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# Abelian Surfaces and Products of Elliptic Curves. 

Marina Rosanna Marchisio (*)


#### Abstract

Sunto. - Si dà una nuova e completa dimostrazione del risultato cruciale del metodo di Ruppert che consente di stabilire in maniera effettiva quando una superficie abeliana è isomorfa o isogena a un prodotto di curve ellittiche.


## Introduction.

In this paper we study the relationship between abelian surfaces and products of elliptic curves. In particular we study the problem to decide, with relatively simple calculations, whether an abelian surface, given its period matrix, is isomorphic or isogenous to a product of elliptic curves.

This problem was solved by Ruppert in [R] and its method was successively explained in [LB]. However in these two expositions the proof of the crucial proposition (see (2.11) below) is only outlined, whilst all details, even the essential ones, are left to the reader.

We thought that it would have been useful to give a new proof of (2.11), complete of all details, and to illustrate the method in all possible cases in order to show its effectiveness. Finally we apply it to an example.

## 1. - Preliminaries

(1.1) Definition. - A complex torus $X=V / \Lambda$, with $V$ vector space over $\boldsymbol{C}$ of dimension $g$, $\Lambda$ lattice of $V$ with a hermitian defined positive form $H: V \times$ $V \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ and such that $H(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}$, is called abelian variety of dimension $g$.
(1.2) Definition. - An abelian variety of dimension 2 is called abelian surface; a complex torus $X=V / \Lambda$ of dimension 1 is called elliptic curve and it is always an abelian variety (see [K]).
(*) I would like to thank Prof. Bert van Geemen for having pointed out to me this problem and for the useful suggestions.
(1.3) Definition. - Let $X=V / \Lambda$ be an abelian variety with $\operatorname{dim} V=g$, choose bases $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{g}$ of $V$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 g}$ of the lattice $\Lambda$. Write $\lambda_{i}$ in terms of the basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{g}$ :

$$
\lambda_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{g} \lambda_{j i} e_{j} .
$$

The matrix:

$$
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{11} & \ldots & \ldots & \lambda_{1,2 g} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\lambda_{g, 1} & \ldots & \ldots & \lambda_{g, 2 g}
\end{array}\right) \in M(g \times 2 g, \boldsymbol{C})
$$

is called a period matrix for $X$.

The period matrix $\Pi$ determines the complex torus $X$ completely, but certainly it depends on the choice of the bases for $V$ and $\Lambda$.

We consider products of elliptic curves $E_{1}, E_{2}$ which are, as is easily seen, abelian surfaces:

$$
E_{1} \times E_{2}=\boldsymbol{C} / \Lambda_{1} \times \boldsymbol{C} / \Lambda_{2} \simeq \boldsymbol{C}^{2} /\left(\Lambda_{1} \times \Lambda_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}^{2} / \Lambda
$$

Recall that a homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ corresponds to a unique $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear map $F: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ with $F(\Lambda) \subseteq \Lambda^{\prime}$ inducing the homomorphism $f$.
(1.4) Definition. - Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a homomorphism between two abelian varieties of equal dimension. If $\Phi$ is surjective, $\Phi$ is called isogeny.
$X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are called isogenous if there exists an isogeny $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$.
Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a homomorphism between abelian varieties of equal dimension; then

$$
\Phi \text { surjective } \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \Phi \text { finite }
$$

## 2. - Ruppert's method.

The aim of this method is to see when an abelian surface is isomorphic or isogenous to a product of elliptic curves.

Let $\Lambda \cong \boldsymbol{Z}^{4} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ be a lattice. We consider an alternating form:

$$
\alpha: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}
$$

defined by

$$
\alpha(u, v)=\operatorname{det}(u, v)=u_{1} v_{2}-u_{2} v_{1} \quad\left(u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{2}\right),
$$

so that $\alpha$ is bilinear and $\alpha(v, v)=0$ for all $v \in \Lambda$, hence $\alpha(v, u)=-$ $\alpha(u, v)$.
(2.1) Definition. - The form $\alpha$ is called hyperbolic if there is a decomposition of $\Lambda$ :

$$
\Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}
$$

into submodules $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ which are isotropic with respect to $\alpha$.
In other words:
$\alpha$ hyperbolic $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ basis $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ of $\Lambda$ s.t. $\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=0$.
By abuse of notation we denote the extension of $\alpha$ to $\Lambda \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}$ also by $\alpha$.
(2.2) Definition. - The form

$$
\alpha:(\Lambda \otimes \boldsymbol{Q})^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}
$$

is called hyperbolic over $Q$ if there is a decomposition:

$$
\Lambda \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}
$$

into 2 subvector spaces which are isotropic with respect to $\alpha$.
In other words:
$\alpha$ hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q} \Leftrightarrow \exists a$ basis $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ of $\Lambda \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}$

$$
\text { s.t. } \alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Both notions are independent of the choice of the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ since a coordinate transformation $A$ in $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ changes $\alpha$ by a multiplicative constant; in fact $\alpha(A u, A v)=\operatorname{det}(A) \alpha(u, v)$ because:

$$
\operatorname{det}(A u, A v)=\operatorname{det}\left(A \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1} & v_{1} \\
u_{2} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1} & v_{1} \\
u_{2} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

(2.3) Proposition. - For an abelian surface $X=\boldsymbol{C}^{2} / \Lambda$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $X$ is isomorphic (respectively isogenous) to a product of elliptic curves;
(ii) the form $\alpha$ is hyperbolic (respectively hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ ).

See [LB], p. 313, for the proof.
(2.4) Remark. - Consider $\Lambda=\left\langle\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}\right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}$ is a basis of $\Lambda$. Call $\alpha_{i j}=\alpha\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)$; then we have, with $u=\sum n_{i} \lambda_{i}$ and $v=\sum m_{i} \lambda_{i} \in \Lambda$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\sum_{i j} \underbrace{n_{i} m_{j}}_{\in \boldsymbol{Z}} \alpha\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)= \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) \alpha_{12}+\left(n_{1} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{1}\right) \alpha_{13}+\left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) \alpha_{14}+ \\
&\left(n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) \alpha_{23}+\left(n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}\right) \alpha_{24}+\left(n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) \alpha_{34} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\alpha(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{12}+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{13}+\ldots+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{34}$.

