
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

GIUSEPPE DA PRATO

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator perturbed by the gradient of a potential

*Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. 1-B (1998),
n.3, p. 501-519.*

Unione Matematica Italiana

http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1998_8_1B_3_501_0

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Generator Perturbed by the Gradient of a Potential.

GIUSEPPE DA PRATO⁽¹⁾

Sunto. – Si considera, in uno spazio di Hilbert H l'operatore lineare $\mathfrak{K}_0\varphi = 1/2 \operatorname{Tr}[D^2\varphi] + \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle - \langle DU(x), D\varphi \rangle$, dove A è un operatore negativo autoaggiunto e U è un potenziale che soddisfa a opportune condizioni di integrabilità. Si dimostra con un metodo analitico che \mathfrak{K}_0 è essenzialmente autoaggiunto in uno spazio $L^2(H, \nu)$ e si caratterizza il dominio della sua chiusura \mathfrak{K} come sottospazio di $W^{2,2}(H, \nu)$. Si studia inoltre la «spectral gap property» del semigruppato generato da \mathfrak{K} .

1. – Introduction and setting of the problem.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ a self-adjoint negative operator such that A^{-1} is of trace class. We denote by μ the Gaussian measure of mean 0 and covariance operator $Q = -(1/2)A^{-1}$. We are concerned with the following linear operator on $L^2(H, \mu)$:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{K}_0\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[D^2\varphi] + \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle - \langle DU(x), D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathfrak{E}_A(H),$$

where U is a nonlinear real function in H , and $\mathfrak{E}_A(H)$ is the linear subspace of $L^2(H, \mu)$ spanned by all exponential functions

$$\psi_h(x) = e^{\langle h, x \rangle}, \quad x \in H,$$

where $h \in D(A)$. Notice that $\mathfrak{E}_A(H)$ is dense in $L^2(H, \mu)$.

The goal of this paper is to show that, under suitable assumptions, \mathfrak{K}_0 is essentially self-adjoint on the space $L^2(H, \nu)$, where ν is the probability measure

$$\nu(dx) = ce^{-2U(x)}\mu(dx), \quad c = \left[\int_H e^{-2U(x)}\mu(dx) \right]^{-1}.$$

This problem has a long story, see the recent paper [1] and the references therein for an approach based on the theory of Dirichlet forms. Another ap-

⁽¹⁾ Partially supported by the Italian National Project MURST, Equazioni di Evoluzione e Applicazioni Fisico-Matematiche.

proach consists in solving the differential stochastic equation

$$dX = (AX - DU(X)) dt + dW(t), \quad X(0) = x,$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on H , see e.g. [7], and then by identifying the closure \mathfrak{N} of \mathfrak{N}_0 with the infinitesimal generator of the transition semigroup

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))], \quad \varphi \in L^2(H, \nu).$$

In this paper we follow a purely analytic approach, different of that based on Dirichlet forms. The advantage is that we require weaker assumptions on U and that we are able to characterize the domain of \mathfrak{N} as a subspace of the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(H, \nu)$ instead of $W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$, as in the case of Dirichlet forms. Moreover we believe that similar ideas could be applied to more general situations when \mathfrak{N}_0 is not symmetric.

Let us briefly explain our method. We first consider the linear operator

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{A}_0 \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi] + \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathfrak{D}_A(H).$$

It well known see e.g. [7], that \mathfrak{A}_0 is essentially self-adjoint. Moreover the domain of the closure \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{A}_0 is given by, see [5] and § 2 below,

$$(1.3) \quad D(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ \varphi \in W^{2,2}(H, \mu) : |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi| \in L^2(H; \mu) \}.$$

We first study the operator \mathfrak{N}_0 under the assumption that U is of class C^2 and DU and D^2U are bounded, see § 3. In this case we prove that \mathfrak{N}_0 is symmetric on $L^2(H, \nu)$ and the following identity holds for any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{D}_A(H)$,

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2 \varphi)^2] d\nu + \int_H |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 d\nu + \int_H \langle D^2 U D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle d\nu = 2 \int_H (\mathfrak{N}_0 \varphi)^2 d\nu.$$

Finally, denoting by \mathfrak{N} the closure of \mathfrak{N}_0 , we show, by a simple perturbation argument that for λ_0 sufficiently large we have

$$(\lambda_0 - \mathfrak{N})(D(\mathfrak{N})) \supset L^2(H, \mu).$$

Since $L^2(H, \mu)$ is dense on $L^2(H, \nu)$, it follows that \mathfrak{N} is m -dissipative see e.g. [4, Corollaire II.9.3], and so it is self-adjoint.

