
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

B. N. SAHNEY

On the (H, p) summability of Fourier Series.

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 16
(1961), n.2, p. 156–163.

Zanichelli

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1961_3_16_2_156_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

On the (H, p) summability of Fourier Series.

Nota di B. N. SAHNEY (a Sagar, India) (*)

Summary. - *Siddiqui, Hille and Tamarkin have applied harmonic summability method to Fourier Series. Here the author has defined a new process of summation, of Fourier Series, which generalises the harmonic summability introduced for the first time by Riesz.*

1. Let

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nt, \quad \Phi(0) = 0.$$

be the FOURIER Series associated with an even function $\varphi(t)$ which is integrable in the sense of LEBESGUE over the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ and defined outside this range by periodicity with period 2π .

We write

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\varphi(u)| du.$$

DEFINITION. - If $s_n(t)$ be the n -th partial sum of the series (1.1), then we say that the FOURIER Series (1.1) is summable (H, p) to the sum zero at the point $t = 0$, if

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma_n(p, t) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ s_{n-k}(t) / \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}}{(\log)^p n}$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where p is a positive integer.

This generalises the Harmonic Summability of FOURIER Series, introduced by RIESZ [1]. HILLE and TAMARKIN [2] proved the following theorem of which a simple proof was later given by SIDDIQUI [3].

THEOREM H-T. - If

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(t) = o\left(t/\log \frac{1}{t}\right)$$

(*) Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell' U. M. I. il 13 marzo 1961.

then the Fourier Series (1.1) is summable by H rmonic means - $(H, 1)$ to the sum zero at the point $t = 0$.

We shall prove the following:

THEOREM I. - If

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi(t) = O\left(t \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} \left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \frac{1}{t} \right\} dt\right)$$

then the Fourier Series (1.1) is summable (H, p) to the sum zero at the point $t = 0$.

Theorem I reduces to Theorem H-T when $p = 1$.

2. Transformation. - Since the n -th partial sum of the FOURIER Series (1.1) is given by

$$(2.1) \quad s_n(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt.$$

Hence, $\sigma_n(p, t)$, the (H, p) transform of (2.1), by (1.3), is

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma_n(p, t) = \int_0^\pi \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(\log)^n} \frac{\sin(n-k)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q(k+1)} \right\}} dt \\ = \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) H_n(p, t) dt, \text{ say.}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad H_n(p, t) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \frac{1}{(\log)^p n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(n-k)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q(k+1)} \right\}}.$$

3. We shall require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. - If $H_n(p, t)$ is defined as in (2.3), then for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad H_n(p, t) = O(n).$$

PROOF. - Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad H_n(p, t) &= \frac{1}{\pi t \{(\log)^p n\}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(n-k)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t(\log)^p n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{O(n-k)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}}, \text{ since } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= O(n) \frac{1}{\{(\log)^p n\}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}} \\
 &= O(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma, which generalises Lemma 3 due to SHARMA [4].

LEMMA 2. - For $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \delta$,

$$(3.3) \quad H_n(p, t) = O\left(\frac{1}{t \{(\log)^p n\}}\right) \left\{1 + (\log)^p \frac{1}{t}\right\}$$

where δ is some positive constant.

PROOF. - We have from HARDY-ROGOSINSKY [5] and TITCHMARSH [6]

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(k+1)t}{(k+1)} \right| = O(1),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\cos(k+1)t}{(k+1)} \right| = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad H_n(p, t) &= \frac{1}{\pi t \{(\log)^p n\}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(n-k)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}} \\
 &= \frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\pi t \{(\log)^p n\}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\cos(k+1)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\cos(n+1)t}{\pi t \{(\log)^p n\}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(k+1)t}{\left\{ \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^q(k+1) \right\}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O\left(\frac{\left\{\frac{1}{t}(\log)^p \frac{1}{t}\right\}}{t \mid (\log)^p n \mid}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{t \mid (\log)^p n \mid}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t \mid (\log)^p n \mid}\right)\left(1 + (\log)^p \frac{1}{t}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 3. - If $0 < \delta \leq t \leq \pi$

$$(3.7) \quad H_n(p, t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\mid (\log)^p n \mid}\right).$$

PROOF. - Since

$$(3.8) \quad H_n(p, t) = \frac{1}{\pi t \mid (\log)^p n \mid} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(n-k)t}{\left\{\frac{p-1}{\prod_{q=0}^p (\log)^q(k+1)}\right\}}.$$