Let $M=\left\langle\ldots, \alpha_{i j}, \ldots\right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ be the $\boldsymbol{Z}$-submodule of $\boldsymbol{C}$ generated by the values of $\alpha$ on $\Lambda \times \Lambda$.

Note that $\alpha(\Lambda \times \Lambda)$, in general, is not a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module (in $\boldsymbol{C}$ ) (on the contrary of what is written in the book [LB], p. 314).

In fact consider

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{Z}^{4} \times \boldsymbol{Z}^{4} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \boldsymbol{Z}^{6} & \simeq \wedge^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}^{4} \\
\cap \cap \cap & \cap & \cap \\
\boldsymbol{C}^{4} \times \boldsymbol{C}^{4} \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \boldsymbol{C}^{6} & \simeq \wedge^{2} \boldsymbol{C}^{4}
\end{array}
$$

where $\varphi_{C}(=« \alpha »)$ is the Plücker embedding, see [G], p. 211. If we consider $\omega=e_{0} \wedge e_{1}+e_{2} \wedge e_{3}$ then $\omega \in \wedge \boldsymbol{Z}^{4} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}^{4}$ but $\omega \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(\varphi_{C}\right) \quad\left(\omega\right.$ has $p_{01}=1$, $p_{23}=1$ and other $\left.p_{i j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{01} p_{23}=1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \omega \notin \operatorname{Im}\left(\varphi_{C}\right)\right)$.
(2.5) Definition. - The rank of an alterning form $\alpha: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is the rank of the free $\boldsymbol{Z}$-submodule $M(\subseteq \boldsymbol{C})$ so $M \cong \boldsymbol{Z}^{r}$.

If $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is a basis of the $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module $M$, we can write:

$$
\alpha(u, v)=\underbrace{\alpha_{1}(u, v)}_{\in \boldsymbol{Z}} y_{1}+\ldots+\underbrace{\alpha_{r}(u, v)}_{\in \boldsymbol{Z}} y_{r}
$$

with $\alpha_{i}: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ alternating forms.
Note that the forms $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ are necessarily linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z}$.
Moreover it is clear that $\alpha$ is hyperbolic (over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ ) if and only if $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ are hyperbolic (over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ ) all with the same decomposition of $\Lambda$ (respectively $\Lambda \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}$ ).

In fact if $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ is a basis of $\Lambda$ such that $0=\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) y_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{r}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) y_{r}, \\
0=\alpha\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right) y_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{r}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right) y_{r} \\
\Leftrightarrow \alpha_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\ldots=\alpha_{r}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\ldots=\alpha_{r}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

because $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ are linearly independent.
(2.6) Lemma. - Let $\alpha$ be hyperbolic (respectively hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ ) of rank $r$. Then $2 \leqslant r \leqslant 4$.

PRoof. $-r \geqslant 1$ since $\alpha$ is nondegenerate, i.e. $\forall v \in \Lambda, v \neq 0$, there exists $w \in$ $\Lambda$ such that $\alpha(v, w) \neq 0$; moreover $r \geqslant 2$. In fact note that $\mathrm{rk}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}\left(\ldots, \alpha_{i j}, \ldots\right)=$ $\mathrm{rk}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}\left(\ldots, \operatorname{det}\left(A \lambda_{i}, A \lambda_{j}\right), \ldots\right)=\mathrm{rk}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}\left(\ldots,(\operatorname{det} A) \cdot \alpha_{i j}, \ldots\right) \geqslant 2$ for any invertible matrix $A$. Take a basis of $\Lambda$ such that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{C}$ (this is possible because if $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4} \in \lambda_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{C}$ then $\Lambda \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}$ ). Choose $A$ such that $A$. $\lambda_{1}=e_{1}$ and $A \cdot \lambda_{2}=e_{2}$. We obtain

$$
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & z_{1} & w_{1} \\
0 & 1 & z_{2} & w_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{12}=1 \\
& z_{1}=-\alpha_{23}, \\
& w_{1}=-\alpha_{24}, \\
& z_{2}=\alpha_{13} \\
& w_{2}=\alpha_{14} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r=1$ then $z_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ with $i=1,2 \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $\Lambda$ would not be a lattice.

Moreover $r \leqslant 6$ for what we have seen before; finally $\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=$ $\alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)=0$ with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4} \boldsymbol{Z}$-basis of $\Lambda$, therefore:

$$
\operatorname{Im} \alpha \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{13}+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{14}+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{23}+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \alpha_{24}
$$

i.e. $r \leqslant 4$.

We start to consider the case $r=2$, the «happiest» case.
(2.7) Proposition. - Any alternating form $\alpha: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ of rank 2 is hyperbolic.

See [R] for the proof.
An immediate consequence is the following theorem of Shioda and Mitani, see [Sh].
(2.8) Corollary. - An abelian surface which is isogenous to a product of isogenous elliptic curves with complex multiplication is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.

There exists a generalization of this corollary for abelian varieties of arbitrary dimension given by C. Schoen, see [S], p. 115-123, which says:
(2.9) Proposition. - If $X$ is an abelian variety of dimension $g$, isogenous to a product $\times_{i=1}^{g} E$ with $E$ an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, then $X$ is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.

It remains to consider forms of rank 3 and 4.
(2.10) Remark. - We first recall some properties of the well known Plücker quadric (also called Klein quadric).

Let $K$ be a field. Let $x_{i j}$ with $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3$ be the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{P}^{5}=\boldsymbol{P}(\wedge$ ${ }^{2} K^{4}$ ). The Plücker quadric is defined by the equation:

$$
x_{01} x_{23}-x_{02} x_{13}+x_{03} x_{12}=0
$$

The 2-dimensional subvector spaces of the vector space $K^{4}$ (points of the grassmannian of the lines of $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ ) correspond bijectively to the points of the Plücker quadric.