In § 4 we consider a more general case when

$$(1.5) \quad \int_H |DU(x)|^p \nu(dx) < +\infty .$$

This condition is similar to assumptions (5) and (6) in [1], that however are required to hold for all p . Under this assumption we can again show that \mathfrak{N}_0 is symmetric, that an estimate similar to identity (1.4) holds and that for all $\lambda > 0$, $(\lambda - \mathfrak{N})(D(\mathfrak{N}))$ contains the closure on $L^2(H, \nu)$ of $W^{1, 2p/(p-2)}(H, \mu)$, that is dense in $L^2(H, \nu)$. This implies, by the previous argument, that \mathfrak{N} is self-adjoint on $L^2(H, \nu)$. In order to prove the above inclusion we need some a-priori estimates on $W^{1, 2p/(p-2)}(H, \mu)$, that are proved in Appendix A.

Finally § 5 is devoted to ergodicity and spectral gap for the semigroup $e^{t\mathfrak{N}}$. Here we generalize to the situation when (1.5) holds, some previous results due to [2], [1], and [7].

2. – Notation and preliminary results.

We are given a separable Hilbert space H , (norm $|\cdot|$, inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$), and a linear operator $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$. We assume

HYPOTHESIS 2.1. – (i) A is self-adjoint and there exists $\omega > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq -\omega |x|^2, \quad x \in D(A).$$

(ii) A^{-1} is of trace-class.

There exists a complete orthonormal system $\{e_k\}$ in H and a sequence of positive numbers $\{\mu_k\}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad Ae_k = -\mu_k e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote by μ the Gaussian measure on $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))^{(2)}$ with mean 0 and covariance operator $Q = -(1/2)A^{-1}$, and we set $\lambda_k = 1/2\mu_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

⁽²⁾ $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of H .

We consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $R_t, t \geq 0$, defined by

$$(2.3) \quad R_t \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \mathfrak{N}(e^{tA} x, Q_t)(dy), \quad \varphi \in L^2(H, \mu),$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad Q_t = \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} (e^{2tA} - 1), \quad t \geq 0.$$

One can show, see [7], that $R_t, t \geq 0$, is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2(H, \mu)$, having as infinitesimal generator \mathfrak{A} the closure of the linear operator \mathfrak{A}_0 defined as

$$(2.5) \quad \mathfrak{A}_0 \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi(x)] + \langle x, AD\varphi(x) \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathfrak{E}_A(H),$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \mathfrak{E}_A(H) = \text{span} \{x \rightarrow e^{\langle h, x \rangle}, h \in D(A)\}.$$

We finally recall two identities, valid for any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{E}_A(H)$, that we shall use later, see [5] and the references therein

$$(2.7) \quad \int_H \mathfrak{A} \varphi(x) \varphi(x) \mu(dx) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2 \varphi)^2] \mu(dx) + \int_H |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = 2 \int_H |\mathfrak{A} \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx).$$

The following result is an easy consequence of estimates (2.7) and (2.8), see [5].

PROPOSITION 2.2. - *We have*

- (i) $D((-\mathfrak{A})^{1/2}) = W^{1,2}(H, \mu)^{(3)}$;
- (ii) $D(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\varphi \in W^{2,2}(H, \mu): |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi| \in L^2(H; \mu)\}^{(4)}$.

⁽³⁾ $W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$ is the space of all $\varphi \in L^2(H; \mu)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_k \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty$, where D_k is the derivative in the direction e_k .

⁽⁴⁾ $W^{2,2}(H, \mu)$ is the space of all $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H; \mu)$ such that $\sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_h D_k \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty$.

