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## SEZIONE STORICO-DIDATTICA

A simple procedure for the determination of the axes of symmetry and metrical elements of the conics

by D. S. Mitrinović (Belgrade) (*)

Summary. - See the Note on the end of this paper.

## 1. Central Conics

The general form of the equation of the second degree curve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x^{2}+2 B x y+C y^{2}+2 D x+2 E y+F=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second degree curves with a center, we have

$$
\delta=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{1.2}\\
B & C
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

The equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& A x_{0}+B y_{0}+D=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& B x_{0}+C y_{0}+E=0
\end{align*}
$$

under hypothesis (1.2), have an unique solution for $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$. The point $O\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is the center of the curve (1.1). If a transformation of coordinates is carried out such that the new origin coincides with the point $O$, and if we denote the new system coordinates again with $x$ and $y$, the equation (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x^{2}+2 B x y+C y^{2}=F^{\prime} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(*) Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell' U. M. I. il $2 \overline{\text { an }}$ novembre 1963.
where

$$
F^{\prime}=-\frac{\Delta}{\delta}\left(\Delta=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B & D  \tag{1.5}\\
B & C & E \\
D & E & F
\end{array}\right|\right)
$$

If $\Delta=0 \Rightarrow F^{\prime}=0$, the equation (1.1) represents either two straight-lines or a point. Therefore, we shall assume that $\Delta \neq 0$.

If $B=0$, all the necessary elements can be found directly from (1.4). Therefore, we shall assume that $B \neq 0$.

Let us prove that the equation (1.4) can be given in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(y-\alpha x)^{2}+\mu(y-\beta x)^{2}=1, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta=-1 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the equation (1.4) with (1.6), we shall obtain the following set of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda x^{2}+\mu \beta^{2}=A / F^{\prime}  \tag{1.8}\\
\lambda \alpha+\mu \beta=-B / F^{\prime}  \tag{1.9}\\
\lambda+\mu=C / F^{\prime} \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

By eliminating $\lambda$ and $\mu$ from the equations (1.8) (1.9), and (1.10), we shall obtain

$$
\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
\alpha^{2} & \beta^{2} & A \\
\alpha & \beta & -B \\
1 & 1 & C
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

By making use of the equality (1.7), then it follows that $\alpha \neq \beta$, we find, in turn, that

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccr}
\alpha^{2} & \alpha+\beta & A  \tag{1.11}\\
\alpha & 1 & -B \\
1 & 0 & C
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccr}
1 & \alpha+\beta & A \\
0 & 1 & -B \\
1 & 0 & C
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\beta=-\frac{A-C}{B}=-\varkappa \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the (1.7) and (1.13), we can conclude that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the roots of the following quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}+x z-1=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The roots of this equation are real having different signs. Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>0, \quad \beta<0 . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (1.9) and (1.10) have a unique solution for $\lambda$ and $\mu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\frac{B+C \beta}{F^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)}, \quad \mu=\frac{B+C \alpha}{F^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)} . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \mu=\frac{\delta}{\left(4+x^{2}\right) \overrightarrow{F^{\prime 2}}} . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have proved that the equation (1.4) may, indeed, be given in the form (1.6) if $\Delta \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$. The coustants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are determined from (1.14), whereas $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are obtained from (1.16).

If the axes are turned through the angle $\theta=\arctan \alpha$ $(0<\theta<\pi / 2)$, the equation (1.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right) \xi^{2}+\mu\left(\beta^{2}+1\right) \eta^{2}=1 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ and $n$ are new coordinates.
Thus, the straight-lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\alpha x \text { and } y=\beta x \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the axes of the curve (1.4).
According to (1.18), (117), (1.10), (1.5) we can assert that the equation (1.1) determines
$1^{0}$ - An ellipse, provided $\delta>0$ and $C \Delta<0 ;$
$2^{0}-\mathrm{A}$ hyperbola, provided $\delta<0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$ :
$3^{0}$ - A set of two straight-lines intersecting each other, provided $\delta<0$ and $\Delta=0$;

$$
4^{0}-\text { A point, provided } \delta>0 \text { and } \Delta=0
$$

The equation (1.1) has no geometrical interpretation in the real domain if $\delta>0$ and $C \Delta>0$.

Although the preceding discussion has been made under assumption that $B \neq 0$, it is easy to see that it holds good also when $B=0$.

The squares of the lengths of semi-axes of the curve (1.1) are determined by means of the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm a^{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda\left(x^{2}+1\right)}, \quad \pm b^{2}=\frac{1}{\mu\left(\beta^{2}+1\right)} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Non-Central Conies

For these curves, we have

$$
\delta \equiv\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{2.1}\\
B & C
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Provided that $A$ or $C$ are equal to zero, then $B=0$, and it is seen directly that the equation (1.1) represents a parabola, and its axis, vertex, and principal parameter of latus rectum can be determined directly. Therefore, we shall assume that $A C \neq 0$.