For any 2-dimensional subvector space $U=\langle u, v\rangle_{K}=\{h u+k v: h, k \in K\}$ with ${ }^{t} u=\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{3}\right)$ and ${ }^{t} v=\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{3}\right) \in K^{4}$ the elements:

$$
p_{i j}:=u_{i} v_{j}-u_{j} v_{i}
$$

are the Plücker coordinates of $U$ and

$$
p(U):=\left(p_{01}: p_{02}: p_{03}: p_{12}: p_{13}: p_{23}\right)
$$

is the corresponding point on the quadric $Q$.
If $V$ is another 2-dimensional subvector space of $K^{4}$ then

$$
K^{4}=U \oplus V \Leftrightarrow \text { the line } \overline{p(U) P(V)} \text { is not contained in } Q .
$$

Given a skew symmetric matrix $A$, we define an alternating form

$$
\begin{gathered}
A: K^{4} \times K^{4} \rightarrow K \\
A(u, v):=\sum_{i j=0}^{3} a_{i j} u_{i} v_{j}=\sum_{i<j} a_{i j} p_{i j} \quad\left(\text { with } a_{i j}=-a_{j i} \in K\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and a hyperplane of $\boldsymbol{P}^{5}=\boldsymbol{P}\left(\wedge^{2} K^{4}\right)$ by

$$
H(A)=\left\{\sum_{0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3} a_{i j} x_{i j}=0\right\} .
$$

Then a 2-dimensional subvector space $U$ of $K^{4}$ is isotropic with respect to $A$ $\left(A(u, v)=0\right.$ where $\left.U=\langle u, v\rangle_{K}\right)$ if and only if its corresponding point $p(U) \in Q$ lies on $H(A)$.

Let $\alpha=\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{r} y_{r}$ be the alternating form associated to the abelian surface $X=\boldsymbol{C}^{2} / \Lambda$ as above. Since the forms $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z}$, the intersection

$$
E(\alpha)=H\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap H\left(\alpha_{r}\right)
$$

is a $(5-r)$-plane in $\boldsymbol{P}^{5}$.
(2.11) Proposition. - a) $\alpha$ is hyperbolic if and only if there are distinct rational points $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, i.e. $q_{i} \in \boldsymbol{P}\left(\wedge^{2} \boldsymbol{Q}^{4}\right)$, in $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ such that the following conditions hold:

1) the line joining the distinct rational points $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is not contained in $Q$;
2) for every prime $p$ statement 1) holds modulo $p$.
b) $\alpha$ is hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ if and only if there are distinct rational points $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ in $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ such that 1$)$ holds.

Proof. - a) $\alpha$ is hyperbolic if there are two $\boldsymbol{Z}$-submodules $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ of rank 2 of $\Lambda$ such that $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}$ and $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are isotropic with respect to $\alpha_{v}$ for $v=1, \ldots, r . \Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2} \Leftrightarrow \Lambda \otimes F=\left(\Lambda_{1} \otimes F\right) \oplus\left(\Lambda_{2} \otimes F\right)$ for $F=\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $F=\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$ for every prime $p$. By what we have said above this means that the line joining $p\left(\Lambda_{1} \otimes F\right)$ and $p\left(\Lambda_{2} \otimes F\right)$ is not contained in the Plücker quadric $Q$ over $F$, for $F=\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $F=\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$ for all primes $p$.

The other implication is less obvious than this.

By hypothesis we have that $\exists q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q \cap E(\alpha)$, distinct rational points, such that:

1) the line $\left\langle q_{1}, q_{2}\right\rangle$ with $q_{1}, q_{2}$ distinct rational points is not contained in $Q / \boldsymbol{Q}$ (= over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ );
2) $\overline{q_{1}}, \overline{q_{2}}$ (images of $q_{i}$ in $\boldsymbol{P}\left(\wedge^{2} \boldsymbol{F}_{p}\right)$ are distinct points and the line $\left\langle\overline{q_{1}}, \overline{q_{2}}\right\rangle$ is not contained in $Q / \boldsymbol{F}_{p}$.

The statement 1) implies that there exist $V_{1} \cong \boldsymbol{Q}^{2} \subseteq \boldsymbol{Q}^{4}$ and $V_{2} \cong \boldsymbol{Q}^{2} \subseteq \boldsymbol{Q}^{4}$, $\left\langle q_{1}, q_{2}\right\rangle \notin Q$ hence $\boldsymbol{Q}^{4}=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ ( $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are isotropic).

We define:

$$
\Lambda_{1}:=V_{1} \cap \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda_{2}:=V_{2} \cap \Lambda .
$$

Since $V=\Lambda \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}$ and the $V_{i}$ are isotropic with respect to $\alpha$, we have already $\alpha\left(\Lambda_{i} \times \Lambda_{i}\right)=0$. Now we have to show:

$$
\Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2} .
$$

We give an easy example to show that this does not follow from $V=$ $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$.

Consider $V=\boldsymbol{Q}^{2}, V_{1}=\langle(1,1)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Q}}, V_{2}=\langle(1,-1)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Q}}$ and $\Lambda=\boldsymbol{Z}^{2} \subseteq \boldsymbol{Q}^{2}$.
Then $V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ and we have:

$$
\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda \cap\langle(1,1)\rangle_{Q}=\langle(1,1)\rangle_{Z}, \quad \Lambda_{2}=\Lambda \cap\langle(1,-1)\rangle_{Q}=\langle(1,-1)\rangle_{Z} .
$$

But $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2} \neq\langle(1,1)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \oplus\langle(1,-1)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ because for example

$$
\boldsymbol{Z}^{2} \ni(1,0) \neq(a+b, a-b) \quad \text { for any } a, b \in \boldsymbol{Z}
$$

Also modulo 2 it isn't true that

$$
(\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})^{2}=(\overline{1}, \overline{1})_{(Z / 2 Z)} \oplus\left(\overline{1}, \overline{-1}_{(\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \boldsymbol{Z})}\right.
$$

(modulo 2 we have that $-\overline{1}=\overline{1}$ because $-1 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ ).
We could also use the fact that

$$
\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}=\langle(a, b),(c, d)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \\
b & d
\end{array}\right)= \pm 1
$$

In the previous example however det $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c \\ b & d\end{array}\right)=-2 \neq \pm 1$. We continue the proof. Note that

$$
\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2} \Rightarrow \Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2} .
$$

In fact if $\lambda \in \Lambda_{1} \cap \Lambda_{2}$ it follows that $\boldsymbol{Z} \lambda \subseteq \Lambda_{1} \cap \Lambda_{2} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{Q} \lambda \subseteq V_{1} \cap V_{2} \Rightarrow V \neq V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$, a contradiction. Hence $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}$ implies $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}$ and we must show $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}$.