Moreover, for all $\lambda > 0$, $\varphi \in D(\mathfrak{A})$, we have, setting $f = \lambda\varphi - \mathfrak{A}\varphi$,

$$(2.9) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^2(H, \mu)},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|D\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \|f\|_{L^2(H, \mu)},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \|\text{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2]\|_{L^1(H, \mu)} \leq 4\|f\|_{L^2(H, \mu)},$$

$$(2.12) \quad \|(-A)^{1/2}D\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^2(H, \mu)}.$$

In the following we shall write

$$D(\mathfrak{A}) = W^{2,2}(H, \mu) \cap W_A^{1,2}(H, \mu),$$

where

$$W_A^{1,2}(H, \mu) = \{\varphi \in L^2(H, \mu) : |(-A)^{1/2}D\varphi| \in L^2(H; \mu)\}.$$

3. – The case when U is regular.

We are given here a mapping $U: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

HYPOTHESIS 3.1. – (i) U is nonnegative and twice Gateaux differentiable.

(ii) There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in H} |DU(x)| + \sup_{x \in H} \|D^2U(x)\| \leq \kappa.$$

We define a linear operator

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{A}_0\varphi = \mathfrak{A}\varphi - \langle DU(x), D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_A(H),$$

and a measure ν on $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$, by setting

$$\nu(dx) = ce^{-2U(x)}\mu(dx),$$

where $c = \left[\int_H e^{-2U(x)}\mu(dx) \right]^{-1}$.

Our goal is to prove that \mathfrak{A}_0 is essentially self-adjoint. To do this we will prove that \mathfrak{A}_0 is symmetric and that for some $\lambda_0 > 0$ the set

$$(\lambda_0 - \mathfrak{A})(D(\mathfrak{A})),$$

where \mathfrak{A} is the closure of \mathfrak{A}_0 , is dense on $L^2(H, \mu)$. This will imply that \mathfrak{A} is m -dissipative, and thus self-adjoint, see [4].

To carry out this program we need some preliminary results: an integration by parts formula, and some a-priori estimates.

LEMMA 3.2. – Assume that Hypotheses 2.1, and 3.1 hold. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$, and let $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_H [D_h \varphi \psi + \varphi D_h \psi] \, d\nu = \int_H \left(\frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} + 2D_h U \right) \varphi \psi \, d\nu,$$

where $x_h = \langle x, e_h \rangle$ and D_h denotes the derivative in the direction e_h .

PROOF. – We recall a well known formula, see e.g. [3], [8],

$$\int_H [D_h \alpha \beta + \alpha D_h \beta] \, d\mu = \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} \alpha \beta \, d\mu, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

Using this formula we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H D_h \varphi \psi \, d\nu &= c \int_H D_h \varphi \psi e^{-2U} \, d\mu = \\ &= -c \int_H \varphi D_h (\psi e^{-2U}) \, d\mu + \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} \varphi \psi e^{-2U} \, d\mu = \\ &= - \int_H \varphi D_h \psi \, d\nu + 2 \int_H \varphi \psi D_h U \, d\nu + \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} \varphi \psi \, d\nu. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. – Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$. Then

(i) We have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_H \mathfrak{X}_0 \varphi \psi \, d\nu = - \frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu,$$

so that \mathfrak{X}_0 is symmetric.

(ii) We have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr} [(D^2 \varphi)^2] \, d\nu + \int_H |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu + \int_H \langle D^2 U D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle \, d\nu = \\ 2 \int_H (\mathfrak{X}_0 \varphi)^2 \, d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. – We first compute, following [8],

$$\int_H \langle Ax, D\varphi \rangle \psi \, d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} D_h \varphi \psi \, d\nu.$$

By (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \langle Ax, D\varphi \rangle \psi \, d\nu &= \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H [D_h^2 \varphi \psi + D_h \varphi D_h \psi] \, d\nu + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_h U D_h \varphi \psi \, d\nu = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi] \psi \, d\nu - \frac{1}{2} \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu + \int_H \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle \psi \, d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Now (3.3) follows easily. Let us prove (3.4). Set $\mathfrak{c}\varphi = f$, and

$$\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{c}_0 \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k^2 \varphi - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k x_k D_k \varphi - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k U D_k \varphi = f.$$

Differentiating with respect to e_h gives

$$\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{c}_0 D_h \varphi - \mu_h D_h \varphi - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_h D_k U D_k \varphi = D_h f.$$