If we write $C=B^{9} / A$, the equation (1.1) ca be given in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A x+B y)^{2}+A(2 D x+2 E y+F)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, instead of (2.2), we can write
(2.3) $(A x+B y+\lambda)^{2}=2 A(\lambda-D) x+2(B \lambda-A E) y+\lambda^{2}-A F$,
where $\lambda$ is a constant which we shall determine in such a way that the straight-lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x+B y+\lambda=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A(\lambda-D) x+2(B \lambda-A E) y+\lambda^{2}-A F=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are perpendicular to each other. The condition of perpendicularity of these straight-lines is as follows

$$
A^{2}(\lambda-D)+B(\lambda B-A E)=0
$$

whence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{A(A D+B E)}{A^{z}+B^{z}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for this value of $\lambda$, the lines (2.4) and (2.5) are perpendicular to each other, and since the equation (2.3) expresses the fact that the distance of the point ( $x, y$ ) from the line (2.5) is proportional to the square of the distance of the same point from the line (2.4), we can conclude that (2.3) is the equation of a parabola.

The axis of this parabola is the straight-line (2.4), the straight-line (2.5) being its tangent at the principal rertex. If $\lambda^{2}-A F>0$, the parabola lies on the side of the line (2.5) where the origin is located. But if $\lambda^{2}-A F<0$, the parabola is on the other side of the said straight-line.

There is one exception, namely, when the coefficients beside $x$ and $y$ in (2.5) are equal to zero. Then. if $\lambda=D$, we obtain

$$
A^{2} D+A B E-\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) D \Rightarrow B(B D-A E)=0
$$

and the equation (2.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A x+B y+D)^{2}=D^{2}-A F \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if $\delta=0$ and $B \neq 0$, the equation (1.1) represents
$1^{0}$ - A parabola, provided $B D-A E \neq 0$;
$2^{0}$ - Two parallel straight-lines, provided $B D-A E=0$ and $D^{2}-A F>0$;
$3^{0}-\mathrm{A}$ double straight-line, provided $B D-A E=0$ and $D^{2}-A F=0$.

The equation (1.1) has no sense in the real domain when

$$
\delta=0, B \neq 0, B D-A E=0 \text { and } D^{2}-A F<0
$$

If $B=0$, the preceding discussion does not hold good.
In the case $1^{0}$ the parabola's parameter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{\sqrt{A^{2}(\lambda-D)^{2}+(B \lambda-A E)^{2}}}{A^{2}+B^{2}}=\frac{|A(A E-B D)|}{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Examples

Example 1. - For the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 x^{2}+2 x y+3 y^{2}+6 x-2 y-5=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\delta=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
3 & 1 \\
1 & 3
\end{array}\right|=8, \quad \Delta=\left|\begin{array}{ccr}
3 & 1 & 3 \\
1 & 3-1 \\
3-1-5
\end{array}\right|=-76
$$

Since $\delta \neq 0$, the curve has a center, the coordinates of which are $x_{0}=-\frac{5}{4}, y_{0}=\frac{3}{4}$. In this case, $x=0, F^{\prime}=\frac{19}{2}$ and the equation (1.4) is as follows

$$
3 x^{2}+2 x y+3 y^{2}=\frac{19}{2}
$$

The constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are determined from the equation

$$
z^{2}-1=0 \Rightarrow \alpha=1, \beta=-1
$$

Thus, the axes of the curve (3.1) are the straight-lines

$$
y-\frac{3}{4}=x+\frac{5}{4}, \quad y-\frac{3}{4}=-\left(x+\frac{5}{4}\right)
$$

i. e.,

$$
y-x=2, \quad y+x=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

Then, according to (1.16), $\lambda=\frac{2}{19}, \mu=\frac{4}{19}$. Therefore, the given curve is an ellipse whose semi-axes are determined by the relations

$$
a^{2}=\frac{19}{4}, \quad b^{2}=\frac{19}{8}
$$

Example 2. - Let us consider the curve whose equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
9 x^{2}-24 x y+16 y^{2}-16 x-12 y-4=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here. we have $\delta=0, B D-A E=150$. Therefore, the given curve is a parabola. According to (2.6), we find that $\lambda=0$. By using the equations (2.4) and (2.0), we can conclude that the straight-line

$$
9 x-12 y=0, \quad \text { i. e.. } \quad 3 x=4 y
$$

is the axis of the parabola (2.3), and the line

$$
4 x+3 y+1=0
$$

being its tangent at the vertex. From (2.8), we find that the parameter of this parabola is $p=\frac{2}{5}$. Since $\lambda^{2}-A F=36>0$ the parabola (3.2) and the origin are located on the same side of the straight-line $4 x+3 y+1=0$.

## 4. Note

In this paper. we have discussed a classic chapter of Analy. tical Geometry. In literature there exist a number of procedures referring to the problems discussed above. We have been uuable to establish whether the procedure outlined in our paper for Central Conics is a new procedure, but it is certainly a brief and simple procedure, and can be used with some advantage in teaching Analytical Geometry.

For Non-Central Conics, the procedure presented in this paper is not a new one, but still there are some new details in it. See A. Geary - H. V. Lowry - H. A. Hayden :

Advanced Mathematics for Technical Students, part I, London 1948, p. 213.
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