Consider the exact sequence $\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2} \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0, T=\Lambda /\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)$. We
want to show that $T=0$ because then $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}$. Tensorizing with $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$, we find

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{2}+\boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{4} \rightarrow T \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \boldsymbol{F}_{p} \rightarrow 0
$$

The condition 2 ) implies that for every $p$ prime

$$
\Lambda / p \Lambda=\Lambda_{1} / p \Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2} / p \Lambda_{2}
$$

so for every $p$ prime

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{2}+\boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{2}=\boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{4}
$$

In fact the $q_{i} \in Q \cap E(\alpha) / \boldsymbol{F}_{p}$, corresponding to $\Lambda_{i} \otimes_{Z} \boldsymbol{F}_{p}$, are distinct and the line $\left\langle q_{1}, q_{2}\right\rangle \nsubseteq Q / \boldsymbol{F}_{p}$. Then $T \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \boldsymbol{F}_{p}=0 \forall p$ prime $\Rightarrow T / p T=0 \forall p$ prime. (Note that in this point we have used in essential way the condition 2) of the hypothesis.)

Since $T$ is a finitely generated abelian group we have

$$
T \cong \boldsymbol{Z}^{r} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / e_{1} \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / e_{2} \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / e_{k} \boldsymbol{Z}
$$

with $e_{1}\left|e_{2} \ldots\right| e_{k}$.
By condition 1)

$$
T \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}=\boldsymbol{Q}^{4} /\left(V_{1} \oplus V_{2}\right)=0
$$

On the other hand:

$$
T \otimes \boldsymbol{Q} \simeq\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \oplus\left(\boldsymbol{Z} / e_{1} \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \ldots\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}=\boldsymbol{Q}^{r} \oplus\left(\boldsymbol{Z} / e_{1} \boldsymbol{Z} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q} \oplus \ldots\right)
$$

Thus $T \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}=0$ gives $r=0$ so $T$ is a finite group.
We know that $T / p T=0 \forall p$ prime. Suppose for absurd that $e_{k} \neq 0$, i.e. that there is torsion. Choose $p$ such that $p \mid e_{k} \Rightarrow e_{k}=p \cdot m$. Then we have the absurdity

$$
0=T / p T \supseteq\left(\boldsymbol{Z} / e_{k} \boldsymbol{Z}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{F}_{p} \simeq(\boldsymbol{Z} / p m \boldsymbol{Z}) / p(\boldsymbol{Z} / p m \boldsymbol{Z}) \simeq \boldsymbol{Z} / p \boldsymbol{Z} \neq 0
$$

in fact $M \otimes_{Z} \boldsymbol{F}_{p} \simeq M / p M$ and the last isomorphism follows from the theorem of isomorphism of the quotient group of a quotient group, see [B], p. 121.

Hence, in conclusion, $T / p T=0 \forall p \Rightarrow T=0 \Rightarrow \Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2} \Rightarrow \Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2} \Rightarrow \alpha$ hyperbolic. This proves $a$ ).

Assertion b) follows similarly.
(2.12) Remark. - What we have seen above in the proof of the Prop. (2.11) can be applied in an interesting way in the study of the degree of an isogeny.

Consider $\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2} \subseteq \Lambda, V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ and $\varphi: \boldsymbol{C} / \Lambda_{1} \times \boldsymbol{C} / \Lambda_{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{2} / \Lambda$.

Now we study the following diagram which has exact rows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 
& & & N=\operatorname{ker} \varphi \\
& & & & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}=C_{1} & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{C} \oplus \boldsymbol{C}=D_{1} & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{C} / \Lambda_{1} \oplus \boldsymbol{C} / \Lambda_{2}=Y_{1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & \partial_{C} \downarrow \simeq & & \partial_{D} \downarrow \simeq & & \partial_{E} \downarrow \varphi \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Lambda=C_{0} & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{C}^{2}=D_{0} & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{C}^{2} / \Lambda=Y_{0} & \rightarrow & \\
& & & 0
\end{array}
$$

We can apply the zig-zag lemma, see [M], p. 136, and obtain the long exact sequence in homology:

$$
\ldots \rightarrow H_{1}(D) \rightarrow H_{1}(Y) \rightarrow H_{0}(C) \rightarrow H_{0}(D)
$$

i.e.

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \underbrace{\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{D}\right)}_{0} / \underbrace{\operatorname{Im}\left(D_{2}\right)}_{0} \rightarrow N \rightarrow \underbrace{\Lambda / \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\left(\Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}\right)}_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}}}_{T} \rightarrow \underbrace{\boldsymbol{C}^{2} /(\boldsymbol{C} \oplus \boldsymbol{C})}_{0}
$$

i.e.

$$
0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0
$$

from which $N \simeq T$.
Thus if $\Lambda \neq \Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}$ then there exists an isogeny $E_{1} \times E_{2} \rightarrow A$ and we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \underbrace{N}_{\Lambda /\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)} \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

and
$N / p N \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow T / p T \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \bmod . p$ the points $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are equal or the line $\overline{q_{1} q_{2}}$ isn' t contained in $Q$.
We have that

$$
\left(\text { degree isogeny } E_{1} \times E_{2} \rightarrow A\right)=\# N
$$

and $p \mid \# N \Leftrightarrow N / p N \neq 0$ («essential» primes for the condition 2) of the Proposition (2.11)). Let's see an example.