Multiplying both sides for $D_h \varphi$, integrating in H with respect to ν , and taking into account (3.19), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_H |DD_h \varphi|^2 \, d\nu + \int_H \mu_h |D_h \varphi|^2 \, d\nu + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_h D_k U D_h \varphi D_k \varphi \, d\nu &= \\ - \int_H D_h f D_h \varphi \, d\nu = \int_H D_h^2 \varphi f \, d\nu - \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} D_h \varphi f \, d\nu - 2 \int_H D_h U D_h \varphi f \, d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used again the integration by parts formula (3.2). Summing up on h gives (3.4). ■

We are now able to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.4. – *Assume that Hypotheses 2.1 and 3.1 hold. Then the operator $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{c}_0$, defined by (3.1) is essentially self-adjoint. Denoting by $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{c}$ its closure we have*

$$(3.5) \quad D((-\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{c})^{1/2}) = W^{1,2}(H, \nu),$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad D(\mathcal{D}) = \{ \varphi \in W^{2,2}(H, \nu) : |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi| \in L^2(H, \nu) \}.$$

Moreover the measure ν is invariant for the semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{D}}$.

PROOF. – We first notice that, since \mathcal{D}_0 is symmetric by (3.3), then it is closable. Let us denote by \mathcal{D} its closure. We now proceed in three steps.

STEP 1. – We have

$$(3.7) \quad D(\mathcal{D}) = W^{2,2}(H, \mu) \cap W_A^{1,2}(H, \mu) \subset D(\mathcal{D}),$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{D}\varphi = \mathcal{D}\varphi - \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in D(\mathcal{D}).$$

Let in fact $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{D})$. Since $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ is a core for \mathcal{D} there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ such that

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi, \quad \mathcal{D}\varphi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\varphi \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \mu), \quad \text{and so in } L^2(H, \nu).$$

Recalling the well known estimate see e.g. [5],

$$\int_H |x|^2 |D\varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \leq C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,2}(H, \mu)}^2, \quad \varphi \in W^{2,2}(H, \mu),$$

we see that

$$\langle DU, D\varphi_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \mu), \quad \text{and so in } L^2(H, \nu).$$

Consequently $\mathcal{D}_0 \varphi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\varphi - \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle$, and the claim is proved.

STEP 2. – There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and all $f \in L^2(H, \mu)$, the equation

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda\varphi - \mathcal{D}\varphi = \lambda\varphi - \mathcal{D}\varphi + \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle = f,$$

has a unique solution $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{D})$.

In fact, setting $\lambda\varphi - \mathcal{D}\varphi = \psi$, equation (3.9) is equivalent to

$$(3.10) \quad \psi - T\psi = f,$$

where $T\psi = \langle DU, DR(\lambda, \mathcal{D})\psi \rangle$. Now, taking into account (2.7), we see that

$$\|T\psi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)} \leq \kappa \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \|\psi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)},$$

and the conclusion follows with $\lambda_0 = 8\kappa^2$.

STEP 3. – Conclusion.

By step 2 we have

$$(\lambda_0 - \mathfrak{N})(D(\mathfrak{N})) \supset L^2(H, \mu).$$

Since $L^2(H, \mu)$ is dense in $L^2(H, \nu)$ it follows that \mathfrak{N} is m -dissipative and so self-adjoint see e.g. [4, Corollaire II.9.3]. Now it follows by approximation that identities (3.3) and (3.4) hold for any $\varphi \in D(\mathfrak{N})$. Then (3.5) and (3.6) follow easily. ■

4. – The general case.

We are given a mapping $U: H \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that

HYPOTHESIS 4.1. – (i) U is convex, lower semi-continuous, not identically $+\infty$.

(ii) There exists $p > 2$ such that

$$\int_H |DU(x)|^p \nu(dx) < +\infty,$$

where $DU(x)$ is the sub-differential of $U(x)$, $\nu(dx) = ce^{-2U(x)}\mu(dx)$, and $c = [\int_H e^{-2U(x)}\mu(dx)]^{-1}$.

