differential Equations (P. Marcellini, G. Talenti, E. Vesentini Eds.) Dekker (1996).
- [2] D. GILBARG J. SERRIN, On isolated singularities of solutions of second order elliptic equations, J. Anal. Math., 4 (1955-56), 309-340.
- [3] D. GILBARG N. S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer (1983).
- [4] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA N. N. URAL'TSEVA, Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, A.P. (1968).
- [5] P. MANSELLI, On the range of elliptic, second order, nonvariational operators in Sobolev spaces, Annali Mat. pura e appl., (IV), Vol. CLXXVIII (2000), 67-80.
- [6] J. NEČAS, Les Méthodes Directes en Théorie des Équations Elliptiques, Masson Paris 1967.
- [7] C. PUCCI, Operatori ellittici estremanti, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (IV), Vol. LXXII (1966), 141-170.
- [8] N. N. URAL'TSEVA, Impossibility of W<sup>2, p</sup> bounds for multidimensional elliptic operators with discontinuous coefficients, L.O.M.I., 5 (1967), 250-254.

Cristina Giannotti, Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università di Camerino, Via Madonna delle Carceri, 62032 Camerino (Macerata) Italy e-mail: giannotti@campus.unicam.it

Pervenuta in Redazione il 19 aprile 2001