Assume that $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ has equation $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}=0$ (conic in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ ). Let $q_{1}=$ $(1,0,1)$ and $q_{2}=(0,1,1)$ (so $q_{1} \neq q_{2}$ for any $p$ ). Only modulo 2 this conic is singular (double line), so $N$ has only elements of order powers of 2 .
(2.13) Remark. - The Prop. (2.11) holds also for $r=2$, so the Proposition (2.7) can be deduced from this.
(2.14) Remark. - We discuss separately the cases when the rank $r$ of $\alpha$ is 2 , 3, 4 (see Lemma (2.6)).

Case $r=2$.
Then $E(\alpha)$ is a 3-plane in $\boldsymbol{P} \wedge^{2} \boldsymbol{Q}^{4}$ given by 2 linear equations and $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a quadric in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ given by a symmetric matrix $F \in M_{4}(\boldsymbol{Z})$. The rank of $F$ can be $0,1,2,3$ or 4 , but the cases 0,1 do not occur (see below), and $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ will be respectively:
$-\operatorname{rk} F=2$, two distinct planes,
$-\operatorname{rk} F=3$, quadric cone,
$-\operatorname{rk} F=4$, smooth quadric.
We have proved above that $\alpha$ is always hyperbolic (see Prop. (2.7)). We can prove easily that $\alpha$ is hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ directly.

If $r k F$ is different from 0,1 , then there exist two distinct rational points, $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, such that the line joining $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is not contained in $Q$ and this also holds modulo $p$ for all primes $p$. In fact we note that $Q \subseteq \boldsymbol{P}^{5}$ is the Grassmannian of the line of $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ and on it there are two rulings of 2 -planes corresponding to all lines throught a point $p \in \boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ and to all lines contained in a 2 -plane $\pi \subseteq \boldsymbol{P}^{3}$, see [H], p. 291. Moreover in $\boldsymbol{P}^{5}$ we have that $\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \cap\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{3} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right)=$ a point $\in$ $\boldsymbol{P}^{5} / \boldsymbol{Q}$, so on $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ there are a lot of distinct rational points that aren't all contained in a line. We conclude that $\alpha$ is hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q}$.

Now we exclude the case that $\mathrm{rk} F=1$, that is, $E(\alpha) \cap \boldsymbol{P}^{5}$ is a double plane. We know that $Q$ is smooth and all smooth quadrics of $\boldsymbol{P}^{5}$ are isomorphic to it. Note that $Q \cap E(\alpha)$, with $E(\alpha) \cong \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ linear space of dim. 2 , is different from $2 \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ (double plane). If in fact, for example, $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is the double plane $\pi$ with equation $x_{4}=x_{5}=0$, the equation of $Q$ will be of the type:

$$
x_{4} \underbrace{l\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right)}_{\text {linear }}+x_{5} \underbrace{l\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right)}_{\text {linear }}=0 .
$$

The matrix associated to $Q$ would be of the type

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{llll|ll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\
* * & * & * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

hence $\operatorname{det} M=0$. But this isn't possible because $Q$ is a smooth quadric and so the determinant of the matrix associated to it is different from 0 . Obviously also $\operatorname{rk} F \neq 0$ and then $\operatorname{rk} F=2$ or 3 or 4 .

Case $r=3$.
$E(\alpha)$ is a 2-plane given by 3 linear equations, thus $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a conic in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ given by a symmetric matrix $F \in M_{3}(\boldsymbol{Z})$.

The rank of $F$ can be $0,1,2$ or 3 .
a) $\operatorname{rk} F=0 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is also $P^{2}$ (that is, $E(\alpha) \subseteq Q$.)

There exist no $q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q \cap E(\alpha)$ such that the line joining $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is not contained in $Q$. We can conclude that $\alpha$ is not hyperbolic.
b) $\operatorname{rk} F=1 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a line $l$ counted twice.

There exist no $q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q \cap E(\alpha)=l$ such that the line joining $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ (which is again $l$ ) is not contained in $Q$. We can concude that $\alpha$ is not hyperbolic.
c) $\operatorname{rk} F=2 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)$ consists of two different lines $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ and the point $l_{1} \cap l_{2}$ is the singular point of $Q \cap E(\alpha)$.

Either the singular point of $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is the unique rational point and so $\alpha$ is not hyperbolic.

For example if $E(\alpha)$ has equations:

$$
x_{23}=x_{01}, \quad x_{02}=0, \quad x_{12}=x_{03}
$$

then $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ has equation $x_{01}^{2}+x_{03}^{2}=0$ i.e. $\left(x_{01}+i x_{03}\right)\left(x_{01}-i x_{03}\right)=0$ and ( $0: 0: 1$ ) is the unique rational point.

Or we have that $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is defined by the product of two linear forms with coefficients in $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Now we have:
$\alpha$ is hyperbolic $\Leftrightarrow$ the 2 lines $l_{1}, l_{2}$ of $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ are distinct modulo $p$ ( $\forall p$ prime).
The implication $\Rightarrow$ is obvious. We have to show the other implication. It is not sufficient to say take a point $q_{1}$ on $l_{1}$ (not on $l_{2}$ ) and a point $q_{2}$ on $l_{2}$ (not on $l_{1}$ ) as it is stated in Ruppert's paper because if, for example, $E(\alpha)$ has equation $x_{02}=$ $x_{03}=x_{12}=0$ and we take the points $\left(x_{01}: x_{23}: x_{13}\right)=(0: 2: 1),(2: 0: 1), l_{1}: x=$ $0, l_{2}: y=0$ and $p=2$, then $\bmod p$ the points are not distinct.