(iii) There exists a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of functions fulfilling Hypothesis 3.1 such that $U_n(x) \uparrow U(x)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H |DU(x) - DU_n(x)|^p \nu(dx) = 0.$$

We denote by ν_n the measure $\nu_n(dx) = c_n e^{-2U_n(x)}\mu(dx)$, where $c_n = [\int_H e^{-2U_n(x)}\mu(dx)]^{-1}$. We have the following continuous and dense inclusions

$$L^p(H, \mu) \subset L^p(H, \nu_n) \subset L^p(H, \nu), \quad p > 1,$$

and, for all $\varphi \in L^p(H, \mu)$,

$$(4.1) \quad \int_H |\varphi|^p d\nu \leq \frac{c}{c_n} \int_H |\varphi|^p d\nu_n \leq c \int_H |\varphi|^p d\mu.$$

We define a linear operator \mathfrak{N}_0 on $L^2(H, \nu)$ with domain $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ by setting

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{N}_0 \varphi = \alpha \varphi - \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

This definition is meaningful in virtue of Hypothesis 4.1-(ii). We also set

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{N}_{0, n} \varphi = \mathfrak{C} \varphi - \langle DU_n, D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H),$$

and denote by \mathfrak{N}_n the closure of $\mathfrak{N}_{0, n}$ on $L^2(H, \nu_n)$. Clearly for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{N}_{0, n} \varphi = \mathfrak{N}_0 \varphi \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(H, \nu).$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. – *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$. Then*

(i) *We have*

$$\int_H \mathfrak{N}_0 \varphi \psi \, d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu,$$

so that \mathfrak{N}_0 is symmetric.

(ii) *We have*

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2 \varphi)^2] \, d\nu + \int_H |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu \leq 2 \int_H (\mathfrak{N}_0 \varphi)^2 \, d\nu.$$

PROOF. – Let us prove (4.5). For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ we have by (3.3)

$$\int_H \mathfrak{N}_{0, n} \varphi \psi \, d\nu_n = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu_n,$$

which is equivalent to

$$c_n \int_H \mathfrak{N}_{0, n} \varphi \psi e^{-2U_n} \, d\mu = -c_n \frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle e^{-2U_n} \, d\mu.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, (4.5) follows.

Let us finally prove (4.6). For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ we have by (3.4), recalling that U_n is convex

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2 \varphi)^2] \, d\nu_n + \int_H |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_n \leq 2 \int_H (\mathfrak{N}_{0, n} \varphi)^2 \, d\nu_n.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, (4.6) follows. ■

We need now a technical lemma whose proof is given in Appendix A.

LEMMA 4.3. – Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$, $\lambda > 0$, $p \geq 2$, and $f = \lambda\varphi - \mathfrak{I}_0\varphi$. The following estimate holds

$$(4.7) \quad \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,p}(H, \nu_n)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{W^{1,p}(H, \nu_n)}.$$

Now we can prove the result

THEOREM 4.4. – Assume that Hypotheses 2.1 and 4.1 hold. Then the operator \mathfrak{I}_0 , defined by (4.3) is essentially self-adjoint. Denoting by \mathfrak{I} its closure we have

$$(4.8) \quad D((- \mathfrak{I})^{1/2}) = W^{1,2}(H, \nu),$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad D(\mathfrak{I}) \subset \{\varphi \in W^{2,2}(H, \nu): |(-A)^{1/2}D\varphi| \in L^2(H, \nu)\}.$$

Moreover the measure ν is invariant for the semigroup $e^{t\mathfrak{I}}$.

PROOF. – We set $q = 2p/(p - 2)$. By proceeding as in the proof of Step 1 of Theorem 3.4 we see that $W^{1,q}(H, \mu) \subset D(\mathfrak{I})$. Now let $f \in W^{1,q}(H, \mu)$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\varphi_n \in D(\mathfrak{I}_n)$ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \lambda\varphi_n - \mathfrak{I}\varphi_n + \langle DU_n, D\varphi_n \rangle = f.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu_n)} \leq \frac{c^{1/q}}{\lambda} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu_n)}.$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu)} &\leq \left(\frac{c}{c_n}\right)^{1/q} \|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu_n)} \leq \\ &\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{c}{c_n}\right)^{1/q} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu_n)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} c^{1/q} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that

$$(4.11) \quad \|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \nu)} \leq \frac{c^{1/q}}{\lambda} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(H, \mu)}.$$

