
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

LEONARD CARLITZ

A note on Dougall's theorem.

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 19
(1964), n.3, p. 266–269.

Zanichelli

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1964_3_19_3_266_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

A note on Dougall's theorem

by LEONARD CARLITZ (a Durham, North Carolina, U. S. A.) (*)

Summary. - *The writer shows that Dougall's theorem on the sum of an ${}_7F_6$ is equivalent to the series transformation (9) below. Some special cases are discussed also.*

It is familiar that Saalschütz's theorem

$$(1) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, a, b \\ c, d \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(c-a)_n(c-b)_n}{(c)_n(c-a-b)_n},$$

where

$$(2) \quad c + d = a + b - n + 1,$$

is equivalent to Euler's formula

$$(3) \quad F(c-a, c-b; c; z) = (1-z)^{a+b-c} F(a, b; c; z).$$

Thus it is of some interest to find a series transformation that is equivalent to Dougall's theorem [1, p. 26]

$$(4) \quad {}_7F_6 \left[\begin{matrix} a, 1+\frac{1}{2}a, & b, & c, & d, & e, & -m: \\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d, 1+a-e, 1+a+m \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \frac{(1+a)_m(1+a-c-d)_m(1+a-b-d)_m(1+a-b-c)_m}{(1+a-b)_m(1+a-c)_m(1+a-d)_m(1+a-b-c-d)_m},$$

(*) Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell'U. M. I. il 25 marzo 1964.

where

$$(5) \quad 1 + 2a = b + c + d + e - m.$$

To begin with, we replace e by $e + m$. Then (4) becomes

$$(6) \quad {}_7F_6 \left[\begin{matrix} a, 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, & b, & c, & d, & e+m & -m ; \\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d, 1+a-e-m & 1+a+m \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \frac{(1+a)_m(1+a-c-d)_m(1+a-b-d)_m(1+a-b-c)_m}{(1+a-b)_m(1+a-c)_m(1+a-d)_m(1+a-b-c-d)_m}$$

where now

$$(7) \quad 1 + 2a = b + c + d + e.$$

Next since

$$(1+a-e-m)_r = (-1)^r \frac{(e-a)_m}{(e-a)_{m-r}}$$

and by (7)

$$e - a = 1 + a - b - c - d,$$

(6) becomes

$$(8) \quad \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{(a)_r \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}a\right)_r (b)_r (c)_r (d)_r (e)_m + (e-a)_{m-r}}{r! (m-r)! \left(\frac{1}{2}a\right)_r (1+a-b)_r (1+a-c)_r (1+a-d)_r (1+a)_{m+r}} \\ = \frac{(e)_m (1+a-c-d)_m (1+a-b-d)_m (1+a-b-c)_m}{m! (1+a-b)_m (1+a-c)_m (1+a-d)_m}.$$

If we multiply both sides of (8) by x^m and sum over m we get

$$(9) \quad {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} e, 1+a-c-d, 1+a-b-d, 1+a-b-c ; x \\ 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a-d \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r (b)_r (c)_r (d)_r (e)_{2r}}{r! (1+a-b)_r (1+a-c)_r (1+a-d)_r (a)_{2r}} x^r \\ \cdot F(e+2r, e-a; 1+a+2r; x,$$

where of course (7) it assumed to hold.

If in (9) we replace d, e by $1+a-d, 1+a-e$ and let $a \rightarrow \infty$, the left member becomes

$$F(d-b, d-c; d; x),$$

while the right member becomes

$$(1-x)^{-1+\epsilon} F(b, c; d; x).$$

Since (7) is now

$$1-e = b+c-d,$$

it is clear that we have (3). On the other hand, if in (9) we replace c, d by $1+a-c, 1+a-d$ and let $a \rightarrow \infty$. we get

$$(10) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} e, d-b, c-b \\ c, d \end{matrix} ; x \right] = (1-x)^{-e} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{(b)_r (e)_{2r}}{r! (c)_r (d)_r} \frac{x^r}{(1-x)^{2r}},$$

where now

$$(11) \quad 1+b+e=c+d.$$

We may rewrite (10) in the form

$$(12) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} e, 1+e-c, 1+e-d \\ c, d \end{matrix} ; x \right] = \\ = (1-x)^{-e} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} e, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} e, c+d-1-e \\ e, d \end{matrix} ; -\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2} \right]$$

a result due to Whipple [3, (7.1)]. We remark that when $c=d=1$, $e=-a$ and $x \rightarrow -1$, (10) reduces to

$$(13) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{a}{r}^2 = 2^a \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{-1-a}{r} \binom{\frac{1}{2} a}{r} \binom{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} a}{r}.$$

As observed by Bailey [2, p. 497] (12) is a consequence of Saalschütz's theorem.

If in (9) we replace c by $c-d$ and let $d \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$(14) \quad F(e, e-a+b; 1+a-b; x)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r (b)_r (e)_{2r}}{r! (1+a-b)_r (a)_{2r}} x^r F(e+2r, e-a; 1+a+2r; x).$$

On the other hand, if we replace d by $d-e$ and x by x/e^e and then let $e \rightarrow 0$, the left member of (9) reduces to

$$_1F_2(1+a-b-c; 1+a-b, 1+a-c; x),$$

while the right member reduces to

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{(a)_r (b)_r (c)_r}{r! (1+a-b)_r (1+a-c)_r (a)_{2r}} x^r {}_0F_1(1+a+2r; x).$$

The condition (7) now reduces to

$$(15) \quad 1+2a = b+c+d.$$

However, since the parameter d no longer appears, (15) may be disregarded and we get

$$(16) \quad {}_1F_2(1+a-b-c; 1+a-b, 1+a-c; x)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{(a)_r (b)_r (c)_r}{r! (1+a-b)_r (1+a-c)_r (a)_{2r}} x^r {}_0F_1(1+a+2r; x).$$

Incidentally (16) is equivalent to [1, p. 25]

$$(17) \quad {}_5F_4 \left[\begin{matrix} a, 1+\frac{1}{2}a, & b, & c, & -m; \\ & \frac{1}{2}a, 1+a-b, 1+a-c, 1+a+m \end{matrix} \right] =$$

$$= \frac{(1+a)_m (1+a-b-c)_m}{(1+a-b)_m (1+a-c)_m};$$

indeed it is not difficult to verify that (14) is also equivalent to (17).

REFERENCES

- [1] W. N. BAILEY, *Generalized hypergeometric series*, Cambridge, 1935.
- [2] W. N. BAILEY, *Transformation of generalized hypergeometric series* Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (2), vol. 29 (1929), pp. 495-502.
- [3] F. J. W. WHIPPLE, *Some transformations of generalized hypergeometric series*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Journal (2), vol. 26 (1927), pp. 257-272.