Consider two lines with equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a x+b y+c z=0, \\
a^{\prime} x+b^{\prime} y+c^{\prime} z=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will simplify these equations. In case $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ let $a=d a^{\prime}$ and $b=d b^{\prime}$
where g.c.d. $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=1 \Rightarrow q a^{\prime}+r b^{\prime}=1$. We can consider the transformation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q & r \\
-b^{\prime} & a^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left(\begin{array}{cc}q & r \\ -b^{\prime} & a^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ with determinant 1 .
The equations of the two lines become

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d x+c z=0, \\
a^{\prime} x+b^{\prime} y+c^{\prime} z=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We may assume g.c.d. $(d, c)=1$ and, with an analogous tranformation, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=0, \\
a^{\prime} x+b^{\prime} y+c^{\prime} z=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

With the same trick we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=0, \\
a^{\prime} x+d^{\prime} y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the assumption, for all primes $p$, modulo $p: Z(x=0) \neq Z\left(a^{\prime} x+d^{\prime} y=0\right)$. Thus $\exists p$, s.t. $p \mid d^{\prime} \Rightarrow d^{\prime}= \pm 1$.

Now we have, with $a^{\prime \prime}= \pm a^{\prime}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=0 \\
a^{\prime \prime} x+y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we substitute: $y=-a^{\prime \prime} x+y$ then we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=0 \\
y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $q_{1}=(0: 1: 0)$ in $x=0($ not in $y=0)$, and $q_{2}=(1: 0: 0)$ in $y=0$ (not in $x=$ 0 ), then the line $z=0$ joining $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is not contained in $Z(x y=0)$ modulo $p$ for any $p$.
d) $\mathrm{rk} F=3 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a smooth conic in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$.

It can happen that $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ has no rational points. In this case $\alpha$
is not hyperbolic. For example if $E(\alpha)$ has equations

$$
x_{23}=x_{01}, \quad x_{02}=x_{13}, \quad x_{12}=x_{03},
$$

then $E(\alpha) \cap Q$ has equation $x_{01}^{2}+x_{02}^{2}+x_{03}^{2}=0$.
If the condition 1) of the Prop. (2.11) is satisfied then using the HasseMinkowski theorem we have (see [R], p. 298, for the proof.)
$\alpha$ hyperbolic $\Leftrightarrow \forall p$ divisor of $\operatorname{det} F$,
$\exists$ a rat. not sing. point on $Q \cap E(\alpha) \bmod p$.
Case $r=4$.
$E(\alpha)$ is a 1-plane given by four linear equations and $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a quadric in $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ given by a symmetric matrix $F \in M_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z})$.

The rank of $F$ can be 0,1 or 2 .
a) $\operatorname{rk} F=0 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)=\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \Rightarrow \alpha$ is not hyperbolic.
b) $\operatorname{rk} F=1 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a point counted twice $\Rightarrow \alpha$ is not hyperbolic.
c) $\operatorname{rk} F=2 \Rightarrow Q \cap E(\alpha)=2$ different points.

If $q_{1}$ e $q_{2}$ are rational the condition 1) of the Prop. (2.11) holds. Then we want the condition 2 ) so we have:
$\alpha$ hyperbolic $\Leftrightarrow$ the quadric $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is two distinct rat. points
which are different $\bmod p, \quad \forall$ prime $p$.
The hyperbolicity of $\alpha$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ can be characterized similarly.

## 3. - Applications.

From what we have explained above it follows that Ruppert's method to see when an abelian surface is isomorphic or isogenous to a product of curves, is effective. Given a period matrix of $X$ it's relatively simple to apply it. There is an example in [R], we give another example following an exercise suggested by [LB].
(3.1) Example. - Let $C$ be a projective curve of genus 2 with non trivial reduced automorphism group. Recall that to every smooth projective curve $C$ over $\boldsymbol{C}$ of genus $g$ we can associate an abelian variety $J(C)$ of dimension $g$ called the Jacobian of $C$.

According to O. Bolza, see [Bo], the curve $C$ is isomorphic to one of the following 6 types of curves:

| Type | Equation | Aut $C$ <br> red. aut. <br> gr. of $C$ | $\Pi=(Z, 1)$ <br> Period Mat. of $J(C)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

I $\quad y^{2}=\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}-b^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}-1\right) \quad \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathbf{Z} \quad Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}z & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & z^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$
II $\quad y^{2}=\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}-a^{-2}\right) \quad D_{2} \quad Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}z & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & z\end{array}\right)$
III $\quad y^{2}=x\left(x^{3}-a^{3}\right)\left(x^{3}-a^{-3}\right) \quad D_{3} \quad Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 z & z \\ z & 2 z\end{array}\right)$

IV $y^{2}=x^{6}-1 \quad D_{6} \quad Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{2 i}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{2 i}{\sqrt{3}}\end{array}\right)$
V $y^{2}=x\left(x^{4}-1\right) \quad \sigma_{4} \quad Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{-1+i \sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1+i \sqrt{2}}{2}\end{array}\right)$
VI $\quad y^{2}=x\left(x^{5}-1\right)$
$\boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\varepsilon^{4} & -\varepsilon^{2}-\varepsilon^{4} \\
-\varepsilon^{2}-\varepsilon^{4} & \varepsilon
\end{array}\right) \\
& \operatorname{con} \varepsilon=e\left(\frac{2 \pi i}{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that all types, except VI, are a specialization of type $I$.
Using Ruppert's method we want to show that:
a) If $C$ is of type I, its Jacobian $J$ is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves. In general, for example if $1, z, z^{\prime}, z z^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$, $J$ is not isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.
b) If $C$ is of type II, its Jacobian $J$ is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves if and only if $z$ is contained in some imaginary quadratic field.
c) If $C$ is of type III, IV or V its Jacobian $J$ is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.
d) If $C$ is of type VI, its Jacobian $J$ is a simple abelian surface.
a)

$$
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
z & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & z^{\prime} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we call the columns of $\Pi \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}$, basis of $\Lambda$ in function of $e_{1}, e_{2}$ basis of $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$, we have that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{4}+z z^{\prime}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=-z^{\prime} \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)=z, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)=1
\end{array}
$$