Now we can conclude the proof. We have

$$(4.12) \quad \lambda \varphi_n - \mathfrak{X}_0 \varphi_n = f + \langle DU - DU_n, D\varphi_n \rangle.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H |\langle DU - DU_n, D\varphi_n \rangle|^2 d\nu &\leq \int_H |DU - DU_n|^2 |D\varphi_n|^2 d\nu \leq \\ &\left(\int_H |DU - DU_n|^p d\nu \right)^{2/p} \left(\int_H |D\varphi_n|^q d\nu \right)^{2/q} \leq \\ &\frac{1}{\lambda} c^{1/p} \left(\int_H |DU - DU_n|^p d\nu \right)^{2p} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(H,\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle DU - DU_n, D\varphi_n \rangle = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu),$$

and so $(\lambda - \mathfrak{X})(D\mathfrak{X})$ contains the closure on $L^2(H, \nu)$ of $W^{1,q}(H, \mu)$. Since $W^{1,q}(H, \mu)$ is dense on $L^2(H, \nu)$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 this implies that \mathfrak{X} is self-adjoint. ■

REMARK 4.5. – If $D^2 U(x)$ exists for ν almost $x \in H$ and it is Borel, then we have the following characterization of $D(\mathfrak{X})$:

$$(4.13) \quad D(\mathfrak{X}) =$$

$$\{\varphi \in W^{2,2}(H, \nu): |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi| \in L^2(H, \nu), \langle D^2 U D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle \in L^1(H, \nu)\}.$$

EXAMPLE 4.6. – Let $H = L^2(0, \pi)$, $Ax = D_\xi^2 x$, $x \in D(A) = H^2(0, \pi) \cap H_0^1(0, \pi)$. Set moreover

$$e_k(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin k\xi, \quad f_k(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos k\xi, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and denote by T the isometry on H :

$$T \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k f_k \right), \quad x \in H, \quad x_k = \langle x, e_k \rangle.$$

Let moreover Q be the trace class operator on H such that $Qe_k = (1/2k^2) e_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mu = \mathfrak{N}(0, Q)$.

Let finally

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \langle x^4, 1 \rangle & \text{if } x \in L^4(0, \pi), \\ + \infty & \text{if } x \notin L^4(0, \pi). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$DU(x) = -x^3 \quad \text{if } x \in L^6(0, \pi).$$

It is easy to check that for all $x \in H$,

$$x(\xi) = \langle Q^{-1/2} x, T^* \chi_{[0, \xi]} \rangle, \quad \xi \in [0, \pi].$$

For any $m \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C_m > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H |DU(x)|^{2m} \mu(dx) &= \int_H \left(\int_0^\pi |x(\xi)|^{6m} d\xi \right) \mu(dx) = \\ &= \int_0^\pi \left[\int_H |\langle Q^{-1/2} x, T^* \chi_{[0, \xi]} \rangle|^{6m} \mu(dx) \right] d\xi = C_m \int_0^\pi |T^* \chi_{[0, \xi]}|_H^{6m} d\xi = C_m \int_0^\pi \xi^{3m} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all assumptions of Theorem 4.4 are fulfilled.

5. - Ergodicity and spectral gap.

We set $P_t \varphi = e^{t\mathfrak{N}} \varphi$, for all $\varphi \in L^2(H, \nu)$, where \mathfrak{N} is the self-adjoint operator defined in Theorem 4.4. We first prove that ν is ergodic and strongly mixing.

For this we need a lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. - For any $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$ we have

$$(5.1) \quad \|DP_t \varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu)}^2 \leq e^{-2\omega t} \|D\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu)}^2. \quad (5)$$

(5) Recall that $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq -\omega |x|^2$, $x \in D(A)$.

PROOF. – It is enough to show (5.1) for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$. In this case we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_h u(t, x) = \mathcal{I} D_h u(t, x) - \mu_h D_h u(t, x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_h D_k U D_h u(t, x),$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_H |D_h u(t, x)|^2 d\nu &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_H |D D_h u(t, x)|^2 d\nu - \\ &\quad - \mu_h \int_H |D_h u(t, x)|^2 d\nu + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_h D_k U(x) D_k u(t, x) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up on h it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_H |D u(t, x)|^2 d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2 u(t, x))^2] d\nu &\leq \\ &\quad - \omega \int_H |D u(t, x)|^2 d\nu + \int_H \langle D^2 U(x) u(t, x), u(t, x) \rangle d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. ■

THEOREM 5.2. – *Assume that Hypotheses 2.1 and 4.1 hold. Then we have*

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \nu(dy).$$

PROOF. – It is enough to prove (5.2) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$. In this case, setting $u(t, x) = P_t \varphi(x)$ we have

$$(5.3) \quad u(t, x) = e^{t\text{cl}} \varphi(x) - \int_H e^{(t-s)\text{cl}} \langle DU(x), Du(s, x) \rangle ds.$$

In virtue of (5.1) we can pass to the limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ in (5.3). Recalling that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{t\text{cl}} \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \mu(dy),$$

we find

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \mu(dy) - \int_0^{+\infty} ds \int_H \langle DU(x), Du(s, y) \rangle \mu(dy).$$

Now the conclusion follows from the Von Neumann ergodic theorem. ■

To prove spectral gap we need a Poincaré inequality.

