
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

LEONARD CARLITZ

A note on power series with integral coefficients.

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 19
(1964), n.1, p. 1–3.

Zanichelli

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1964_3_19_1_1_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

SEZIONE SCIENTIFICA

BREVI NOTE

A note on power series with integral coefficients

by LEONARD CARLITZ (a Durham, U. S. A.) (*) (**)

Summary. - A criterion is obtained for the solvability in integers $a_0 = 1$, $a_2, a_3, \dots$ of the system

$$na_n = \sum_{r=1}^n b_r a_{n-r} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$ are given integers.

Consider the system of equations

$$(1) \quad na_n = \sum_{r=1}^n b_r a_{n-r} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

with $a_0 = 1$. If the a_n are arbitrary integers it is evident the b_n are also integers. However the converse is not always true.

If we put

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad g(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_r x^r$$

it is evident that (1) is equivalent to

$$(2) \quad x \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = g(x).$$

Thus if $f(x)$ is a power series with integral coefficients, its logarithmic derivative also has integral coefficients but the converse is not always true.

In the second place consider the system

$$(3) \quad b_n = \sum_{r|n} rc_r \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

If the c_n are arbitrary integers it is clear that the b_n are also integers but the converse is not always true.

(*) Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell'U. M. I. il 3 settembre 1963.

(**) Supported by NSF grant G 16485.

We shall now show that the systems (1), (3) are related in the following way.

THEOREM 1. - *Given the integers $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$. The a_n determined by (1) are integral if and only if the c_n determined by (3) are integral.*

The proof of the theorem depends on the the following device employed by Schur [1]. If $a_0 = 1, a_1, a_2, \dots$ are a sequence of integers then the numbers $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots$ determined by

$$(4) \quad \sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^n = \prod_1^{\infty} (1 - x^r)^{c_r},$$

are also integers and conversely. Since

$$a_1 = -c_1, \quad a_2 = \binom{c_1}{2} - c_2, \quad a_3 = -\binom{c_1}{3} + c_1 c_2 - c_3,$$

and so on, the above statement follows at once.

Now by logarithmic differentiation of (4) we get

$$\frac{\sum_0^{\infty} n a_n x^n}{\sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^n} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{r c_r x^r}{1 - x^r} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{r/n} r c_r.$$

If we put

$$b_n = \sum_{r/n} r c_r,$$

this becomes

$$\sum_0^{\infty} n a_n x^n = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^n \sum_1^{\infty} b_r x^r,$$

which is equivalent to (1).

The theorem is now immediate. For if the b_n are given integers and the a_n are also integers, then by the remark concerning (4) the c_n are integers. Conversely if the c_n are integers the a_n

A familiar criterion that the c_n in (3) are integral is furnished by

$$(5) \quad \sum_{rs=n} \mu(r) b_s \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. Combining this with THEOREM 1 we get

THEOREM 2. — *Given the integers $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$, the a_n determined by (1) are integral if and only if the b_n satisfy (5).*

REFERENCE

- [1] I. SCHUR, Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Potenzsummen einer algebraischen Gleichung, *Compositio Math.*, vol. 4(1937), pp. 432-444.