They generate

$$
\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{2}+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot z+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot\left(-z^{\prime}\right)+\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{4}+z z^{\prime}\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow \operatorname{rk} \alpha \leqslant 4$.
If $1 / 2, z,-z^{\prime},-1 / 4+z z^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ then $r=4$. Consider the decomposition of $\alpha$ :

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1} \cdot \underbrace{1 / 2}_{y_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \cdot \underbrace{z}_{y_{2}}+\alpha_{3} \cdot \underbrace{\left(-z^{\prime}\right)}_{y_{3}}+\alpha_{4} \cdot \underbrace{\left(-1 / 4+z z^{\prime}\right)}_{y_{4}} .
$$

To obtain the equations of $E(\alpha)$ we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\alpha\left(n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}+n_{3} \lambda_{3}+n_{4} \lambda_{4}, m_{1} \lambda_{1}+m_{2} \lambda_{2}+m_{3} \lambda_{3}+m_{4} \lambda_{4}\right)= \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}_{y_{4}}+\left(n_{1} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{-y_{1}}+ \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{2}}+\left(n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{y_{3}}+ \\
&\left(n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{1}}+\left(n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{2 y_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\left(-n_{1} m_{3}+n_{3} m_{1}+n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}+2 n_{3} m_{4}-2 n_{4} m_{3}\right) y_{1}+ \\
& +\left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) y_{2}+\left(n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) y_{3}+\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) y_{4}= \\
& \left(-p_{13}+p_{24}+2 p_{34}\right) y_{1}+p_{14} y_{2}+p_{23} y_{3}+p_{12} y_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the indices are $1,2,3,4$ instead of $0,1,2,3$.
$Q \cap E(\alpha)$ has equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{13}=x_{24}+2 x_{34} \\
x_{12}=x_{14}=x_{23}=0 \\
x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which $2 x_{34} x_{24}+x_{24}^{2}=0$ which is a quadric in $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$.
In char 2 it is $x_{24}^{2}=0$ i.e. a double point then $\alpha$ is not hyperbolic. But $\alpha$ is hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ then $X$ is isogeneous to a product of elliptic curves.

We note that if $1 / 2, z,-z^{\prime}, 1 / 4-z z^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ then also $1, z, z^{\prime}, z z^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ hence, in general, $X$ is not isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.
b)

$$
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
z & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & z & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using what we have seen in $a$ ) and putting $z=z^{\prime}$ we find:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{4}+z^{2}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=z \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)=z, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)=1
\end{array}
$$

If $1 / 2, z,-1 / 4+z^{2}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$, then $r=3$ and we can consider the decomposition:

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1} \cdot \underbrace{1 / 2}_{y_{1}}+a_{2} \cdot \underbrace{(z)}_{y_{2}}+\alpha_{3} \cdot \underbrace{\left(-1 / 4+z^{2}\right)}_{y_{3}} .
$$

If $A \in M_{4}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ is the matrix associated to $\alpha$, to obtain the equations of $E(\alpha)$ we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\alpha_{1}(u, v) y_{1}+\alpha_{2}(u, v) y_{2}+\alpha_{3}(u, v) y_{3}, \\
& \alpha(u, v)={ }^{t} u A v=\left({ }^{t} u A_{1} v\right) y_{1}+\left({ }^{t} u A_{2} v\right) y_{2}+\left({ }^{t} u A_{3} v\right) y_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\alpha\left(n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}+n_{3} \lambda_{3}+n_{4} \lambda_{4}, m_{1} \lambda_{1}+m_{2} \lambda_{2}+m_{3} \lambda_{3}+m_{4} \lambda_{4}\right)= \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}_{y_{3}}+\left(n_{1} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{-y_{1}}+ \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{2}}+\left(n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{y_{2}}+ \\
&\left(n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{1}}+\left(n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{2 y_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(u, v)=\left(-n_{1} m_{3}+\right. & \left.n_{3} m_{1}+n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}+2 n_{3} m_{4}-2 n_{4} m_{3}\right) y_{1}+ \\
& \left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}+n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) y_{2}+\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) y_{3}= \\
& \left(-p_{13}+p_{24}+2 p_{34}\right) y_{1}+\left(p_{14}+p_{23}\right) y_{2}+p_{12} y_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a quadric in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{14}=-x_{23} \\
x_{12}=0 \\
x_{13}=x_{24}+2 x_{34}, \\
x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow x_{24}^{2}+2 x_{34} x_{24}+x_{23}^{2}=0(\mathrm{rg} F=3)$.
In char 2 we have $x_{24}^{2}+x_{23}^{2}=\left(x_{24}+x_{23}\right)^{2}$ double line.
$\Rightarrow X$ is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves, but $X$ is not isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.

Moreover $z$ is contained in a imaginary quadratic field $\Leftrightarrow z=x+y \sqrt{-d}$ with $d>0, x, y \in \boldsymbol{Q} \Leftrightarrow z$ is a root of an equation of degree 2 with coefficients over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ irreducible in $\boldsymbol{Q}$.
$a z^{2}+b z+c=0$ with $a, b, c \in \boldsymbol{Q}$ and $a \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow z^{2}+(b / a) z+(d / a)=0$ if and only if $-1 / 4+z^{2}=-(b / a) z-c / a-1 / 4 \Leftrightarrow-1 / 4+z^{2}$ is a linear combination of 1 and $z \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rk} \alpha=2 \Leftrightarrow \alpha$ is hyperbolic $\Leftrightarrow X$ is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.
c) We consider the type III, types IV and V are analogous.