PROPOSITION 5.3. – For any $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$ we have

$$(5.4) \quad \int_H |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{1}{2\omega_H} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu,$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi} = \int_H \varphi(y) \nu(dy).$$

PROOF. – It is enough to prove (5.2) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_A(H)$. In this case we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_H |P_t \varphi|^2 d\nu = \int_H \mathfrak{L} P_t \varphi P_t \varphi d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H |DP_t \varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

By Lemma 5.1 it follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_H |P_t \varphi|^2 d\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\omega t} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

Integrating in t we have

$$\int_H |P_t \varphi|^2 d\nu \geq \int_H \varphi^2 d\nu - \frac{1}{2\omega} (1 - e^{-2\omega t}) \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

Letting n tend to ∞ it follows by Theorem 5.3

$$(\bar{\varphi})^2 \geq \int_H \varphi^2 d\nu - \frac{1}{2\omega_H} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu,$$

that it is equivalent to (5.4). ■

We can now prove the result

THEOREM 5.4. – Assume that Hypotheses 2.1 and 4.1 hold. Then we have

$$(5.5) \quad \int_H |P_t \varphi(x) - \bar{\varphi}|^2 d\nu \leq C e^{-2\omega t} \int_H |\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

PROOF. – By (5.4) it follows

$$\int_H |P_t \varphi - \bar{\varphi}|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{1}{2\omega} \int_H |DP_t \varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

Moreover by (5.1) we have

$$\int_H |P_t \varphi - \bar{\varphi}|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{e^{-2\omega t}}{2\omega} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$ it follows

$$\int_H |P_{t+\varepsilon} \varphi - \bar{\varphi}|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{e^{-2\omega t}}{2\omega} \int_H |DP_\varepsilon \varphi|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{e^{-2\omega t}}{\varepsilon \omega e} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu,$$

since

$$\int_H |DP_\varepsilon \varphi|^2 d\nu = 2 \int_H |(-\mathfrak{N})^{1/2} P_\varepsilon \varphi|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon e} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

The conclusion follows. ■

A. – L^p estimates.

We assume here that Hypotheses 2.1 and 3.1 hold, and consider the equation

$$(A.1) \quad \lambda \varphi - \mathfrak{N} \varphi = \lambda \varphi - \mathfrak{A} \varphi + \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle = f,$$

where \mathfrak{N} is defined by (3.1), $\lambda > 0$, and $f \in L^2(H, \nu)$.

PROPOSITION A.1. – For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_A(H)$ and $p \geq 2$, the following identity holds.

$$(A.2) \quad \lambda \int_H |\varphi|^p d\nu + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 |\varphi|^{p-2} d\nu = \int_H f |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi d\nu.$$

Moreover

$$(A.3) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^p(H, \nu)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^p(H, \nu)}.$$

PROOF. – We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_H \langle Ax, D\varphi \rangle |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi d\nu &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} D_h \varphi |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi d\nu = \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_h^2 \varphi |\varphi|^{p-2} d\nu - \frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_h \varphi|^2 |\varphi|^{p-2} d\nu + \\
 &= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_h U D_h \varphi |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi d\nu = \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi] |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi d\nu - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 |\varphi|^{p-2} d\nu + \\
 &= \int_H \langle DU, D\varphi \rangle |\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi d\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the conclusion follows easily. ■