By applying ABEL's transformation [ZYGmund [7]] we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad H_n(p, t) &= \frac{1}{\pi t \mid (\log)^p n \mid} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^k \sin(n-r)t \right\} \left\{ \Delta \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{\prod_{q=0}^p (\log)^q(k+1)}} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi t \mid (\log)^p n \mid} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \frac{\cos t/2 - \cos\left(n - k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin t/2} \right\} \\
 &\quad \left\{ \Delta \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{\prod_{q=0}^p (\log)^q(k+1)}} \right\} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{\mid (\log)^p n \mid}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n O(1) \left[O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) + o(1) \right] \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{\mid (\log)^p n \mid}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. Proof of Theorem I. - By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad \sigma_n(p, t) &= \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) H_n(p, t) dt \\
 &= \left(\int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\delta + \int_\delta^\pi \right) \varphi(t) H_n(p, t) dt \\
 &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad I_1 &= \int_0^{1/n} \varphi(t) H_n(p, t) dt \\
 &= \int_0^{1/n} |\varphi(t)| \cdot O(n) dt, \text{ by Lemma 1.} \\
 &= O(n) [\Phi(t)]_0^{1/n} \\
 &= O(n) \left[o \left(\frac{t}{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \frac{1}{t}} \right) \right]_0^{1/n}, \text{ by (1.5)} \\
 &= o + o \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} n} \right) \\
 &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad I_2 &= \int_{1/n}^\delta \varphi(t) H_n(p, t) dt \\
 &= O(1) \int_{1/n}^\delta |\varphi(t)| \cdot O \left(\frac{1}{t |(\log)^p n|} \right) \left(1 + (\log)^p \frac{1}{t} \right), \text{ by Lemma 2} \\
 &= I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Considering $I_{2,1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad I_{2,1} &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{t} dt \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \left\{ \left[\frac{\Phi(t)}{t} \right]_{1/n}^{\delta} + \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \right\} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \left\{ \left[o\left(\frac{1}{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \frac{1}{t}}\right) \right]_{1/n}^{\delta} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{1/n}^{\delta} o\left(\frac{1}{t \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \frac{1}{t}}\right) dt \right\}, \text{ by (1.5)} \\
 &= o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} n} \right) \\
 &\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \left[(\log)^{p+1} \frac{1}{t} \right]_{1/n}^{\delta} \\
 &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad I_{2,2} &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{t} \left\{ (\log)^p \frac{1}{t} \right\} dt \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \left[\frac{\Phi(t)}{t} \left\{ (\log)^p \frac{1}{t} \right\} \right]_{1/n}^{\delta} \\
 &\quad + \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \Phi(t) \left[\frac{(\log)^p \frac{1}{t}}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{1}{\prod_{q=0}^{p-2} (\log)^{q+1} \frac{1}{t}} \right] dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} n\right\}}\right) \\
&\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{\left\{(\log)^p \frac{1}{t}\right\} dt}{t \left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \frac{1}{t}\right\}} \\
&\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t \left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-2} (\log)^{q+1} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\}} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{dt}{\left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\}}, \text{ by (1.5)} \\
&= o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} n\right\}}\right) \\
&\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{dt}{t \left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-2} (\log)^{q+1} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\}} \\
&\quad + \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{dt}{t \left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\}} \\
&= o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\left\{\prod_{q=0}^{p-1} (\log)^{q+1} n\right\}}\right) \\
&\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) [O(1) + (\log)^p n] \\
&\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right) \left[\left\{(\log)^{p+1} \frac{1}{t}\right\}\right]_{1/n}^{\delta} \\
&= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5). We have

$$(4.6) \quad I_2 = o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad I_3 &= \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \varphi(t) H_n(p, t) dt \\
 &= \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\varphi(t)| \cdot O\left\{\frac{1}{(\log)^p n}\right\} \cdot dt \text{ by Lemma 3} \\
 &= O\left(|(\log)^p n|\right) \\
 &= o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

By collecting (4.1), (4.2), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma_n(p, t) = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem I.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am much indebted to Professor M. L. MISRA for his kind guidance during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] RIESZ, M., *Sur l'équivalence de certaines méthodes de sommation*, « Pro. Lond. Math. Soc. », 22 (1924), 412-419.
- [2] HILLE, E. and TAMARKIN, J. D., *On the Summability of Fourier Series*, « Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. », 34 (1932), 757-783.
- [3] SIDDIQUI, J. A., *On the Harmonic Summability of Fourier Series*, « Pro. Ind. Acad. Sc. », 28 (1948), 527-531.
- [4] SHARMA, P. L., *On the Harmonic Summability of Double Fourier Series*, « Pro. Amer. Math. Soc. », 9 (1958), 979-986.
- [5] HARDY, G. H. and ROGOSINSKY, W. W., *Notes on Fourier Series, IV Summability (R_2)* , « Pro. Cambridge Philos. Soc. », 43 (1947), 10-25.
- [6] TITCHMARSH, E. C., *Theory of Functions*, Oxford (1939), p. 440.
- [7] ZYGMUND, A., *Trinometrical Series*, Warsaw (1935), p. 3.