Consider $z=a+i b$ with $b \neq 0$ i. e. $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 z & z & 1 & 0 \\
z & 2 z & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
\begin{cases}\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=-z^{2}+4 z^{2}=3 z^{2}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=-2 z, \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)=-z, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)=z \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)=2 z, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)=1 .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $1, z, z^{2}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ then $\operatorname{rk} \alpha=3$.
We can consider the decomposition of $\alpha$ :

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{y_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \cdot \underbrace{(z)}_{y_{2}}+\alpha_{3} \cdot \underbrace{z^{2}}_{y_{3}} .
$$

If $A \in M_{4}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ is the matrix associated to $\alpha$ to obtain the equations of $E(\alpha)$ we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\alpha_{1}(u, v) y_{1}+\alpha_{2}(u, v) y_{2}+\alpha_{3}(u, v) y_{3}, \\
& \left.\left.\alpha(u, v)={ }^{t} u A v={ }^{t} u A_{1} v\right) y_{1}+\left({ }^{t} u A_{2} v\right) y_{2}+{ }^{t} u A_{3} v\right) y_{3}, \\
& \alpha(u, v)=\alpha\left(n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}+n_{3} \lambda_{3}+n_{4} \lambda_{4}, m_{1} \lambda_{1}+m_{2} \lambda_{2}+m_{3} \lambda_{3}+m_{4} \lambda_{4}\right)= \\
& \quad\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}_{3 y_{3}}+\left(n_{1} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{-y_{2}}+ \\
& \left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{2 y_{2}}+\left(n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{-2 y_{2}}+ \\
& \left(n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{2}}+\left(n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\left(n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) y_{1}+ \\
& \quad\left(-n_{1} m_{3}+n_{3} m_{1}+2 n_{1} m_{4}-2 n_{4} m_{1}-2 n_{2} m_{3}+2 n_{3} m_{2}+n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}\right) y_{2}+ \\
& \quad\left(3 n_{1} m_{2}-3 n_{2} m_{1}\right) y_{3}=p_{34} y_{1}+\left(-p_{13}+2 p_{14}-2 p_{23}+p_{24}\right) y_{2}+3 p_{12} y_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q \cap E(\alpha)$ is a quadric in $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ of equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{34}=x_{12}=0 \\
x_{13}=2 x_{14}-2 x_{23}+x_{24} \\
x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow-2 x_{14} x_{24}+2 x_{23} x_{24}-x_{24}^{2}+x_{14} x_{23}=0$ (rk $F=3$ always).
$\Rightarrow \alpha$ is hyperbolic $\Rightarrow X$ is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.
Moreover if $1, z, z^{2}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ (i.e. $z^{2}$ linear combination of 1 and $z) \Rightarrow \operatorname{rk} \alpha=2 \Rightarrow \alpha$ is hyperbolic $\Rightarrow X$ is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.
d)

$$
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-\varepsilon^{4} & -\varepsilon^{2}-\varepsilon^{4} & 1 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon^{2}-\varepsilon^{4} & \varepsilon & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon=e(2 \pi i / 5)=e^{2 \pi i / 5}$.

Note that

$$
\varepsilon^{5}=\left(e^{2 \pi i / 5}\right)^{5}=e^{2 \pi i}=1
$$

from which

$$
\varepsilon^{5}-1=(\varepsilon-1)\left(\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon+1\right)=0 .
$$

Since $\varepsilon-1 \neq 0$ we have that $\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon+1=0$ from which $\varepsilon^{2}=-\varepsilon^{4}-$ $\varepsilon^{3}-\varepsilon-1$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=-\varepsilon^{4}-\varepsilon^{3}-\varepsilon-1=\varepsilon^{2}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=-\varepsilon \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)=\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)=-\varepsilon^{2}-\varepsilon^{4} \\
\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)=1-\varepsilon^{4}=-\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\right)+\varepsilon^{2}+1, & \alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)=1
\end{array}
$$

If $1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ then $\operatorname{rk} \alpha=4$.
We can consider the decomposition of $\alpha$ :

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1} \cdot{\underset{y y}{y_{1}}}_{1}^{+}+\alpha_{2} \cdot{\underset{y y}{2}}_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}+\alpha_{3} \cdot \underbrace{\varepsilon^{2}}_{y_{3}}+\alpha_{4} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\right)}_{y_{4}} .
$$

To obtain the equations of $E(\alpha)$ we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(u, v)=\alpha\left(n_{1} \lambda_{1}+n_{2} \lambda_{2}+n_{3} \lambda_{3}+n_{4} \lambda_{4}, m_{1} \lambda_{1}+m_{2} \lambda_{2}+m_{3} \lambda_{3}+m_{4} \lambda_{4}\right)= \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}_{y_{3}}+\left(n_{1} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{y_{4}}+ \\
&\left(n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{1}+y_{3}-y_{4}}+\left(n_{2} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}_{-y_{2}}+ \\
&\left(n_{2} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{2}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{-y_{4}}+\left(n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) \underbrace{\alpha\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)}_{y_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(u, v)=\left(n_{1} m_{4}\right. & \left.-n_{4} m_{1}+n_{3} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{3}\right) y_{1}+ \\
& \left(-n_{2} m_{3}+n_{3} m_{2}\right) y_{2}+\left(n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}+n_{1} m_{4}-n_{4} m_{1}\right) y_{3}+ \\
& \left(n_{1} m_{3}-n_{3} m_{1}-n_{1} m_{4}+n_{4} m_{1}-n_{2} m_{4}+n_{4} m_{2}\right) y_{4}= \\
& \left(p_{14}+p_{34}\right) y_{1}+\left(-p_{23}\right) y_{2}+\left(p_{12}+p_{14}\right) y_{3}+\left(p_{13}-p_{14}-p_{24}\right) y_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q \cap E(\alpha)$ has equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{14}=-x_{34} \\
x_{23}=0 \\
x_{12}=-x_{14} \\
x_{13}=x_{14}+x_{24} \\
x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which $x_{14}^{2}-x_{14} x_{24}-x_{24}^{2}=0$ which is a quadric in $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$.
If we call $x_{14}=x, x_{24}=y$ and $t=y / x$ we find the equation $-t^{2}-t+1=0$ with coefficients over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ which doesn't have roots in $\boldsymbol{Q} \Rightarrow$ the quadric $Q \cap E(\alpha)$ doesn't have rational points $\Rightarrow \alpha$ is not hyperbolic and $\alpha$ is not hyperbolic over $\boldsymbol{Q} \Rightarrow X$ is not isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves and $X$ is not isogenous to a product of elliptic curves, but $X$ is a simple abelian surface.
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