LEMMA A.2. – Let $\varphi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \mathcal{S}_A(H)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A.4)} \quad \int_H \mathfrak{L}\varphi \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu - \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi_k \rangle \varphi \psi_k \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_{k-1}^2 \psi_k^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. – We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_H \langle Ax, D\varphi \rangle \varphi \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H \frac{x_h}{\lambda_h} D_h \varphi \varphi \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu - \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^n \int_H D_h^2 \varphi \varphi \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_{k-1}^2 \psi_k^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu - \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_h \varphi|^2 \varphi \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_{k-1}^2 \psi_k^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu - \\
 &= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_H D_h \varphi \varphi D_h \psi_k \psi_k \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_{k-1}^2 \psi_k^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu + \\
 &= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_h U D_h \varphi \varphi \psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_n^2 d\nu. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION A.3. – Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda\varphi - \mathfrak{X}\varphi = f$. Then the following identity holds

$$(A.5) \quad \lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^{2m} d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] |D\varphi|^{2m-2} d\nu + \\ (m-1) \int_H \langle (D^2\varphi)^2 D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle |D\varphi|^{2m-1} d\nu + \int_H |(-A)^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 |D\varphi|^{2m-2} d\nu + \\ \int_H \langle D^2 UD\varphi, D\varphi \rangle |D\varphi|^{2m-2} d\nu = \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle |D\varphi|^{2m-2} d\nu .$$

Moreover

$$(A.6) \quad \|D\varphi\|_{L^p(H, \mu)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|Df\|_{L^p(H, \mu)} .$$

PROOF. – For any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\lambda D_h \varphi - \mathfrak{X} D_h \varphi + \mu_h D_h \varphi + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_h D_k U D_k \varphi = D_h \varphi .$$

Multiplying both sides for

$$D_h \varphi (D_{\alpha_1} \varphi)^2 \dots (D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi)^2 ,$$

and using Lemma A.2 we obtain

$$\lambda \int_H |D_h \varphi|^2 |D_{\alpha_1} \varphi|^2 \dots |D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi|^2 d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_H |DD_h \varphi|^2 |D_{\alpha_1} \varphi|^2 \dots |D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi|^2 d\nu + \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_H \langle DD_h \varphi, DD_{\alpha_j} \varphi \rangle D_h \varphi D_{\alpha_j} \varphi (D_{\alpha_1} \varphi)^2 \dots (D_{\alpha_{j-1}} \varphi)^2 (D_{\alpha_{j+1}} \varphi)^2 \dots (D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi)^2 d\nu + \\ \mu_h \int_H |D_h \varphi|^2 |D_{\alpha_1} \varphi|^2 \dots |D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi|^2 d\nu + \\ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \int_H D_h D_k U D_k \varphi D_h \varphi |D_{\alpha_1} \varphi|^2 \dots |D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi|^2 d\nu = \\ \int_H D_h f D_h \varphi |D_{\alpha_1} \varphi|^2 \dots |D_{\alpha_{m-1}} \varphi|^2 d\nu .$$

Now identity (A.4) follows summing up on $h, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$. Finally (A.5) follows from the Hölder estimate. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ALBEVERIO - V. I. BOGACHEV - M. RÖCKNER, *Dirichlet operators via stochastic analysis*, J. Functional Analysis, **128**, n. 1 (1995), 102-138.
- [2] D. BAKRY - M. EMERY, *Diffusions hypercontractives*, Séminaire de Probabilités XIX, Lecture Notes in Math. 1123 (1985), 177-206.
- [3] V. I. BOGACHEV - M. RÖCKNER M. - B. SCHMULAND B., *Generalized Mehler semigroups and applications*, Probability and Related Fields, **114** (1996) 193-225.
- [4] G. DA PRATO, *Applications croissantes et équations d'évolutions dans les espaces de Banach*, Academic Press, 1976.
- [5] G. DA PRATO, *Regularity results for Kolmogorov equations on $L^2(H, \mu)$ spaces and applications*, Ukr. Mat. J., **49**, n. 3 (1997), 448-457.
- [6] G. DA PRATO, *Characterization of the domain of an elliptic operator of infinitely many variables in $L^2(\mu)$ spaces*, Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei, s. 9, 8 (1997), 101-105.
- [7] G. DA PRATO - J. ZABCZYK, *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, 1996.
- [8] M. FUHRMAN, *Analyticity of transition semigroups and closability of bilinear forms in Hilbert spaces*, Studia Mathematica, **115** (1995), 53-71.
- [9] Z. M. MA - ROCKNER M., *Introduction to the Theory of (Non Symmetric) Dirichlet Forms*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

Giuseppe da Prato: Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy